

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

*First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments
June 2013 – May 2014*

August 2014



Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

*First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments
June 2013 – May 2014*

August 2014



PILDAT is an independent, non-partisan and not-for-profit indigenous research and training institution with the mission to strengthen democracy and democratic institutions in Pakistan.

PILDAT is a registered non-profit entity under the Societies Registration Act XXI of 1860, Pakistan.

Copyright © Pakistan Institute of Legislative Development And Transparency - PILDAT

All Rights Reserved

Printed in Pakistan

Published: August 2014

ISBN: **978-969-558-442-2**

Any part of this publication can be used or cited with a clear reference to PILDAT.



Islamabad Office: P. O. Box 278, F-8, Postal Code: 44220, Islamabad, Pakistan
Lahore Office: P. O. Box 11098, L.C.C.H.S, Postal Code: 54792, Lahore, Pakistan
E-mail: info@pildat.org | Website: www.pildat.org

August 2014

CONTENTS

Preface

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Executive Summary

Quality of Governance – Federal Government – Views of Respondents from All Over Pakistan	31
Quality of Governance – Balochistan Government – Views of Respondents from All Over Balochistan	129
Quality of Governance – Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government – Views of Respondents from All Over Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	189
Quality of Governance – Punjab Government – Views of Respondents from All Over Punjab	249
Quality of Governance – Sindh Government – Views of Respondents from All Over Sindh	309
Detailed Indicators on Quality of Governance in Pakistan	369
Figures and Tables	
Figure 1: Performance of Chief Ministers (All Over Pakistan)	25
Figure 2: Performance of Provincial Governments (All Over Pakistan)	26
Figure 3: Trust in Institutions (All Over Pakistan)	27
Figure 4: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)	37
Figure 5: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)	40
Figure 6: Education (All Over Pakistan)	43
Figure 7: Health Care (All Over Pakistan)	46
Figure 8: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)	49
Figure 9: Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)	52
Figure 10: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)	55
Figure 11: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)	58
Figure 12: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)	61
Figure 13: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)	64
Figure 14: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	67
Figure 15: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)	70
Figure 16: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)	73
Figure 17: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)	76
Figure 18: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)	79
Figure 19: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)	82
Figure 20: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)	85
Figure 21: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)	88
Figure 22: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)	91
Figure 23: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)	94
Figure 24: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers - Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	97
Figure 25: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	100

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Figure 26: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers - Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)	103
Figure 27: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)	106
Figure 28: National Security (All Over Pakistan)	109
Figure 29: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)	112
Figure 30: Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Independence and Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)	115
Figure 31: Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)	118
Figure 32: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)	121
Figure 33: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)	124
Figure 34: Law and Order (Balochistan)	133
Figure 35: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)	135
Figure 36: Education (Balochistan)	137
Figure 37: Health Care (Balochistan)	139
Figure 38: Transparency (Balochistan)	141
Figure 39: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)	143
Figure 40: Anti- Corruption (Balochistan)	145
Figure 41: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)	147
Figure 42: Tax Collection (Balochistan)	149
Figure 43: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)	151
Figure 44: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)	153
Figure 45: Development Programmes (Balochistan)	155
Figure 46: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)	157
Figure 47: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)	159
Figure 48: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)	161
Figure 49: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)	163
Figure 50: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)	165
Figure 51: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)	167
Figure 52: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)	169
Figure 53: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)	171
Figure 54: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Balochistan)	173
Figure 55: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)	175
Figure 56: Public Transport (Balochistan)	177
Figure 57: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)	179
Figure 58: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)	181
Figure 59: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Balochistan)	183
Figure 60: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Balochistan)	185
Figure 61: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	193
Figure 62: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	195
Figure 63: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	197
Figure 64: Health Care (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	199
Figure 65: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	201
Figure 66: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	203
Figure 67: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	205
Figure 68: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	207
Figure 69: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	209
Figure 70: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	211
Figure 71: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	213
Figure 72: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	215
Figure 73: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	217
Figure 74: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	219

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Figure 75: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	221
Figure 76: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	223
Figure 77: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	225
Figure 78: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	227
Figure 79: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	229
Figure 80: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	231
Figure 81: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	233
Figure 82: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	235
Figure 83: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	237
Figure 84: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	239
Figure 85: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	241
Figure 86: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	243
Figure 87: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	245
Figure 88: Law and Order (Punjab)	252
Figure 89: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)	254
Figure 90: Education (Punjab)	256
Figure 91: Health Care (Punjab)	258
Figure 92: Transparency (Punjab)	260
Figure 93: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)	262
Figure 94: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)	264
Figure 95: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)	266
Figure 96: Tax Collection (Punjab)	268
Figure 97: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)	270
Figure 98: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)	272
Figure 99: Development Programmes (Punjab)	274
Figure 100: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)	276
Figure 101: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)	278
Figure 102: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)	280
Figure 103: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)	282
Figure 104: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)	284
Figure 105: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)	286
Figure 106: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Punjab)	288
Figure 107: Immunization of Children (Punjab)	290
Figure 108: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Punjab)	292
Figure 109: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)	294
Figure 110: Public Transport (Punjab)	296
Figure 111: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)	298
Figure 112: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)	300
Figure 113: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Punjab)	302
Figure 114: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Punjab)	304
Figure 115: Law and Order (Sindh)	312
Figure 116: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)	314
Figure 117: Education (Sindh)	316
Figure 118: Health Care (Sindh)	318
Figure 119: Transparency (Sindh)	320
Figure 120: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)	322
Figure 121: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)	324
Figure 122: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)	326
Figure 123: Tax Collection (Sindh)	328
Figure 124: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)	330
Figure 125: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)	332
Figure 126: Development Programmes (Sindh)	334

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Figure 127: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)	336
Figure 128: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)	338
Figure 129: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)	340
Figure 130: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)	342
Figure 131: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)	344
Figure 132: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)	346
Figure 133: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Sindh)	348
Figure 134: Immunization of Children (Sindh)	350
Figure 135: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Sindh)	352
Figure 136: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)	354
Figure 137: Public Transport (Sindh)	356
Figure 138: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)	358
Figure 139: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)	360
Figure 140: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Sindh)	362
Figure 141: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Sindh)	364
Figure 142: Comparative Position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)	371
Figure 143: Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)	374
Figure 144: Future Possibility – Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)	377
Figure 145: Future Possibility – Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)	380
Figure 146: Future Possibility – Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)	383
Figure 147: Future Possibility – Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	386
Figure 148: Future Possibility - Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)	389
Figure 149: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with America (All Over Pakistan)	392
Figure 150: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)	395
Figure 151: Trust in Institutions - National Accountability Bureau (All Over Pakistan)	398
Figure 152: Trust in Institutions – Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)	401
Figure 153: Trust in Institutions - Army (All Over Pakistan)	404
Figure 154: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)	407
Figure 155: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)	410
Figure 156: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	413
Figure 157: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	416
Figure 158: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	419
Figure 159: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	421
Figure 160: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	424
Figure 161: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	427
Figure 162: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	430
Figure 163: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	433
Figure 164: Biggest Current Issue in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)	436
Table 1: Core Governance Indicators	15
Table 2: Federal Government Score Card (National Evaluation)	17
Table 3: Provincial Scores	20
Table 4: Governance Scores: National Scores vs. Provincial Scores	23
Table 5: Performance of Chief Ministers	24
Table 6: Performance of Provincial Governments	25
Table 7: Trust in Institutions	27

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 8:	Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Federal Government	31
Table 9:	Comparative Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – For All Five Governments	32
Table 10:	Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)	38
Table 11:	Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)	41
Table 12:	Education (All Over Pakistan)	44
Table 13:	Health Care (All Over Pakistan)	47
Table 14:	Transparency (All Over Pakistan)	50
Table 15:	Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)	53
Table 16:	Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)	56
Table 17:	Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)	59
Table 18:	Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)	62
Table 19:	Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)	65
Table 20:	Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	68
Table 21:	Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)	71
Table 22:	Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)	74
Table 23:	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)	77
Table 24:	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)	80
Table 25:	Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)	83
Table 26:	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)	86
Table 27:	Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)	89
Table 28:	Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)	92
Table 29:	Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)	95
Table 30:	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	98
Table 31:	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	101
Table 32:	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	104
Table 33:	Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)	107
Table 34:	National Security (All Over Pakistan)	110
Table 35:	Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)	113
Table 36:	Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Independence and Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)	116
Table 37:	Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)	119
Table 38:	Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)	122
Table 39:	Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)	125
Table 40:	Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Balochistan	129
Table 41:	Law and Order (Balochistan)	134
Table 42:	Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)	136
Table 43:	Education (Balochistan)	138
Table 44:	Health Care (Balochistan)	140
Table 45:	Transparency (Balochistan)	142
Table 46:	Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)	144
Table 47:	Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)	146
Table 48:	Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)	148
Table 49:	Tax Collection (Balochistan)	150
Table 50:	Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)	152
Table 51:	Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)	154
Table 52:	Development Programmes (Balochistan)	156
Table 53:	Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)	158
Table 54:	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)	160
Table 55:	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)	162
Table 56:	Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)	164

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 57: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)	166
Table 58: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)	168
Table 59: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)	170
Table 60: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)	172
Table 61: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Balochistan)	174
Table 62: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)	176
Table 63: Public Transport (Balochistan)	178
Table 64: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)	180
Table 65: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)	182
Table 66: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Balochistan)	184
Table 67: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Balochistan)	186
Table 68: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	189
Table 69: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	194
Table 70: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	196
Table 71: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	198
Table 72: Health Care (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	200
Table 73: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	202
Table 74: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	204
Table 75: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	206
Table 76: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	208
Table 77: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	210
Table 78: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	212
Table 79: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	214
Table 80: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	216
Table 81: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	218
Table 82: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	220
Table 83: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	222
Table 84: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	224
Table 85: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	226
Table 86: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	228
Table 87: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	230
Table 88: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	232
Table 89: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	234
Table 90: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	236
Table 91: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	238
Table 92: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	240
Table 93: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	242
Table 94: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	244
Table 95: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	246
Table 96: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Punjab	249
Table 97: Law and Order (Punjab)	253
Table 98: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)	255
Table 99: Education (Punjab)	257
Table 100: Health Care (Punjab)	259
Table 101: Transparency (Punjab)	261
Table 102: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)	263
Table 103: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)	265
Table 104: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)	267
Table 105: Tax Collection (Punjab)	269
Table 106: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)	271
Table 107: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)	273
Table 108: Development Programmes (Punjab)	275

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 109: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)	277
Table 110: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)	279
Table 111: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)	281
Table 112: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)	283
Table 113: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)	285
Table 114: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)	287
Table 115: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Punjab)	289
Table 116: Immunization of Children (Punjab)	291
Table 117: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Punjab)	293
Table 118: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)	295
Table 119: Public Transport (Punjab)	297
Table 120: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)	299
Table 121: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)	301
Table 122: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Punjab)	303
Table 123: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Punjab)	305
Table 124: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Sindh	309
Table 125: Law and Order (Sindh)	313
Table 126: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)	315
Table 127: Education (Sindh)	317
Table 128: Health Care (Sindh)	319
Table 129: Transparency (Sindh)	321
Table 130: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)	323
Table 131: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)	325
Table 132: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)	327
Table 133: Tax Collection (Sindh)	329
Table 134: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)	331
Table 135: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)	333
Table 136: Development Programmes (Sindh)	335
Table 137: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)	337
Table 138: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)	339
Table 139: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)	341
Table 140: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)	343
Table 141: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)	345
Table 142: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)	347
Table 143: Disaster Preparedness & Management	349
Table 144: Immunization of Children	351
Table 145: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Sindh)	353
Table 146: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)	355
Table 147: Public Transport (Sindh)	357
Table 148: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)	359
Table 149: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)	361
Table 150: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Sindh)	363
Table 151: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Sindh)	365
Table 152: Comparative Position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)	372
Table 153: Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)	375
Table 154: Future Possibility – Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)	378
Table 155: Future Possibility – Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)	381
Table 156: Future Possibility – Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)	384
Table 157: Future Possibility – Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	387
Table 158: Future Possibility - Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)	390
Table 159: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with America (All Over Pakistan)	393

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 160: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)	396
Table 161: Trust in Institutions - National Accountability Bureau (All Over Pakistan)	399
Table 162: Trust in Institutions – Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)	402
Table 163: Trust in Institutions - Army (All Over Pakistan)	405
Table 164: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)	408
Table 165: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)	411
Table 166: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	414
Table 167: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	417
Table 168: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	420
Table 169: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	422
Table 170: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	425
Table 171: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	248
Table 172: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	431
Table 173: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	434
Table 174: Biggest Current Issue in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)	437
Appendices	
Appendix A: Research Methodology	441
Appendix B: Questionnaire	445

PREFACE

PIL DAT Report on **Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan: First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014** is the first of its kind report to assess the Quality of Governance in Pakistan through nationwide public opinion. Earlier, upon the completion of first 100 days of these Governments in office in September 2013, PIL DAT had undertaken and published a Public Verdict on Performance of Governance and Democracy in First 100 Days of Pakistan's National and Provincial Governments.

This is the first of its kind report to examine the Quality of Governance based on public opinion upon the completion of 1st year of National and Provincial Governments elected through the May 2013 General Election. As democracy has made progress in Pakistan over the years, PIL DAT believes the focus should be on *performance* of democracy, separate from the *process* of democracy, to gauge how democracy has delivered to the citizens in terms of providing good governance.

In order to assess the quality of governance on a scientific basis, a programme on data collection of key performance indicators has been undertaken at PIL DAT. While greatly benefitting from many international frameworks to assess the Quality of Governance, PIL DAT has developed an indigenous framework with the valuable input of a 25-member Steering Committee comprising some of the most eminent experts from the four Provinces. The framework takes into account key governance indicators within the context of Pakistan in particular.

The objective behind PIL DAT framework is to develop two reports: one gauging quality of governance through data obtained from National and Provincial Governments – an exercise underway at PIL DAT based on which a PIL DAT Report on Quality of Governance during 1st Year of National and Provincial Governments will be released towards the end of 2014 and the second part of this exercise has been to obtain citizens feedback on the key governance indicators through a public opinion poll.

This report only presents findings from Citizens Assessment obtained through a nationwide public opinion poll based on key performance indicators developed by PIL DAT. The nationwide poll was conducted during **July 16, 2014 to August 6, 2014**. The Nationwide Poll was conceived and commissioned by PIL DAT and conducted by Gallup Pakistan. The respondents for the survey included **3065 citizens** from rural and urban areas from all the four provinces of Pakistan. They represented a cross section of young and old, middle and high income. The fieldwork for the report was done face to face in respondents' homes. The sample is also based on a cross-section of various age, income, education and language groups of the population. Error margin is estimated to be approximately $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

The PIL DAT's initiative to assess Quality of Governance is by no means is an exercise at finger-pointing; it is, in fact, a collaborative effort to highlight areas of strengths and potential areas requiring improvement in the quality of governance in the five Governments. This public opinion poll report, as a first part of this exercise, is not a critique but an effort to assist elected political Governments at the Centre and the Provinces to improve their quality of governance based on scientifically obtained public opinion.

Disclaimer

The results of this Report do not represent views held by PIL DAT, the authors or Gallup Pakistan. The results only represent public opinion, computed on the basis of views expressed by anonymous respondents selected through the procedure outlined in the Methodology section of this Report. PIL DAT and Gallup Pakistan cannot be held liable to the users of this data.

August 2014

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ANP	Awami National Party
BA	Bachelor of Arts
B.S.c	Bachelor of Science
BBA	Bachelor of Business Administration
B.Com	Bachelor of Commerce
BNP	Balochistan National Party
CM	Chief Minister
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
COAS	Chief of Army Staff
DK	Don't Know
ECP	Election Commission of Pakistan
FA	Faulty of Arts
F.S.c	Faculty of Science
GP	Gallup Pakistan
HH-Income	Household Income
JI	Jamaat-e-Islami
JUI-F	Jamiat Ulema-e-Islam
KP	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
MA	Master of Arts
M.S.c	Master of Science
MBA	Master of Business Administration
M.Com	Master of Commerce
MQM	Muttahida Quami Movement
NAB	National Accountability Bureau
NEPRA	National Electric Power Regulatory Authority
NPR	Net Performance Rating
NR	No Response
OGRA	Oil & Gas Regulatory Authority
PAT	Pakistan Awami Tehreek
PEMRA	Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority
PILDAT	Pakistan Institute of Legislative Development and Transparency
PM	Prime Minister
PMAP	Pakhtunkhwa Milli Awami Party
PML-N	Pakistan Muslim League - Nawaz
PML-Q	Pakistan Muslim League – Quaid
PPP	Pakistan People's Party
PTI	Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

August 2014

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table 1: Core Governance Indicators

No.	Indicator	Explanation
1	Law and Order	Measures the public opinion on Government's Performance at maintaining peace, security, law & order
2	Poverty Alleviation	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance on eradicating poverty
3	Education	Measures the public opinion on Government's achievements in reforming and improving the education system and resources in the country
4	Healthcare	Measures the public opinion on Government's success at improving healthcare facilities and resources across the country
5	Transparency	Gauges the public opinion on the level of openness and public engagement by the Government with regards to policies and implementation
6	Energy Production and Management	Measures the public opinion on the current state of electricity generation, supply, and services, as well as reforms in the power generation sector
7	Anti-Corruption	Measures the public opinion on Government's success at eradicating and controlling corruption
8	Investment Friendliness	Measures public opinion on Government's performance on improving local and foreign investment
9	Tax Collection	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at improving tax collection
10	Utility Bills Collection	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at efficiently collecting utility bills
11	Management of Unemployment	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at managing unemployment

1. Upon the completion of the 1st year of the National and Provincial Governments in office in May 2014, PILDAT conceived and commissioned a *public opinion survey* to gauge the public opinion across the country on quality of governance. The nationwide poll was conducted during **July 16, 2014 to August 6, 2014**. The Nationwide Poll was conceived and commissioned by PILDAT and conducted by Gallup Pakistan.

This summary report presents an analysis of the public opinion obtained on core indicators on quality of governance across National and Provincial Governments. The respondents for the survey included **3065 citizens** from rural and urban areas from all the four provinces of Pakistan. They represented a cross section of young and old, middle and high income. The fieldwork for the report was done face to face in respondents' homes. The sample is also based on a cross-section of various age, income, education and language groups of the population. Error margin is estimated to be approximately ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level.

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

12	Development Programme	Measures the public opinion on effectiveness of the Government's development policies and implementation
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	Measures the public opinion on Government's achievement at ensuring the impartiality and independence of Civil Servants
14	Merit-based Recruitment and Promotions	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at using merit to recruit and promote employees in public organizations
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	Measures the public opinion on Government's use of technologies to improve governance and service delivery
16	Transparent and Efficient Public Procurement	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at transparent and open public procurement policies
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance regarding supply and distribution of agricultural inputs
18	Environmental Sustainability	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at reducing environmental degradation and pollution
19	Disaster Preparedness & Management	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at creating and ensuring effective plans and strategies to counter and mitigate natural disasters
20	Immunization of Children	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at immunizing children – especially against polio
21	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers	Gauges the public opinion on the Government's performance at devolving powers to the local level and establishing local governments
22	Federal-Provincial Resource Distribution	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at the transparent and effective distribution of resources between the Federal and Provincial Governments
23	Devolution of Powers to Provinces	Measures the public opinion on the Federal Government's effectiveness at devolving powers to Provinces
24	Access to an Efficient Justice System	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at improving and streamlining the justice system in the country

August 2014

25	National Security	Measures the public opinion on Federal Government's performance on national security
26	Foreign Policy Management	Measures the public opinion on performance of the Federal Government in managing foreign affairs
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies	Measures the public opinion on Federal Government's performance at ensuring the independence and effective operation of Regulatory Bodies
28	Merit-based appointments in Regulatory Bodies	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at recruiting and promoting through merit in Regulatory Bodies
29	Management of Inflation	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at managing inflation in the country
30	Water Resource Development and Management	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at developing and effectively managing water resources in the country

Federal Government's Scorecard

The scorecard shows the Federal Government's Net Performance Ratings on the core governance indicators. Negative Score reflects that the negative assessment of the Federal Government's performance outpaces positive evaluation. Similarly, a positive NPR is indicative of an evaluation where the positive assessment of the Federal Government's performance is greater than the sum of negative assessment.

Table 2: Federal Government's Scorecard (National Evaluation)

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating (NPR)	Ranking
1	Law & Order	-2%	5
2	Poverty Alleviation	-51%	26
3	Education	0%	4
4	Healthcare	-7%	9
5	Transparency	-4%	7
6	Energy Production & Management	-51%	26
7	Anti-Corruption	-45%	24
8	Investment Friendliness	-9%	10
9	Tax Collection	-15%	13
10	Utility Bills Collection	-5%	8

August 2014

11	Management of Unemployment	-36%	22
12	Development Programme	-3%	6
13	Quality & Independence of Civil Service	-29%	20
14	Merit-based Recruitment and Promotions	-37%	23
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-16%	14
16	Transparent and Efficient Public Procurement	-20%	18
17	Management & Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-2%	5
18	Environmental Sustainability	-21%	19
19	Disaster Preparedness & Management	-17%	15
20	Immunization of Children	+15%	2
21	Devolution of Power to the Local Level	-35%	21
22	Federal-Provincial Resource Distribution	-18%	16
23	Capacity of Effective Provincial Governance	-19%	17
24	Access to an Efficient Justice System	-20%	18
25	National Security	+26%	1
26	Foreign Policy Management	+1%	3
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies	-12%	11
28	Merit-based appointments in Regulatory Bodies	-14%	12
29	Management of Inflation	-48%	25
30	Water Resource Development & Management	-21%	19

Governance Track-Record – Public Negativity Remains High

Over the past year, the Pakistani public has been able to see glimpses of the Federal Government's policy agenda and initiatives for improved governance in the country. However, results indicate that the Federal Government's efforts have been unable to register significant improvements in governance.

The NPR scores in Table 2 show that on most indicators, the public has evaluated the Federal Government's governance performance negatively. 26 out of the 30 Governance indicators assessed for the Federal Government received a negative NPR. The Federal Government's governance performance has been positively evaluated on three indicators: **Immunization of Children – particularly in reference to the nationwide anti-polio campaign (NPR: +15%)**; **National Security (NPR: +26%)**; and **Foreign Policy (NPR: +1%)**.

Crucial distinction must also be made for indicators where the negative NPR scores are small, thereby indicating that the public's overall assessment on such indicators is currently divided, with negative evaluations slightly outpacing positive evaluations. For instance, on **Law and Order (NPR: -2%)**, **Education (NPR: 0%)**, **Health Care (NPR: -7%)**, **Transparency (NPR: -4%)**, **Investment Friendliness (NPR: -9%)**, **Utility Bills Collection (NPR: -5%)**, and the **Development Programme (NPR: -3%)**, the Federal Government's negative evaluation is only slightly greater than its

August 2014

positive assessment. These indicators highlight issues where the Federal Government has managed to perform well, and has also been evaluated positively by a significant proportion of the public. Continued attention and reform in these areas can help overturn the current negative NPR into positive evaluation of its Governance track record.

Strong on National Security, Weak on Poverty and Energy

Public opinion on the Federal Government's first year's governance performance indicates that the Pakistani public has clearly distinguished between the Government's strengths and weaknesses. The PML-N led government has garnered strong positive assessments of its **National Security** performance (**NPR: 26%**). The positive NPR on this indicator shows that the Government's efforts to strengthen the country's national security has been well-received by the Pakistani public. Given Pakistan's on-going struggle against violent militancy, this positive score reflects the public's expectation that this Government can succeed in purge rampant terrorism and violent militancy in the country.

In contrast, the current Government's performance on **Alleviating Poverty** and **Energy Production and Management** have been equally poorly assessed by the people of Pakistan (**NPR: -51%**). Both issues are critical in resuscitating Pakistan's economic growth and development. The overwhelmingly negative assessment on these two indicators reveals the public's dissatisfaction with the Government's first year governance efforts aimed at resolving two key challenges that affect the daily lives and livelihoods of ordinary citizens across the country.

Critical Agenda for Improved Governance – Economy, Energy, and National Security

When the PML-N Government was installed just over a year ago, it determined for itself three critical areas that needed urgent and concerted efforts: economic revival, overcoming the crippling energy crisis, and eradicating terrorism and violent militancy in the country. The results showed here provide an indication of how well the Government has performed in these areas – issues high on the public agenda during Election 2013 – a year into its five year term.

On Energy, despite the Federal Government's concerted efforts and policy focus designed to reform the energy sector and increase the production capacity, the Pakistani public has deemed the first year's efforts as insufficient. On **Energy Production and Management**, the Government was able to get a **NPR of -51**, which illustrates the substantial level of dissatisfaction with the continuing energy crisis in the country – particularly with incessant power shortages. This result shows that the Government's stated commitment of delivering relief in the power sector in four years time is a long way off for the Pakistani public. Crucially, the Federal Government's initiation of reforms and new projects in the Energy sector over the last year has not translated into favourable public sentiments at this point in time.

On National Security, the Federal Government came to power in the middle of widespread militancy and terrorism in 2013, with violent anti-state militias safely harboured in the country's tribal areas. Over the last year, the Federal Government has moved away from the path of dialogue with militant organizations in the tribal regions, and towards launching a full-scale military operation against militants and their safe havens in North Waziristan. On this stated goal, the Federal Government has been able to generate public support and sympathy by garnering a **NPR of +26%** on **National Security**.

On Economy, the Federal Government's achievements in its first year of power have been received mostly negatively by the public. The assessment of the Federal Government's economic policies and achievements varies on different indicators. While the Government scored a **NPR of -3%** on its **Development Programme**, the target goal of **Poverty Alleviation** has been unrealized – the Government scored a **NPR of -51%** on this indicator. Similarly, while the Government scored a **NPR of -9%** on **Investment Friendliness** and **-15% on Tax Collection** in the country, it scored deeply negative NPRs on **Inflation (-48%)** and **Unemployment (-36%)**. This shows that the Government successful economic reforms and policies have as yet failed to trickle down to the masses in the form of palpable positive changes in livelihoods – with the public feeling that inflation, unemployment, and poverty remain as economic challenges for the people of Pakistan. Alternatively put, the Government's macroeconomic policy reforms may be working, but grassroots economic improvement is yet to take root in the country after several years of economic decline and stupor.

August 2014

Accountability & Transparency

The present Government came into power in the context of the significant public negativity and derision at the lack of accountability and transparency in the previous PPP-led Government. A year on, the PML-N Government does not seem to have succeeded in dispelling the impression that the Federal Government remains open, transparent, honest, and accountable in its policies and projects. While the Government scored a **NPR of -4% on Transparency**, which reveals that its policy of remaining open about its policies is improving the Federal Government's operational perception, it scored significantly negative NPRs on **Anti-Corruption (-45%)** and **Merit-Based Recruitment and promotions (-37%)**. These numbers show that the current Federal Government needs to do more in shaking off the lack of trust and perceptions of rampant corruption and dishonesty in its management of the country's affairs.

Provincial Government Scorecard (Provincial Governance Evaluation)

The questions included and asked in the provincial segment of the opinion poll asked respondents to evaluate the performance of their Provincial Government on the core governance indicators relevant to provincial governance.

Respondents from each province were asked to evaluate their provincial government's governance performance. The Net Performance Rating Scores published here provide an indication of how residents from each province evaluate the state of governance in their province. The list of indicators used for provincial governance analysis is slightly different and includes subjects that have been devolved to the provinces in the aftermath of the 18th Amendment.

Table 3: Provincial Scores

No.	Indicator	Provincial Scores			
		Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law & Order	-8%	-8%	-12%	-34%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-61%	35%	-34%	-58%
3	Education	-18%	-42%	+27%	-36%
4	Healthcare	-16%	-38%	+15%	-30%
5	Transparency	-22%	+7%	+1%	-39%
6	Energy Production and Management	-19%	-37%	-45%	-61%
7	Anti-Corruption	-27%	-43%	-31%	-65%
8	Investment Friendliness	-34%	-37%	+6%	-38%
9	Tax Collection	-29%	-38%	-2%	-36%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-10%	+11%	+24%	-23%
11	Management of Unemployment	-61%	-8%	-31%	-51%
12	Development Programme	-10%	-26%	+12%	-32%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-28%	-42%	-10%	-42%

August 2014

14	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions	-49%	-41%	-28%	-62%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-39%	+9%	+16%	-41%
16	Transparent and Efficient Public Procurement	-27%	-35%	-1%	-47%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-18%	-27%	-2%	-22%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-12%	-40%	-5%	-48%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-3%	-45%	-1%	-39%
20	Immunization of Children	0	+8%	+50%	-6%
21	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers	+14%	-30%	-17%	-46%
22	Access to an Efficient Justice System	+38%	+13%	+15%	-42%
23	Public Transport	+20%	+3%	+46%	-14%
24	Safe Drinking Water Supply	+4%	-5%	+4%	-43%
25	Sanitation	-24%	-37%	-18%	-51%
26	Relations with International Organizations	-6%	-37%	+8%	-22%
27	Irrigation Water Development and Management	-22%	-29%	+4%	-39%

The Governance in Punjab

The PML-N was elected for its second five-year term in Punjab through General Election 2013. This feat in itself alludes to the successes in governance and administration that the Shahbaz Sharif administration has been able to register in the last six years.

Of the four provinces in Pakistan, Punjab scores significantly better in terms of the governance track-record of the Provincial Government. The Provincial Government's work in its policy priority areas has been well-received by the people of Punjab.

The Punjab Government's best NPR was registered in **Immunization of Children (NPR: +50%)**. Similarly, the PML-N Provincial Government's concerted focus on infrastructure improvement, particularly **Public Transport** infrastructure received a robust **NPR of +46%**. Much has been made of the Punjab Government's focus on improving the educational infrastructure and services in the province. At present, the Government's reforms and projects in **Education** garnered an **NPR of +27%**, the highest of all four provinces. Unlike any other Government in the country, the Punjab Government is the only one to have achieved a **positive NPR in Healthcare (+15%)**. In total, the Punjab Government generated **positive Governance NPRs in 14 out of the 27** indicators studied for the four provinces.

Crucially, **5 negative NPRs** for the Punjab Government were **barely negative**, which alludes to performance ratings that can be converted into positivity if the Provincial Government retains its focus and policy direction: **Tax Collection**

August 2014

(-2%), **Transparent & Efficient Public Procurement (-1%), Management and Supply of Agricultural Products (-2%), Environmental Sustainability (-5%), and Disaster Preparedness & Management (-1%)**. On these indicators the negative evaluations only slightly outpaced positive assessments of the Provincial Government's performance.

However, the PML-N led provincial government in Punjab mirrors the negative scores achieved by the party's Federal Government. The Punjab Government received the **lowest NPRs in Energy Production & Management (-45%), Poverty Alleviation (-34%), Anti-Corruption (-31%), Management of Unemployment (-31%), and Merit-based Recruitment & Promotions (-28%)**. This implies that although the Punjab Government has the best governance track-record of any Government (Federal and Provincial) in Pakistan, it still needs to convert and transfuse its capacity and achievements into improving the public's perceptions of issues directly relevant to the ordinary citizen's everyday life.

Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa Vs. Punjab – Governance Comparison of PTI Vs. PML-N

The PTI's emergence as a strong political party in Election 2013 was manifested by its impressive political performance in the KP Provincial Election, which installed the party's Government in Peshawar. The much discussed PTI vs. PML-N political tussle in the country can also be interpreted through the performance comparison of the two respective Provincial Governments.

KP's Provincial Government has not been able to register the same level of positive governance evaluation as the Punjab Government, albeit it emerged as the **second-best among the four provinces**, trailing Punjab.

The KP Government achieved positive NPRs on **6 out of the 27** Provincial Governance indicators covered in this Study: **Transparency (+7%), Utility Bills Collection (+11%), Use of Technologies for Better Governance (+9%), Immunization of Children (+8%), Access to an Efficient Justice System (+13%), and Public Transport (+3%)**.

KP's Provincial Government scored the highest negative NPRs on: **Disaster Preparedness & Management (-45%), Anti-Corruption (-43%), Education (-42%), Quality and Independence of Civil Service (-42%), Merit-based Recruitment and Promotions (-41%), Environmental Sustainability (-40%), Healthcare (-38%), Tax Collection (-38%), Energy Production and Management (-37%), Investment Friendliness (-37%), and Transparent and Efficient Public Procurement (-35%)**.

On **3 out of the 27 indicators**, the KP Government scored barely negative ratings: **Law & Order (-8%), Management of Unemployment (-8%), and Safe Drinking Water Supply (-5%)**.

In comparing the two Provincial Governments, it appears that the Punjab Government's governance reforms and efforts have been far better received and evaluated by the people of Punjab when compared to the KP Provincial Government's evaluation by the people of KP.

Sindh's Governance Dilemmas – Widespread Negativity

Of the four provinces evaluated and compared in this study for the respective Provincial Governments' Governance performance, the Sindh Provincial Government has emerged as the clear loser.

The people of Sindh evaluated the Government of Sindh's governance track-record **negatively on all 27 indicators** included in this poll. This prevalent negativity in the province reflects the poor state of governance in the PPP-led Provincial Government. The Sindh Government scored its highest **negative NPRs** on: **Anti-Corruption (-65%), Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (-62%), Energy Production and Management (-61%), Poverty Alleviation (-58%), Management of Unemployment (-51%), Sanitation (-51%), Environmental Sustainability (-48%), Transparent and Efficient Public Procurement (-47%), Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers (-46%), Safe Drinking Water Supply (-43%), Access to an Efficient Justice System (-42%), Quality and Independence of Civil Service (-42%), and Use of Technologies for Better Governance (-41%)**.

The Sindh Government's least negative NPR was recorded on **Immunization of Children (-6%)** – where in

August 2014

comparison Federal, KP, and Punjab Governments had positive NPRs, while the Balochistan Government earned a neutral score (0).

This damning verdict of the Sindh Government's weak governance record shows that the people of Sindh are the most dissatisfied and disillusioned of any jurisdiction in Pakistan. For Pakistan's second largest province, which includes the country's largest urban metropolis and its economic hub, the state of affairs in Sindh should be a wake-up call for the Provincial Government, as well as the Federal Government, which needs to assist the province in improving its governance record, in order to bring relief to the people of Sindh.

Table 4: Governance Scores: National Scores Vs. Provincial Scores

No.	Indicator	National Scores	Provincial Scores			
			Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law and Order	-2%	-8%	-8%	+12%	-34%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-51%	-61%	-35%	-34%	-58%
3	Education	0	-18%	-42%	+27%	-36%
4	Healthcare	-7%	-16%	-38%	+15%	-30%
5	Transparency	-4%	-22%	+7%	+1%	-39%
6	Energy Production and Management	-51%	-19%	-37%	-45%	-61%
7	Anti-Corruption	-45%	-27%	-43%	-31%	-65%
8	Investment Friendliness	-9%	-34%	-37%	+6%	-38%
9	Tax Collection	-15%	-29%	-38%	-2%	-36%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-5%	-10%	+11%	+24%	-23%
11	Management of Unemployment	-36	-61%	-8%	-31%	-51%
12	Development Programme	-3%	-10%	-26%	+12%	-32%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-29%	-28%	-42%	-10%	-42%
14	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions	-37%	-49%	-41%	-28%	-62%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-16%	-39%	+9%	+16%	-41%

August 2014

16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurement	-20%	-27%	-35%	-1%	-47%
17	Management and Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-2%	-18%	-27%	-2%	-22%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-21%	-12%	-40%	-5%	-48%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-17%	-3%	-45%	-1%	-39%
20	Immunization of Children	+15%	0	+8%	+50%	-6%
21	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers	-35%	+14%	-30%	-17%	-46%
22	Access to an Efficient Justice System	-20%	-38%	+13%	+15%	-42%

Performance of the Prime Minister: 46% provide positive ratings

While 46% of Pakistanis state that they rate his performance as Good to Very Good, 52% rated his performance in the last year as Bad to Very Bad.

Performance of Chief Ministers

Table 5: Performance of Chief Ministers

Chief Minister	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	NPR
CM Punjab	24%	41%	23%	9%	+33%
CM Sindh	7%	23%	35%	24%	-29%
CM KP	9%	28%	33%	18%	-14%
CM Balochistan	5%	28%	33%	19%	-19%


Respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate the performance of the four Chief Ministers. The Net Performance Ratings of each Chief Minister is given in Figure 1.

Performance of CM Punjab: 65% provide positive ratings

The Chief Minister of Punjab, Shahbaz Sharif, received the highest rating from respondents across Pakistan, with a NPR of +33%. 65% of the respondents rate his performance as Good to Very Good. 32% respondents rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad.

August 2014

Figure 1: Performance of Chief Ministers



Performance of CM Sindh: 30% provide positive ratings

In contrast with CM Punjab, CM Sindh, Qaim Ali Shah occupies the opposite end of the performance meter. A significant 59% of the respondents rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad. 30% of the respondents rated CM Sindh's performance as Good or Very Good. CM received the highest negative NPR (-29%) amongst the Chief Ministers of the four provinces.

Performance of CM KP: 37% provide positive ratings

Chief Minister of KP, Pervez Khattak's performance ratings are also in the negative when respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate his overall performance (NPR: -14%). The majority of the respondents from across the country, 51%, rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad. 37% of the respondents evaluated his performance as Good or Very Good.

Performance of CM Balochistan: 33% provide positive ratings

Chief Minister of Balochistan, Abdul Malik Baloch does not enjoy a high level of positive assessment by respondents from across Pakistan. While 33% respondents rated his performance as Good or Very Good, the majority, 52%, rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad (NPR: -19%).


Table 6: Performance of Provincial Governments

Province	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	NPR
Punjab	22%	43%	23%	10%	+32%
Sindh	6%	25%	37%	22%	-28%
KP	9%	27%	35%	18%	-17%
Balochistan	6%	26%	36%	19%	-23%

August 2014

Respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate the performance of the four Provincial Governments. The Net Performance Ratings of each Provincial Government is given in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Performance of Provincial Governments



Performance of Punjab Provincial Government: 65% provide positive ratings

65% of the respondents rated the Punjab Government's performance as Good to Very Good. 33% respondents rated the performance of the Government as Bad or Very Bad, giving the Punjab Government a NPR of +32%. At this point in time, the Punjab Government enjoys the healthiest positive performance rating of the four provinces.

Performance of Sindh Provincial Government: 31% provide positive ratings

The Sindh Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 59% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 31% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government. These scores from across Pakistan gave the Sindh Government the highest negative NPR of the four provinces: -28%.

Performance of KP Provincial Government: 36% provide positive ratings

The KP Government is also evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 53% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 36% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government. These nationwide ratings gave the KP Government a NPR of -17%.

Performance of Balochistan Provincial Government: 32% provide positive ratings

The Balochistan Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 55% of all respondents rated the provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 32% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government. These scores give the Balochistan Provincial Government a negative NPR of -23%.

Biggest Current Issue


The biggest identified challenge for the country is the **Energy Crisis**, which is identified by 27% Pakistanis as being a major problem. **Inflation** came in a close second, with 25% Pakistanis identifying it as a serious challenge for the country. Similarly, **unemployment** was the third biggest problem, with 15% Pakistanis identifying it as a big national problem. **Insecurity, peace, law & order, and terrorism** were identified by 12% Pakistanis as a major national problem facing the country at this point in time.

August 2014

Table 7: Trust in Institutions

Institution	A lot	Somewhat	Little	Not at all	NR
NAB	7%	35%	33%	19%	-10%
Government	6%	23%	39%	29%	-39%
Army	38%	35%	17%	8%	+48%
Police	4%	26%	36%	33%	-39%

Figure 3: Trust in Institutions



Trust in Institutions: NAB: 42% provide positive ratings

At present, Pakistanis appear uncertain about NAB. 52% Pakistanis assert that they have little to no trust at all in NAB, while 42% report that they have somewhat to a lot of trust in the Bureau. The institution received an overall NR of -10%.

Trust in Institutions: Government Officers: 29% provide positive ratings

68% Pakistanis state that they have little to no trust at all in Government officers. Only 29% Pakistanis state they have somewhat to a lot of trust in the country's bureaucrats (NPR: -39%)

Trust in Institutions: Army: 73% provide positive ratings

At present, 73% Pakistanis claim to have somewhat to a lot of trust in the country's Army. However, 25% Pakistanis also state that they have little to no trust at all in the Pakistan Army. The Army has a healthy positive NR of +48%.

Trust in Institutions: Police: 30% provide positive ratings

A substantial 69% of all Pakistanis stated that they had little to no trust at all in Pakistan's police. Only 30% of the country's population has somewhat to a lot of trust in the police force. This gives the country's police a negative NR of -39%.

August 2014

Comparative position with respect to Zardari: 46% provide positive ratings

When respondents were asked to compare Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's Government with that of the PPP's tenure under President Zardari, the majority – 46% - claimed that the Nawaz Sharif Government has performed better. 31% of the respondents from across the country stated the current Government's performance is worse than the preceding Zardari Government.

Comparative position with respect to Musharraf: 44% provide positive ratings

While 44% Pakistanis reported that the current Government is performing better than the Musharraf regime, a strong 40% assess the performance as worse.

**Quality of Governance – Federal Government – Views
of Respondents from All Over Pakistan**

August 2014

Table 8: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – Federal Government

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating
1	Law and Order	-2%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-51%
3	Education	0%
4	Health Care	-7%
5	Transparency	-4%
6	Energy Production and Management	-51%
7	Anti-Corruption	-45%
8	Investment Friendliness	-9%
9	Tax Collection	-15%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-5%
11	Management of Unemployment	-36%
12	Development Programmes	-3%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-29%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	-37%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-20%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-16%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-2%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-21%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-17%
20	Immunization of Children	+15%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	-35%

August 2014

22	Devolution of Powers to the Tower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	-18%
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	-19%
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	-20%
25	National Security	+26%
26	Foreign Policy Management	+1%
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Independence and Efficiency	-12%
28	Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Merit Based Appointments	-14%
29	Management of Inflation	-48%
30	Water Resources Development and Management	-21%

Table 9: Comparative Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – For all Five Governments

No.	Indicator	All Pakistan	Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law and Order	-2%	-8%	-8%	12%	-34%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-51%	-61%	-35%	-34%	-58%
3	Education	0%	-18%	-42%	27%	-36%
4	Health Care	-7%	-16%	-38%	15%	-30%
5	Transparency	-4%	-22%	7%	1%	-39%
6	Energy Production and Management	-51%	-19%	-37%	-45%	-61%
7	Anti-Corruption	-45%	-27%	-43%	-31%	-65%
8	Investment Friendliness	-9%	-34%	-37%	6%	-38%

August 2014

9	Tax Collection	-15%	-29%	-38%	-2%	-36%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-5%	-10%	11%	24%	-23%
11	Management of Unemployment	-36%	-61%	-8%	-31%	-51%
12	Development Programmes	-3%	-10%	-26%	12%	-32%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-29%	-28%	-42%	-10%	-42%
14	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions	-37%	-49%	-41%	-28%	-62%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-20%	-27%	-35%	-1%	-47%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-16%	-39%	9%	16%	-41%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-2%	-18%	-27%	-2%	-22%
18	Environment Sustainability	-21%	-12%	-40%	-5%	-48%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-17%	-3%	-45%	-1%	-39%
20	Immunization of Children	15%	0%	8%	50%	-6%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	-35%	14%	-30%	-17%	-46%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	-18%	-	-	-	-
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	-19%	-	-	-	-
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	-20%	-38%	13%	15%	-42%
25	National Security	26%	-	-	-	-
26	Foreign Policy Management	1%	-	-	-	-
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Independence and Efficiency	-12%	-	-	-	-
28	Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Merit Based Appointments	-14%	-	-	-	-
29	Management of Inflation	-48%	-	-	-	-
30	Water Resources Development and Management	-21%	-	-	-	-

August 2014

31	Public Transport	-	20%	3%	46%	-14%
32	Access to Safe Drinking Water	-	4%	-5%	4%	-43%
33	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	-	-24%	-37%	-18%	-51%
34	Management of Relations with International Institutions	-	-6%	-37%	8%	-22%
35	Irrigation Water Development and Management	-	-22%	-29%	4%	-39%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 48% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 48% gave a positive rating while 50% gave a negative rating to the Government regarding the issue of law and order. The net performance rating is therefore negative at -2%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 23% provide positive rating

23% provided a positive rating for the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while 74% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -51%.

Public Opinion on Education - 48% provide positive rating

According to the survey 48% of the public gave positive ratings to education under the Government the same proportion, 48% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is 0%.

Public Opinion on Health Care - 45% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the effectiveness of health care system is negative with a NPR of -7%. The public gave positive rating of 45% while 52% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 45% provide positive rating

On the issue of transparency, 45% of the respondents viewed the Government organizations as positive while 49% rated it negatively. Hence the NPR fell to -4%.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 23% provide positive rating

23% of the respondents rated energy production and management positively while 74% rated it negatively.

The resultant NPR was -51%.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 26% provide positive rating

Survey results show that 26% people rated anti-corruption efforts as positive while 71% as negative. The NPR for anti- corruption is -45%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 43% provide positive rating

43% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 52% as negative hence the NPR was -9%.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 40% provide positive rating

The public rated tax collection tactics by the Government as mostly negative with an NPR of -15%. 40% rated it positive and 55% as negative.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 45% provide positive rating

On the issue of utility bill collection, 45% rated it positive while 50% were negative about it. The NPR for this category was -5%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 31% provide positive rating

According to the survey 31% of the public gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment while 67% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is negative at -36%.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 46% provide positive rating

Survey results show that 46% people rated development programmes as positive while 49% as negative. The NPR for development programmes is -3%.

August 2014

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 32% provide positive rating

32% provided a positive rating for the quality & independence of civil service under the current Government while 61% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -29%.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 29% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on merit-based recruitments and promotions is negative with an NPR of -37%. 29% of the public gave positive rating while 66% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 36% provide positive rating

According to the survey 36% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 56% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is negative at -20%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 38% provide positive rating

38% people rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while 54% rated it negative. The NPR was -16%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 45% provide positive rating

45% people rated positive the management if supply of agricultural inputs and 47% rated it negatively taking the NPR to -2%.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 36% provide positive rating

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards environment sustainability was rated positively at 36% and negative at 57%. NPR was -21%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 38% provide positive rating

According to the survey 38% of the public gave positive ratings to the disaster preparedness & management while 55% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is negative at -17%.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 56% provide positive rating

Survey results show that 56% people rated immunization of children as positive while 41% as negative. The NPR for immunization of children is +15%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Local Bodies Elections - 30% provide positive rating

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers, 30% rated it positive while 65% were negative about it. The NPR for this category was -35%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective distribution of wealth among provinces – 34% provide positive rating

34% of those questioned rated the efforts of devolution of powers to the lower tiers as positive and 52% as negative hence the NPR was -18%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Provincial Autonomy - 33% provide positive rating

33% people rated positive the devolution of powers to the lower tiers and 52% rated it negatively taking the NPR to -19%.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 36% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the access to efficient justice system is negative with a NPR of -20%. The public gave positive rating of 36% while 56% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on National Security - 61% provide positive rating

According to the survey 61% of the public gave positive ratings to national security while 35% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is positive at +26%.

Public Opinion on Foreign Policy Management - 48% provide positive rating

The public's opinion on the Government's foreign policy management was rated positively at 48% and negative at 47%. NPR was +1%.

Public Opinion on Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Independence and Efficiency- 38% provide positive rating

38% people rated positive the performance of regulatory bodies and 50% rated it negatively taking the NPR to -12%.

Public Opinion on Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Merit Based Appointments - 38% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the performance of regulatory bodies is negative with a NPR of -14%. The public gave positive rating of 38% while 52% gave a negative rating.

August 2014

Public Opinion on Management of Inflation - 25% provide positive rating

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards management of inflation was rated positively at 25% and negative at 73%. NPR was -48%.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management - 38% provide positive rating

According to the survey 38% of the public gave positive ratings to national security while 59% gave a negative rating. Thus the NPR is at -21%.

August 2014

Figure 4: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -2%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 10: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	12	36	28	22	2	-2
Gender-wise						
Male	13	41	27	18	1	9
Female	10	32	29	26	3	-13
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	35	29	22	4	-6
Middle (30-50)	13	38	27	21	1	3
Old (50+)	10	34	29	27	0	-12
Household Income-wise						
Low	13	33	28	25	1	-7
Medium	11	41	28	18	2	6
High	11	32	28	28	2	-13
Province-wise						
Punjab	13	40	26	18	3	9
Sindh	8	21	30	40	1	-41
KP	6	45	32	16	1	3
Balochistan	21	47	27	4	1	37
Location-wise						
Rural	13	38	27	19	3	5
Urban	7	33	30	27	3	-17

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	24	54	15	6	1	57
PTI	4	36	38	21	1	-19
PPP	9	25	28	36	2	-30
All others	8	30	31	27	4	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: Aug 26 - September 02, 2013. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 5: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -51%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 11: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	17	35	39	3	-51
Gender-wise						
Male	6	18	35	39	2	-50
Female	7	16	35	39	3	-51
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	17	38	36	2	-50
Middle (30-50)	7	18	34	39	2	-48
Old (50+)	3	16	27	53	1	-61
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	17	32	42	3	-51
Medium	8	17	37	37	1	-49
High	5	20	35	39	1	-49
Province-wise						
Punjab	6	19	34	38	3	-47
Sindh	3	14	37	44	2	-64
KP	14	13	37	34	2	-44
Balochistan	7	24	30	39	0	-38
Location-wise						
Rural	7	19	35	36	3	-45
Urban	5	14	35	44	2	-60

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	32	38	19	1	-15
PTI	10	8	38	42	2	-62
PPP	3	15	37	42	3	-61
All others	4	14	31	48	3	-61

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 6: Education (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 0

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 12: Education (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	11	37	30	18	4	0
Gender-wise						
Male	11	33	32	20	4	-8
Female	11	40	28	17	4	6
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	35	31	19	3	-3
Middle (30-50)	12	37	30	18	3	1
Old (50+)	7	38	30	21	4	-6
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	33	32	20	3	-7
Medium	11	39	28	17	5	5
High	11	35	31	19	4	-4
Province-wise						
Punjab	14	45	24	12	5	23
Sindh	7	25	38	27	3	-33
KP	7	21	41	29	2	-42
Balochistan	13	33	34	20	0	-8
Location-wise						
Rural	12	35	31	18	4	-2
Urban	10	40	28	19	3	3

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	22	51	19	6	2	48
PTI	6	31	37	23	3	-23
PPP	8	27	37	27	1	-29
All others	8	34	32	21	5	-11

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 7: Health Care (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -7%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3\text{-}5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 13: Health Care (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	10	35	33	19	3	-7
Gender-wise						
Male	9	34	32	22	3	-11
Female	10	36	33	17	4	-4
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	35	33	19	4	-8
Middle (30-50)	10	35	33	19	3	-7
Old (50+)	9	34	32	21	4	-10
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	33	32	22	3	-11
Medium	9	36	34	17	4	-6
High	10	36	28	23	3	-5
Province-wise						
Punjab	11	41	32	12	4	8
Sindh	7	27	30	35	1	-31
KP	7	26	43	22	2	-32
Balochistan	15	31	32	22	0	-8
Location-wise						
Rural	10	34	33	20	3	-9
Urban	9	37	32	19	3	-5

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	17	46	28	7	2	28
PTI	6	30	42	20	2	-26
PPP	6	24	31	36	3	-37
All others	8	34	32	22	4	-12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 8: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -4%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 14: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	10	35	31	18	6	-4
Gender-wise						
Male	9	35	31	20	5	-7
Female	11	34	30	15	10	0
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	34	33	16	7	-5
Middle (30-50)	10	36	30	18	6	-2
Old (50+)	6	32	27	23	12	-12
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	33	30	22	5	-9
Medium	11	37	29	14	9	5
High	7	29	36	19	9	-19
Province-wise						
Punjab	11	37	28	14	10	6
Sindh	9	20	36	33	2	-40
KP	8	47	31	12	2	12
Balochistan	11	43	38	8	0	8
Location-wise						
Rural	11	36	29	19	5	-1
Urban	8	32	35	15	10	-10

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	17	47	18	7	11	39
PTI	5	37	38	17	3	-13
PPP	8	23	28	39	2	-36
All others	8	30	36	18	8	-16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 9: Energy Production and Management

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the country (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -51%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 15: Energy Production and Management

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the country (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	16	31	43	3	-51
Gender-wise						
Male	5	17	36	40	2	-54
Female	10	14	26	47	3	-49
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	15	30	45	2	-52
Middle (30-50)	7	16	32	42	3	-51
Old (50+)	4	15	31	48	2	-60
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	13	31	46	3	-57
Medium	8	17	32	41	2	-48
High	6	19	28	44	3	-47
Province-wise						
Punjab	7	16	27	46	4	-50
Sindh	5	12	33	49	1	-65
KP	12	14	44	27	3	-45
Balochistan	5	28	33	34	0	-34
Location-wise						
Rural	7	18	32	40	3	-47
Urban	8	12	29	50	1	-59

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	24	34	28	0	-24
PTI	9	9	34	46	2	-62
PPP	4	16	33	45	2	-58
All others	4	14	28	51	3	-61

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 10: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -45%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 16: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	20	35	36	3	-45
Gender-wise						
Male	6	21	37	33	3	-43
Female	6	18	33	38	5	-47
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	19	33	36	5	-43
Middle (30-50)	6	20	37	34	3	-45
Old (50+)	3	19	32	42	4	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	19	34	39	2	-48
Medium	6	21	37	32	4	-42
High	6	20	31	40	3	-45
Province-wise						
Punjab	6	23	34	32	5	-37
Sindh	4	13	36	46	1	-65
KP	7	18	38	35	2	-48
Balochistan	6	25	35	34	0	-38
Location-wise						
Rural	7	22	33	34	4	-38
Urban	4	15	39	39	3	-59

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	37	31	19	2	-2
PTI	4	12	39	43	2	-66
PPP	6	14	34	43	3	-57
All others	3	15	36	40	6	-50

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 11: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -9%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 17: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	10	33	33	19	5	-9
Gender-wise						
Male	11	32	33	19	5	-9
Female	8	33	33	18	8	-10
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	33	34	19	5	-11
Middle (30-50)	11	33	33	18	5	-7
Old (50+)	7	32	33	19	9	-13
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	30	33	21	6	-14
Medium	10	34	34	16	6	-6
High	9	34	32	21	4	-10
Province-wise						
Punjab	12	37	28	14	9	7
Sindh	3	29	35	29	4	-32
KP	10	20	48	20	2	-38
Balochistan	14	31	37	18	0	-10
Location-wise						
Rural	11	32	34	18	5	-9
Urban	7	35	32	19	7	-9

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	48	21	8	3	39
PTI	7	25	43	22	3	-33
PPP	6	24	36	31	3	-37
All others	6	30	36	20	8	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 12: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -15%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 18: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	33	34	21	5	-15
Gender-wise						
Male	7	34	33	21	5	-13
Female	7	32	36	20	5	-17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	32	35	21	6	-18
Middle (30-50)	8	34	34	20	4	-12
Old (50+)	3	32	31	25	9	-21
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	26	39	24	4	-30
Medium	7	37	32	18	6	-6
High	5	36	30	24	5	-13
Province-wise						
Punjab	8	36	33	16	7	-5
Sindh	3	30	34	31	2	-32
KP	9	28	41	21	1	-25
Balochistan	9	33	34	23	1	-15
Location-wise						
Rural	8	32	34	21	5	-15
Urban	5	37	35	20	3	-13

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	48	27	9	2	26
PTI	7	25	41	25	2	-34
PPP	4	24	34	36	2	-42
All others	4	31	36	22	7	-23

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 13: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -5%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 19: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	8	37	30	20	5	-5
Gender-wise						
Male	9	36	31	20	4	-6
Female	8	38	29	20	5	-3
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	36	32	21	4	-10
Middle (30-50)	9	38	30	19	4	-2
Old (50+)	10	37	25	21	7	1
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	33	30	26	3	-15
Medium	9	41	30	15	5	5
High	8	34	32	21	5	-11
Province-wise						
Punjab	11	41	26	15	7	11
Sindh	4	35	28	32	1	-21
KP	3	27	50	19	1	-39
Balochistan	9	31	39	21	0	-20
Location-wise						
Rural	9	35	32	19	5	-7
Urban	8	40	27	20	5	1

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	15	51	25	8	1	33
PTI	8	32	39	19	2	-18
PPP	6	31	27	34	2	-24
All others	6	33	32	23	6	-16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 14: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -36%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 20: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	25	34	33	2	-36
Gender-wise						
Male	5	28	32	34	1	-33
Female	7	23	36	33	1	-39
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	22	39	32	1	-43
Middle (30-50)	7	29	31	32	1	-27
Old (50+)	2	21	30	46	1	-53
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	24	31	36	2	-36
Medium	6	28	34	30	2	-30
High	4	21	38	37	0	-50
Province-wise						
Punjab	6	25	35	32	2	-36
Sindh	6	16	31	47	0	-56
KP	7	43	32	17	1	1
Balochistan	5	27	40	28	0	-36
Location-wise						
Rural	6	28	33	31	2	-30
Urban	5	21	35	38	1	-47

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	42	32	13	2	8
PTI	4	26	36	33	1	-39
PPP	7	16	29	47	1	-53
All others	4	18	35	41	2	-54

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101)

August 2014

Figure 15: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality, timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -3%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 21: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality, timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	10	36	29	20	5	-3
Gender-wise						
Male	11	33	32	21	3	-9
Female	10	39	26	20	5	3
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	39	29	20	3	-1
Middle (30-50)	12	34	29	21	4	-4
Old (50+)	7	39	27	19	8	0
Household Income-wise						
Low	9	32	30	26	3	-15
Medium	11	39	29	17	4	4
High	12	40	25	19	4	8
Province-wise						
Punjab	11	43	25	14	7	15
Sindh	7	29	29	32	3	-25
KP	8	16	45	29	2	-50
Balochistan	19	46	24	10	1	31
Location-wise						
Rural	11	35	29	22	3	-5
Urban	10	39	29	18	4	2

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	19	48	23	8	2	36
PTI	7	25	41	24	3	-33
PPP	7	27	28	36	2	-30
All others	8	36	28	22	6	-6

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 16: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influence and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -29%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 22: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influence and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	26	37	24	7	-29
Gender-wise						
Male	5	25	37	26	7	-33
Female	8	27	36	21	8	-22
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	25	35	25	8	-28
Middle (30-50)	6	27	38	23	6	-28
Old (50+)	4	25	36	23	12	-30
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	26	37	26	4	-30
Medium	7	27	36	22	8	-24
High	5	24	37	22	12	-30
Province-wise						
Punjab	7	32	34	17	10	-12
Sindh	5	14	38	36	7	-55
KP	7	18	42	31	2	-48
Balochistan	6	33	44	17	0	-22
Location-wise						
Rural	7	27	35	24	7	-25
Urban	5	23	39	23	10	-34

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	43	30	11	6	12
PTI	7	16	43	31	3	-51
PPP	5	17	39	36	3	-53
All others	4	23	37	24	12	-34

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 17: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -37%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 23: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	5	24	34	32	5	-37
Gender-wise						
Male	5	24	37	31	3	-39
Female	6	25	32	33	4	-34
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	24	34	32	5	-37
Middle (30-50)	6	25	36	30	3	-35
Old (50+)	4	20	30	41	5	-47
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	25	32	34	5	-37
Medium	6	25	36	28	5	-33
High	5	20	35	35	5	-45
Province-wise						
Punjab	5	27	30	30	8	-28
Sindh	4	18	36	41	1	-55
KP	8	27	44	19	2	-28
Balochistan	3	14	46	36	1	-65
Location-wise						
Rural	5	26	34	29	6	-32
Urban	5	20	34	37	4	-46

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	40	32	14	4	4
PTI	4	19	40	34	3	-51
PPP	4	22	31	42	1	-47
All others	3	18	35	38	6	-52

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 18: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -20%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 24: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	30	35	21	8	-20
Gender-wise						
Male	6	28	38	21	7	-25
Female	6	31	32	22	9	-17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	28	38	20	8	-24
Middle (30-50)	6	32	34	22	6	-18
Old (50+)	5	25	30	25	15	-25
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	27	38	25	5	-31
Medium	7	32	34	19	8	-14
High	6	31	32	22	9	-17
Province-wise						
Punjab	7	33	32	17	11	-9
Sindh	3	20	37	35	5	-49
KP	7	31	43	17	2	-22
Balochistan	7	35	37	19	2	-14
Location-wise						
Rural	7	30	35	22	6	-20
Urban	4	29	36	21	10	-24

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	48	25	9	6	26
PTI	5	24	43	25	3	-39
PPP	5	20	36	36	3	-47
All others	3	25	38	23	11	-33

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 19: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -16%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 25: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	31	33	21	8	-16
Gender-wise						
Male	7	28	33	24	8	-22
Female	6	34	34	18	8	-12
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	30	33	22	9	-19
Middle (30-50)	7	32	35	20	6	-16
Old (50+)	6	30	28	23	13	-15
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	28	35	24	7	-25
Medium	7	35	33	18	7	-9
High	8	27	31	25	9	-21
Province-wise						
Punjab	8	36	30	14	12	0
Sindh	4	22	30	39	5	-43
KP	3	26	50	18	3	-39
Balochistan	10	31	39	20	0	-18
Location-wise						
Rural	7	30	34	21	8	-18
Urban	6	33	31	21	9	-13

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	47	27	8	5	25
PTI	3	23	48	21	5	-43
PPP	4	21	32	40	3	-47
All others	5	28	32	23	12	-22

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 20: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -2%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 26: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	10	35	28	19	8	-2
Gender-wise						
Male	9	35	31	17	8	-4
Female	10	35	24	20	11	1
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	35	27	20	9	-3
Middle (30-50)	11	35	28	18	8	0
Old (50+)	7	32	30	20	11	-11
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	30	32	24	4	-16
Medium	9	38	27	15	11	5
High	13	36	21	20	10	8
Province-wise						
Punjab	11	34	28	17	10	0
Sindh	9	28	25	27	11	-15
KP	3	47	29	18	3	3
Balochistan	19	47	27	6	1	33
Location-wise						
Rural	11	35	29	20	5	-3
Urban	7	34	25	17	17	-1

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	45	20	6	11	37
PTI	3	38	35	18	6	-12
PPP	6	24	31	34	6	-35
All others	9	31	28	22	10	-10

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 21: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -21%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 27: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	8	28	32	25	7	-21
Gender-wise						
Male	7	27	34	25	7	-25
Female	10	29	30	25	6	-16
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	28	32	27	5	-23
Middle (30-50)	9	28	33	24	6	-20
Old (50+)	5	26	31	27	11	-27
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	25	32	31	4	-30
Medium	9	29	33	21	8	-16
High	9	33	28	24	6	-10
Province-wise						
Punjab	8	34	29	20	9	-7
Sindh	6	21	35	36	2	-44
KP	13	11	43	31	2	-50
Balochistan	9	37	34	19	1	-7
Location-wise						
Rural	9	29	32	24	6	-18
Urban	8	27	32	27	6	-24

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	41	28	12	5	15
PTI	9	17	42	29	3	-45
PPP	6	22	33	36	3	-41
All others	6	27	31	28	8	-26

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 22: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -17%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 28: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	11	27	30	25	7	-17
Gender-wise						
Male	11	29	30	23	7	-13
Female	11	24	29	26	10	-20
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	28	28	24	8	-12
Middle (30-50)	11	26	31	25	7	-19
Old (50+)	10	24	30	28	8	-24
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	25	31	28	5	-23
Medium	11	26	29	23	11	-15
High	13	30	28	24	5	-9
Province-wise						
Punjab	13	30	28	17	12	-2
Sindh	9	22	25	40	4	-34
KP	6	17	44	31	2	-52
Balochistan	17	31	30	21	1	-3
Location-wise						
Rural	13	27	30	24	6	-14
Urban	8	27	28	27	10	-20

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	36	23	11	12	20
PTI	8	20	36	31	5	-39
PPP	12	22	29	34	3	-29
All others	8	25	31	27	9	-25

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 23: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +15%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 29: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	16	40	25	16	3	15
Gender-wise						
Male	19	36	25	17	3	13
Female	14	43	24	15	4	17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	16	41	22	17	4	18
Middle (30-50)	17	38	27	15	3	13
Old (50+)	14	41	21	18	6	16
Household Income-wise						
Low	14	35	30	17	4	2
Medium	17	43	22	14	4	24
High	19	36	23	19	3	13
Province-wise						
Punjab	19	46	21	9	5	35
Sindh	15	28	24	31	2	-12
KP	7	33	41	18	1	-19
Balochistan	25	33	25	18	0	15
Location-wise						
Rural	16	39	26	15	4	14
Urban	18	41	21	18	2	20

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	28	52	15	3	2	62
PTI	9	35	37	17	2	-10
PPP	13	26	27	32	3	-20
All others	13	39	25	19	5	8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 24: Devolution of Powers to The lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to holding local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -35%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 30: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to holding local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	5	25	38	27	5	-35
Gender-wise						
Male	4	21	42	28	5	-45
Female	6	29	33	25	7	-23
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	24	38	27	6	-36
Middle (30-50)	5	27	38	26	4	-32
Old (50+)	4	20	38	32	6	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	23	38	30	4	-40
Medium	6	28	37	24	5	-27
High	5	22	38	28	7	-39
Province-wise						
Punjab	5	28	36	24	7	-27
Sindh	3	20	38	35	4	-50
KP	6	24	44	24	2	-38
Balochistan	10	20	38	32	0	-40
Location-wise						
Rural	6	26	38	26	4	-32
Urban	4	23	37	29	7	-39

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	43	31	14	2	8
PTI	5	18	43	31	3	-51
PPP	4	21	37	34	4	-46
All others	3	19	40	30	8	-48

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 25: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to the effective and transparent distribution of financial resources amongst Provincial Governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -18%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 31: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to the effective and transparent distribution of financial resources amongst Provincial Governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	27	32	20	14	-18
Gender-wise						
Male	8	27	32	21	12	-18
Female	6	27	33	19	15	-19
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	27	33	20	13	-19
Middle (30-50)	8	28	32	20	12	-16
Old (50+)	4	17	32	23	24	-34
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	23	35	24	12	-30
Medium	8	32	31	16	13	-7
High	9	22	29	21	19	-19
Province-wise						
Punjab	8	30	28	15	19	-5
Sindh	3	18	38	29	12	-46
KP	10	27	38	23	2	-24
Balochistan	11	27	34	26	2	-22
Location-wise						
Rural	8	28	31	20	13	-15
Urban	4	25	33	19	19	-23

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	44	22	9	11	27
PTI	6	22	41	22	9	-35
PPP	5	18	34	35	8	-46
All others	4	21	34	22	19	-31

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 26: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to giving the Provincials Governments the power to make independent decisions, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -19%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 32: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to giving the Provincials Governments the power to make independent decisions, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	5	28	30	22	15	-19
Gender-wise						
Male	7	28	28	24	13	-17
Female	4	28	32	21	15	-21
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	28	31	21	14	-18
Middle (30-50)	6	29	30	23	12	-18
Old (50+)	1	25	27	22	25	-23
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	22	33	28	13	-35
Medium	6	33	29	19	13	-9
High	5	27	27	22	19	-17
Province-wise						
Punjab	7	32	25	18	18	-4
Sindh	3	20	34	31	12	-42
KP	3	27	42	25	3	-37
Balochistan	5	30	38	24	3	-27
Location-wise						
Rural	6	29	30	23	12	-18
Urban	5	27	30	21	17	-19

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	45	21	11	12	24
PTI	3	22	35	30	8	-40
PPP	4	25	31	33	7	-35
All others	3	22	33	22	20	-30

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 27: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Federal Government over the past one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -20%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 33: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Federal Government over the past one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	29	32	24	8	-20
Gender-wise						
Male	6	25	34	25	10	-28
Female	7	33	29	23	8	-12
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	27	32	26	9	-25
Middle (30-50)	7	31	31	24	7	-17
Old (50+)	7	25	32	22	14	-22
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	25	33	30	8	-32
Medium	8	33	30	20	9	-9
High	4	29	32	26	9	-25
Province-wise						
Punjab	9	35	28	16	12	0
Sindh	4	18	32	41	5	-51
KP	3	26	42	27	2	-40
Balochistan	3	26	45	27	0	-43
Location-wise						
Rural	7	28	32	24	9	-21
Urban	6	30	31	25	8	-20

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	47	23	9	7	29
PTI	2	22	40	29	7	-45
PPP	5	19	32	39	5	-47
All others	4	24	33	27	12	-32

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 28: National Security (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the effective execution of national defence and security policies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +26%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 34: National Security (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the effective execution of national defence and security policies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	18	43	27	8	4	26
Gender-wise						
Male	21	44	26	6	3	33
Female	14	42	29	10	5	17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	18	42	28	9	3	23
Middle (30-50)	18	44	27	7	4	28
Old (50+)	13	43	26	11	7	19
Household Income-wise						
Low	19	39	31	9	2	18
Medium	17	46	25	7	5	31
High	18	44	26	8	4	28
Province-wise						
Punjab	19	45	24	7	5	33
Sindh	18	33	34	12	3	5
KP	7	53	30	10	0	20
Balochistan	32	41	25	2	0	46
Location-wise						
Rural	18	43	27	8	4	26
Urban	17	42	29	7	5	23

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	29	53	14	2	2	66
PTI	5	48	37	8	2	8
PPP	15	36	32	15	2	4
All others	17	38	30	10	5	15

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 29: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the foreign policy, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +1%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 35: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the foreign policy, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	13	35	31	16	5	1
Gender-wise						
Male	12	36	33	15	4	0
Female	14	34	28	18	6	2
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	13	36	29	17	5	3
Middle (30-50)	14	34	32	16	4	0
Old (50+)	8	34	29	20	9	-7
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	29	34	21	4	-14
Medium	13	38	29	14	6	8
High	16	38	28	12	6	14
Province-wise						
Punjab	13	40	27	13	7	13
Sindh	9	34	28	25	4	-10
KP	13	16	52	18	1	-41
Balochistan	33	33	25	8	1	33
Location-wise						
Rural	13	33	32	18	5	-4
Urban	13	39	29	13	6	10

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21	50	21	5	3	45
PTI	12	20	46	20	2	-34
PPP	11	28	31	26	4	-18
All others	9	35	30	18	8	-4

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 30: Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Independence & Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the independence and effectiveness of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -12%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 36: Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Independence & Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the independence and effectiveness of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	11	27	33	17	12	-12
Gender-wise						
Male	9	27	37	17	10	-18
Female	12	28	30	17	13	-7
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	26	33	18	11	-13
Middle (30-50)	11	29	33	18	9	-11
Old (50+)	6	29	39	12	14	-16
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	26	36	19	8	-18
Medium	11	30	30	17	12	-6
High	8	26	35	17	14	-18
Province-wise						
Punjab	12	33	27	13	15	5
Sindh	9	18	44	20	9	-37
KP	7	19	39	33	2	-46
Balochistan	12	35	38	12	3	-3
Location-wise						
Rural	11	28	33	18	10	-12
Urban	9	26	35	17	13	-17

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	41	24	9	8	26
PTI	5	22	40	26	7	-39
PPP	12	19	44	22	3	-35
All others	8	25	34	17	16	-18

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 31: Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the last one year regarding timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc) on the basis of merit please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -14%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 37: Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the last one year regarding timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc) on the basis of merit please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	31	34	18	10	-14
Gender-wise						
Male	6	32	36	17	9	-15
Female	8	30	31	19	12	-12
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	29	34	19	11	-17
Middle (30-50)	7	34	34	16	9	-9
Old (50+)	4	28	32	21	15	-21
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	29	34	21	9	-19
Medium	6	34	34	15	11	-9
High	6	30	33	19	12	-16
Province-wise						
Punjab	7	36	30	13	14	0
Sindh	6	23	35	29	7	-35
KP	9	26	45	16	4	-26
Balochistan	7	29	37	23	4	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	7	32	33	19	9	-13
Urban	5	31	35	16	13	-15

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	48	25	7	10	26
PTI	6	25	42	19	8	-30
PPP	5	25	37	28	5	-35
All others	5	26	34	21	14	-24

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 32: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to controlling inflation, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -48%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 38: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to controlling inflation, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	To a Great	Somewhat	Very Little	Not At All	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	6	19	39	34	2	-48
Gender-wise						
Male	5	22	41	29	3	-43
Female	6	15	37	39	3	-55
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	16	41	35	3	-55
Middle (30-50)	7	20	39	32	2	-44
Old (50+)	3	17	34	44	2	-58
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	18	39	34	2	-48
Medium	6	21	39	32	2	-43
High	4	13	42	38	3	-63
Province-wise						
Punjab	6	18	37	36	3	-49
Sindh	3	10	43	43	1	-73
KP	10	35	40	13	2	-8
Balochistan	5	16	49	30	0	-58
Location-wise						
Rural	7	20	41	30	2	-44
Urban	4	15	37	42	2	-60

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	30	39	18	1	-15
PTI	6	22	40	29	3	-41
PPP	4	10	46	37	3	-69
All others	3	13	37	44	3	-65

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 33: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, with regard to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -21%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 39: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, with regard to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	7	31	34	25	3	-21
Gender-wise						
Male	6	31	36	22	5	-21
Female	8	30	31	28	3	-21
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	30	33	25	4	-20
Middle (30-50)	7	31	34	25	3	-21
Old (50+)	4	27	37	28	4	-34
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	28	34	28	4	-28
Medium	8	34	33	22	3	-13
High	9	28	35	24	4	-22
Province-wise						
Punjab	9	33	31	23	4	-12
Sindh	5	18	35	39	3	-51
KP	4	40	44	11	1	-11
Balochistan	9	36	37	17	1	-9
Location-wise						
Rural	9	32	34	23	2	-16
Urban	4	28	34	30	4	-32

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	44	26	13	3	19
PTI	4	30	39	22	5	-27
PPP	7	21	36	35	1	-43
All others	4	26	36	30	4	-36

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

**Quality of Governance – Balochistan Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Balochistan**

August 2014

Table 40: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Balochistan

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating
1	Law and Order	-8%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-61%
3	Education	-18%
4	Health Care	-16%
5	Transparency	-22%
6	Energy Production and Management	-19%
7	Anti- Corruption	-27%
8	Investment Friendliness	-34%
9	Tax Collection	-29%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-10%
11	Management of Unemployment	-61%
12	Development Programmes	-10%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-28%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	-49%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-27%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-39%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-18%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-12%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-3%
20	Immunization of Children	0%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers	-14%
22	Access to Efficient Justice System	-38%

August 2014

23	Public Transport	+20%
24	Access to Safe Drinking Water	+4%
25	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	-24%
26	Management of Relations with International Institutions	-6%
27	Irrigation Water Development and Management	-22%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 46% provide positive rating

Regarding the maintenance of law and order in the province, 46% respondents from Balochistan gave positive ratings to the provincial Government. On the other hand, 54% gave negative ratings. Therefore, the NPR is -8%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 19% provide positive ratings

19% respondents from Balochistan gave positive ratings to their Government for the alleviation of poverty, while 80% gave negative ratings. The NPR is thus -61%.

Public Opinion on Education - 41% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the provincial Government's attempts to improve education with 41% giving positive ratings and 59% giving negative ratings, leading to an NPR of -18%.

Public Opinion on Healthcare- 42% provide positive ratings

Regarding the performance on healthcare, 42% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government while 58% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -16%.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 39% provide positive ratings.

39% of the public believe that the provincial Government has been transparent about its actions and plans. On the other hand, 61% do not believe that the Government has been transparent enough. The NPR is -22%.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management-40% provide positive ratings

The public's rating of the Government's performance on energy production and management is negative with a 59% negative rating and a 40% positive rating. The

NPR is -19%.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 36% provide positive rating

Only 36% of the public gave positive rating to the Government of Balochistan for its performance on elimination of corruption. 63% of the public gave negative ratings, resulting in an NPR of -27%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness- 32% provide positive ratings

Regarding increase in investment, 32% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government and 66% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -34%.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 35% provide positive ratings

The people of Balochistan did not seem to be satisfied with the provincial Government's performance on tax collection with only 35% providing positive ratings. 64% gave negative ratings and the NPR amounts to -29%.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 45% provide positive ratings

The respondents did not seem satisfied with the Government's performance regarding collection of utility bills. 45% gave positive ratings and 55% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -10%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 19% provide positive ratings

Regarding the management of unemployment, 19% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government and 80% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -61%.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 45% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 45% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its

August 2014

performance regarding development programmes while 55% gave negative ratings. The NPR is -10%.

Public Opinion on Quality and Independence of Civil Service - 36% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 64% of the respondents gave negative ratings to the Government for the quality and independence of the civil service while 36% gave positive ratings. The NPR is therefore -28%.

Public Opinion on Merit-Based Recruitments and Promotions - 24% provide positive ratings

The public does not believe that the provincial Government has done enough regarding merit based recruitments and promotions. 73% gave negative ratings and only 24% gave positive ratings, leading to an NPR of -49%.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 36% provide positive ratings

36% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government regarding its handling of clean, efficient and economic public procurements, while 63% gave negative ratings. This resulted in an NPR of -27%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies - 30% provide positive ratings

Regarding the use of technologies for better governance, 30% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government while 69% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -39%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 41% provide positive ratings

Regarding the Government's performance on the management of supply of agricultural inputs, 41% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 59% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -18%.

Public Opinion on Environmental Sustainability - 44% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the Government's performance on environmental sustainability with 56% providing negative ratings, while 44% gave positive ratings. The NPR is -12%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 48% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 48% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 51% gave negative ratings to the provincial Government regarding disaster preparedness and management. This results in an NPR of -3%.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 50% provide positive ratings

50% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government, while 50% gave negative ratings regarding the immunization of children. The NPR is therefore 0%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - 57% provide positive rating

The public seemed satisfied with the Government's performance on devolution of powers to lower tiers with 57% of the respondents giving a positive rating. 43% gave a negative rating, so the NPR is +14%.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 31% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 31% of the respondents gave a positive rating to the Government's performance regarding the access to efficient justice system. On the other hand, 69% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore -38%.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 60% provide positive rating

The Government received positive ratings from 60% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 40% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The NPR is +20%.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water- 52% provide positive rating

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of access to safe drinking water, 52% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 48% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore +4%.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 38% provide positive rating

38% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 62% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation. The NPR is therefore -24%.

Public Opinion on Management of Relations with International Institutions - 47% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 47% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government for its management of relations with international institutions. On the other hand, 53% gave negative ratings. Thus, the NPR is -6%.

August 2014


Public Opinion on Irrigation Water Development and Management - 39% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 39% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 61% gave negative ratings. So the NPR is -22%.

August 2014

Figure 34: Law and Order (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -8%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 41: Law and Order (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	38	41	13	0	-8
Gender-wise						
Male	11	39	38	12	0	0
Female	1	35	48	15	1	-27
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	39	39	14	0	-7
Middle (30-50)	9	38	41	11	0	-5
Old (50+)	14	24	48	14	0	-24
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	30	41	22	4	-30
Medium	8	26	48	17	0	-32
High	9	46	36	9	0	10
Location-wise						0
Rural	10	38	41	11	0	-4
Urban	4	40	36	20	0	-13
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	48	45	0	0	10
PTI	17	0	83	0	0	-67
PPP	13	7	60	20	0	-60
All others	8	39	39	14	0	-6

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 35: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -61%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 42: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	2	17	42	38	1	-61
Gender-wise						
Male	2	18	42	38	0	-59
Female	3	12	43	41	1	-69
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	2	15	45	39	0	-66
Middle (30-50)	3	19	41	37	0	-57
Old (50+)	0	10	38	52	0	-81
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	7	41	48	4	-82
Medium	3	12	39	45	0	-70
High	2	20	44	33	0	-55
Location-wise						0
Rural	2	18	43	37	0	-60
Urban	4	9	44	44	0	-75
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	5	31	36	29	0	-29
PTI	0	17	33	50	0	-67
PPP	0	13	27	60	0	-73
All others	2	15	44	39	0	-65

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 36: Education (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -18%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 43: Education (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	9	32	33	26	0	-18
Gender-wise						
Male	12	38	27	23	0	0
Female	2	14	49	34	1	-67
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	34	27	31	0	-16
Middle (30-50)	11	32	35	22	0	-15
Old (50+)	0	19	52	29	0	-62
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	7	15	67	4	-67
Medium	11	25	36	28	0	-29
High	9	39	33	20	0	-6
Location-wise						0
Rural	10	35	31	23	0	-10
Urban	4	21	39	36	0	-50
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	45	29	19	0	5
PTI	0	0	17	83	0	-100
PPP	13	40	27	20	0	7
All others	9	30	34	26	0	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: \pm 3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 37: Health Care (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -16%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 44: Health Care (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	9	33	31	27	0	-16
Gender-wise						
Male	11	36	30	22	0	-5
Female	2	25	33	39	1	-46
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	11	33	32	24	0	-11
Middle (30-50)	7	35	30	28	0	-15
Old (50+)	5	19	38	38	0	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	11	37	48	4	-74
Medium	8	24	34	34	0	-36
High	10	41	29	20	0	3
Location-wise						0
Rural	8	33	32	27	0	-18
Urban	11	38	23	29	0	-3
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	40	36	10	0	10
PTI	17	33	33	17	0	0
PPP	0	27	40	33	0	-47
All others	8	33	30	29	0	-18

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 38: Transparency (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -22%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 45: Transparency (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	31	40	21	-22
Gender-wise					
Male	9	35	39	17	-12
Female	4	22	43	31	-49
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	7	32	38	23	-22
Middle (30-50)	8	32	42	19	-21
Old (50+)	14	19	33	33	-33
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	0	70	30	-100
Medium	7	24	38	31	-38
High	9	39	38	14	-4
Location-wise					0
Rural	7	32	39	22	-23
Urban	11	29	43	18	-20
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	5	38	36	21	-14
PTI	0	17	83	0	-67
PPP	0	20	40	40	-60
All others	9	31	40	20	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 39: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -19%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 46: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7	33	29	30	1	-19
Gender-wise						
Male	7	36	27	29	1	-12
Female	5	24	37	34	0	-43
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	34	31	28	1	-19
Middle (30-50)	7	34	29	30	0	-18
Old (50+)	5	19	24	52	0	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	4	56	41	0	-93
Medium	8	27	30	36	0	-32
High	7	40	26	26	1	-5
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	31	32	31	0	-26
Urban	10	43	20	28	0	5
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	38	31	21	0	-5
PTI	0	50	33	17	0	0
PPP	7	27	27	40	0	-33
All others	6	32	29	31	1	-22

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 40: Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 47: Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	28	38	25	1	-27
Gender-wise						
Male	10	32	37	20	1	-14
Female	3	16	43	38	0	-62
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	30	32	28	1	-21
Middle (30-50)	8	27	42	23	0	-30
Old (50+)	10	19	52	19	0	-43
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	0	48	52	0	-100
Medium	8	20	43	29	1	-45
High	10	36	35	19	0	-9
Location-wise						0
Rural	9	26	40	25	0	-30
Urban	6	38	33	24	0	-13
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	5	38	29	29	0	-14
PTI	0	17	50	33	0	-67
PPP	0	7	53	40	0	-87
All others	9	28	39	24	1	-25

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 41: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -34%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 48: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7	25	38	28	2	-34
Gender-wise						
Male	8	29	38	23	2	-23
Female	4	14	41	41	0	-64
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	20	45	27	1	-46
Middle (30-50)	7	29	35	29	1	-28
Old (50+)	10	33	29	29	0	-14
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	4	37	56	4	-89
Medium	3	21	45	29	2	-49
High	10	30	35	24	0	-19
Location-wise						0
Rural	7	25	43	24	1	-35
Urban	6	28	25	40	1	-31
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	26	40	19	2	-21
PTI	0	17	17	67	0	-67
PPP	7	7	60	27	0	-73
All others	6	26	38	28	1	-33

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 42: Tax Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -29%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 49: Tax Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	27	34	30	1	-29
Gender-wise						
Male	10	30	35	25	1	-20
Female	2	20	31	44	3	-53
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	29	38	30	1	-36
Middle (30-50)	11	28	29	30	2	-19
Old (50+)	10	0	62	29	0	-81
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	4	48	48	0	-93
Medium	9	17	34	39	2	-47
High	8	36	32	23	1	-11
Location-wise						0
Rural	8	29	33	28	2	-25
Urban	9	20	38	34	0	-43
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	2	36	38	24	0	-24
PTI	0	17	33	50	0	-67
PPP	13	13	33	40	0	-47
All others	8	27	34	30	2	-28

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 43: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -10%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 50: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	14	31	30	25	-10
Gender-wise					
Male	18	32	26	24	0
Female	2	29	43	27	-39
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	13	32	32	22	-9
Middle (30-50)	14	32	29	24	-7
Old (50+)	10	14	33	43	-52
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	11	33	56	-78
Medium	11	23	36	30	-32
High	16	39	27	18	10
Location-wise					
Rural	14	28	31	27	-16
Urban	13	44	28	16	13
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	14	31	31	24	-9
PTI	0	17	50	33	-67
PPP	7	27	27	40	-33
All others	14	32	30	24	-8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 44: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -61%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 51: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	2	17	54	26	1	-61
Gender-wise						
Male	1	18	50	30	1	-60
Female	2	15	67	15	1	-65
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	0	14	65	21	1	-72
Middle (30-50)	3	22	48	27	1	-50
Old (50+)	0	0	48	52	0	-100
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	0	56	41	4	-96
Medium	2	12	57	28	2	-71
High	2	23	53	23	0	-52
Location-wise						0
Rural	2	18	54	25	1	-59
Urban	0	13	56	30	1	-74
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	2	26	57	14	0	-43
PTI	0	33	33	33	0	-33
PPP	0	13	53	33	0	-73
All others	2	16	54	27	1	-64

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 45: Development Programmes (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. . Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -10%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 52: Development Programmes (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. . Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	37	34	21	0	-10
Gender-wise						
Male	9	40	37	13	1	0
Female	5	28	25	42	1	-34
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	36	34	22	1	-12
Middle (30-50)	9	37	34	19	1	-8
Old (50+)	5	38	29	29	0	-14
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	4	59	37	0	-93
Medium	9	33	32	25	2	-15
High	9	43	32	16	0	3
Location-wise						0
Rural	10	36	33	20	1	-7
Urban	3	38	36	24	0	-20
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	5	57	19	19	0	24
PTI	0	33	50	17	0	-33
PPP	13	13	47	27	0	-47
All others	9	35	35	21	1	-12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 46: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -28%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 53: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7	29	38	26	0	-28
Gender-wise						
Male	8	36	37	19	0	-11
Female	2	10	41	47	1	-76
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	28	39	26	1	-30
Middle (30-50)	7	30	36	27	0	-25
Old (50+)	5	19	52	24	0	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	0	63	37	0	-100
Medium	6	24	39	30	1	-39
High	8	35	34	23	0	-15
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	30	37	25	1	-26
Urban	6	24	40	30	0	-40
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	43	36	14	0	0
PTI	17	0	50	33	0	-67
PPP	7	20	47	27	0	-47
All others	6	28	38	28	1	-31

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 47: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -49%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 54: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	5	19	35	38	3	-49
Gender-wise						
Male	6	22	35	37	0	-44
Female	4	11	36	43	6	-64
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	19	36	36	1	-45
Middle (30-50)	3	20	37	38	2	-52
Old (50+)	10	10	19	57	5	-57
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	0	37	63	0	-100
Medium	5	13	34	44	4	-60
High	6	25	36	32	1	-38
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	18	37	37	2	-50
Urban	4	18	30	49	0	-58
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	5	26	36	29	5	-33
PTI	0	33	0	67	0	-33
PPP	0	20	40	40	0	-60
All others	6	18	36	39	2	-51

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 48: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 55: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	6	30	45	18	1	-27
Gender-wise						
Male	8	34	42	15	0	-15
Female	0	17	55	27	1	-65
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	29	43	18	1	-24
Middle (30-50)	5	30	46	19	0	-30
Old (50+)	0	29	57	14	0	-43
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	7	44	48	0	-85
Medium	6	20	54	20	1	-48
High	6	38	41	14	0	-10
Location-wise						0
Rural	7	30	45	18	1	-27
Urban	4	30	45	21	0	-33
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	2	38	38	21	0	-19
PTI	0	0	67	33	0	-100
PPP	0	13	60	27	0	-73
All others	7	30	45	17	1	-26

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 49: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -39%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 56: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	5	25	44	25	1	-39
Gender-wise						
Male	7	28	45	20	0	-30
Female	1	18	41	39	1	-61
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	32	42	22	1	-29
Middle (30-50)	6	23	45	26	0	-42
Old (50+)	5	10	43	43	0	-71
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	0	33	63	0	-93
Medium	4	23	40	33	1	-46
High	6	30	47	17	0	-28
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	25	46	23	1	-38
Urban	4	25	34	38	0	-43
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	31	33	29	0	-24
PTI	0	0	67	33	0	-100
PPP	0	13	53	33	0	-73
All others	5	26	44	24	1	-38

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: \pm 3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 50: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -18%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 57: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	8	33	35	24	-18
Gender-wise					
Male	10	33	33	24	-14
Female	2	34	41	23	-28
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	9	36	28	26	-10
Middle (30-50)	8	33	37	22	-18
Old (50+)	0	10	62	29	-81
Household Income-wise					
Low	4	22	48	26	-48
Medium	5	24	37	34	-42
High	10	39	32	18	0
Location-wise					0
Rural	10	34	31	25	-12
Urban	1	25	53	21	-48
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	7	48	21	24	9
PTI	0	17	50	33	-67
PPP	0	33	40	27	-33
All others	9	31	36	24	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 51: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -12%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 58: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	6	38	36	20	0	-12
Gender-wise						
Male	7	38	33	22	0	-10
Female	3	36	44	17	0	-22
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	36	41	18	0	-16
Middle (30-50)	6	39	34	20	0	-9
Old (50+)	0	33	24	43	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	15	44	37	0	-63
Medium	8	28	39	24	1	-28
High	5	46	33	16	0	1
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	39	35	19	0	-9
Urban	3	35	35	28	0	-25
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	5	52	31	10	2	17
PTI	0	0	67	33	0	-100
PPP	13	27	47	13	0	-20
All others	6	37	35	22	0	-15

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 52: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -3%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 59: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	9	39	32	19	1	-3
Gender-wise						
Male	11	43	29	16	0	8
Female	5	30	39	25	1	-29
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	11	41	32	16	1	5
Middle (30-50)	8	40	33	19	0	-4
Old (50+)	5	29	29	38	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	0	11	37	48	4	-74
Medium	12	39	27	20	1	4
High	9	43	34	14	0	3
Location-wise						0
Rural	10	42	30	17	1	5
Urban	4	33	36	28	0	-28
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	55	21	7	2	40
PTI	0	50	33	17	0	0
PPP	7	33	27	33	0	-20
All others	9	38	34	20	0	-7

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 53: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 0

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 60: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	19	31	26	24	0	0
Gender-wise						
Male	21	33	23	23	0	8
Female	11	27	33	28	1	-23
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	20	28	24	28	0	-4
Middle (30-50)	18	35	27	20	0	5
Old (50+)	24	10	33	33	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	22	15	56	4	-45
Medium	15	27	28	30	0	-17
High	23	35	26	17	0	14
Location-wise						
Rural	19	31	26	23	0	1
Urban	19	33	23	26	0	3
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21	36	26	17	0	14
PTI	0	67	17	17	0	33
PPP	20	0	47	33	0	-60
All others	19	31	25	25	0	0

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 54: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Balochistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 14%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 61: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Balochistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	19	38	23	20	14
Gender-wise					
Male	23	44	20	13	33
Female	8	22	30	41	-41
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	21	36	18	26	13
Middle (30-50)	17	38	28	17	10
Old (50+)	19	52	10	19	43
Household Income-wise					
Low	7	15	33	44	-56
Medium	23	38	18	20	23
High	17	41	24	18	16
Location-wise					0
Rural	17	41	23	19	16
Urban	26	21	23	30	-5
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	12	50	21	17	24
PTI	0	33	33	33	-33
PPP	33	27	20	20	20
All others	19	37	23	21	13

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 55: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 62: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7	24	34	35	0	-38
Gender-wise						
Male	8	31	33	28	1	-23
Female	4	6	35	55	0	-81
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	18	32	41	1	-45
Middle (30-50)	6	29	34	30	0	-30
Old (50+)	0	10	38	52	0	-81
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	4	52	33	0	-70
Medium	11	19	33	38	0	-41
High	4	29	32	34	1	-33
Location-wise						0
Rural	6	28	35	30	1	-31
Urban	1	8	31	60	0	-83
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	29	33	26	0	-19
PTI	17	17	50	17	0	-33
PPP	13	20	40	27	0	-33
All others	6	24	33	37	1	-41

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 56: Public Transport (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 20%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 63: Public Transport (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	23	37	31	9	20
Gender-wise					
Male	31	34	27	8	29
Female	5	45	41	10	-1
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	20	38	34	8	16
Middle (30-50)	27	36	28	9	27
Old (50+)	10	38	38	14	-5
Household Income-wise					
Low	4	4	41	52	-85
Medium	11	36	42	11	-5
High	33	41	23	3	48
Location-wise					0
Rural	25	36	31	8	23
Urban	19	38	31	13	13
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	24	48	26	2	43
PTI	33	50	17	0	67
PPP	0	40	33	27	-20
All others	24	35	31	9	19

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 57: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 4%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 64: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	10	42	32	16	4
Gender-wise					
Male	12	45	30	13	14
Female	4	34	36	26	-24
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	9	42	31	18	1
Middle (30-50)	12	43	30	15	9
Old (50+)	0	38	48	14	-24
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	4	56	41	-93
Medium	3	35	40	22	-24
High	15	51	24	10	32
Location-wise					
Rural	10	41	33	16	2
Urban	11	45	26	18	13
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	19	36	29	17	10
PTI	0	50	17	33	0
PPP	0	13	73	13	-73
All others	9	44	30	16	7

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 58: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -24%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 65: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	10	28	42	20	-24
Gender-wise					
Male	12	31	39	19	-14
Female	7	20	50	23	-47
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	11	29	37	24	-20
Middle (30-50)	10	26	47	17	-27
Old (50+)	10	38	29	24	-5
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	7	48	44	-85
Medium	5	23	48	23	-42
High	15	33	38	15	-5
Location-wise					0
Rural	11	30	38	21	-18
Urban	9	24	50	18	-35
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	10	36	29	26	-10
PTI	0	0	67	33	-100
PPP	7	7	53	33	-73
All others	11	28	43	18	-21

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 59: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -6%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 66: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	11	36	36	17	-6
Gender-wise					
Male	12	40	35	13	3
Female	7	28	39	27	-31
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	9	37	36	18	-7
Middle (30-50)	11	37	35	17	-4
Old (50+)	10	29	52	10	-24
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	19	56	26	-63
Medium	8	35	39	18	-15
High	13	39	32	15	6
Location-wise					
Rural	11	36	37	16	-7
Urban	11	39	33	18	0
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	12	45	36	7	14
PTI	0	33	50	17	-33
PPP	7	27	53	13	-33
All others	11	36	35	18	-7

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 60: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -22%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 67: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	10	29	44	17	-22
Gender-wise					
Male	12	31	43	14	-14
Female	6	22	49	24	-45
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	13	28	43	16	-19
Middle (30-50)	9	29	44	17	-23
Old (50+)	0	29	52	19	-43
Household Income-wise					
Low	0	0	30	70	-100
Medium	9	22	51	18	-38
High	12	36	42	10	-5
Location-wise					0
Rural	10	31	42	16	-17
Urban	10	21	48	21	-38
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	24	31	29	17	9
PTI	0	17	67	17	-67
PPP	0	13	60	27	-73
All others	9	29	45	17	-24

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

**Quality of Governance – Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Khyber Pakhtunkhwa**

August 2014

Table 68: Report Card of Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating
1	Law and Order	-8%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-35%
3	Education	-42%
4	Health Care	-38%
5	Transparency	+7%
6	Energy Production and Management	-37%
7	Anti- Corruption	-43%
8	Investment Friendliness	-37%
9	Tax Collection	-38%
10	Utility Bills Collection	+11%
11	Management of Unemployment	-8%
12	Development Programmes	-26%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-42%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	-41%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-35%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	+9%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-27%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-40%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-45%
20	Immunization of Children	+8%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers	-30%
22	Access to Efficient Justice System	+13%

August 2014

23	Public Transport	+3%
24	Access to Safe Drinking Water	-5%
25	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	-37%
26	Management of Relations with International Institutions	-37%
27	Irrigation Water Development and Management	-29%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 45% provide positive rating

Regarding the maintenance of law and order in the province, 45% respondents from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa gave positive ratings to the provincial Government. On the other hand, 53% gave negative ratings. Therefore, the NPR is -8%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 32% provide positive ratings

32% respondents from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa gave positive ratings to their Government for the alleviation of poverty, while 67% gave negative ratings. The NPR is thus -35%.

Public Opinion on Education - 28% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the provincial Government's attempts to improve education with only 28% giving positive ratings and the remaining 70% giving negative ratings, leading to an NPR of -42%.

Public Opinion on Healthcare - 30% provide positive ratings

Regarding the performance on healthcare, 30% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government while 68% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -38%.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 53% provide positive ratings.

53% of the public believe that the provincial Government has been transparent about its actions and plans. On the other hand, 46% do not believe that the Government has been transparent enough. The NPR is +7%.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 31% provide positive ratings

The public's rating of the Government's performance on energy production and management is negative with

a 68% negative rating and a 31% positive rating. The NPR is -37%.

Public Opinion on Anti-Corruption - 28% provide positive rating

Only 28% of the public gave positive rating to the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for its performance on elimination of corruption. 71% of the public gave negative ratings, resulting in an NPR of -43%.

8 Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 31% provide positive ratings

Regarding increase in investment, 31% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government and 68% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -37%.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 30% provide positive ratings

The people of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa did not seem to be satisfied with the provincial Government's performance on tax collection with only 31% providing positive ratings. 68% gave negative ratings and the NPR amounts to -38%.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 55% provide positive ratings

The respondents seemed satisfied with the Government's performance regarding collection of utility bills. 55% gave positive ratings and 44% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore +11%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 46% provide positive ratings

Regarding the management of unemployment, 46% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government and 54% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -8%.

August 2014

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 37% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 37% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its performance regarding development Programmes while 63% gave negative ratings. The NPR is -26%.

Public Opinion on Quality and Independence of Civil Service - 28% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 70% of the respondents gave negative ratings to the Government for the quality and independence of the civil service while only 28% gave positive ratings. The NPR is therefore -42%.

Public Opinion on Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 29% provide positive ratings

The public does not believe that the provincial Government has done enough regarding merit based recruitments and promotions. 70% gave negative ratings and only 29% gave positive ratings, leading to an NPR of -41%.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 32% provide positive ratings

32% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government regarding its handling of Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements, while 67% gave negative ratings. This resulted in an NPR of -35%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies - 54% provide positive ratings

Regarding the use of technologies for better governance, 54% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government while 45% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore +9%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 36% provide positive ratings

Regarding the Government's performance on the management of supply of agricultural inputs, 36% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 63% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -27%.

Public Opinion on Environmental Sustainability - 30% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the Government's performance on environmental sustainability with a majority of 70% providing negative ratings, while 30% gave positive ratings. The NPR is -40%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness & Management - 27% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 27% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 72% gave negative ratings to the

provincial Government regarding disaster preparedness and management. This results in an NPR of -45%.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 53% provide positive ratings

53% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government, while 45% gave negative ratings regarding the immunization of children. The NPR is therefore +8%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - 34% provide positive rating

The public seemed dissatisfied with the Government's performance on devolution of powers to with 34% of the respondents giving a positive rating. 64% gave a negative rating, so the NPR is -30%.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 53% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 53% of the respondents gave a positive rating to the Government's performance regarding the access to efficient justice system. On the other hand, 40% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore +13%.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 51% provide positive rating

The Government received positive ratings from 51% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 48% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The NPR is +3%.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water - 47% provide positive rating

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of access to safe drinking water, 47% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 52% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore -5%.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 31% provide positive rating

31% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 68% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation. The NPR is therefore -37%.

Public Opinion on Management of Relations with International Institutions - 31% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 31% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government for its management of relations with international institutions. On the other

August 2014

hand, 68% gave negative ratings. Thus, the NPR is -37%.


Public Opinion on Irrigation Water Development and Management - 35% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 35% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 64% gave negative ratings. So the NPR is -29%.

August 2014

Figure 61: Law & Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -8%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 69: Law & Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	35	48	5	2	-8
Gender-wise						
Male	10	36	48	3	3	-5
Female	11	34	47	7	1	-9
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	32	50	7	1	-15
Middle (30-50)	11	37	45	5	2	-2
Old (50+)	7	27	67	0	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	40	48	4	1	-5
Medium	11	32	47	7	3	-11
High	24	24	47	0	5	1
Location-wise						
Rural	11	33	49	5	2	-10
Urban	6	44	43	7	0	0
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21	31	44	0	4	8
PTI	12	40	41	6	1	5
PPP	8	31	46	8	7	-15
All others	2	30	62	5	1	-35

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 62: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -35%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 70: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Sindh Average	15	17	50	17	1	-35
Gender-wise						
Male	15	17	52	14	2	-34
Female	15	18	47	20	0	-34
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	15	18	47	18	2	-32
Middle (30-50)	15	17	51	15	2	-34
Old (50+)	13	13	40	33	1	-47
Household Income-wise						
Low	14	17	58	10	1	-37
Medium	17	14	46	21	2	-36
High	5	38	24	29	4	-10
Location-wise						
Rural	13	17	51	18	1	-39
Urban	26	21	44	9	0	-6
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	18	44	16	4	-24
PTI	19	18	55	8	0	-26
PPP	15	23	38	23	1	-23
All others	7	16	44	32	1	-53

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 63: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -42%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 71: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	9	19	34	36	2	-42
Gender-wise						
Male	8	18	34	38	2	-46
Female	11	20	34	35	0	-38
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	21	31	37	1	-37
Middle (30-50)	10	18	34	37	1	-43
Old (50+)	0	13	67	20	0	-74
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	18	33	38	1	-43
Medium	7	19	34	37	3	-45
High	19	24	33	19	5	-9
Location-wise						
Rural	9	19	32	38	2	-42
Urban	10	20	43	27	0	-40
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	16	15	37	28	4	-34
PTI	9	24	31	35	1	-33
PPP	0	30	54	8	8	-32
All others	8	11	35	45	1	-61

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 64: Health Care (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -38%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 72: Health Care (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	9	21	56	12	2	-38
Gender-wise						
Male	8	23	58	9	2	-37
Female	10	19	54	16	1	-41
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	16	58	17	2	-52
Middle (30-50)	9	23	56	9	3	-33
Old (50+)	7	33	33	26	1	-19
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	23	56	13	1	-39
Medium	10	17	59	12	2	-44
High	10	33	47	5	5	-9
Location-wise						
Rural	9	20	58	11	2	-40
Urban	4	27	49	20	0	-38
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	33	43	10	2	-8
PTI	11	24	53	10	2	-28
PPP	8	39	30	15	8	2
All others	3	9	71	18	0	-77

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 65: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 7%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 73: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	43	39	7	1	7
Gender-wise						
Male	8	47	37	8	0	10
Female	12	39	42	6	1	3
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	43	46	5	0	-2
Middle (30-50)	12	44	35	8	1	13
Old (50+)	7	27	46	20	0	-32
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	47	33	7	1	19
Medium	7	42	43	8	1	-2
High	19	19	57	5	0	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	10	42	40	8	1	4
Urban	10	49	39	3	0	17
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	23	46	28	3	0	38
PTI	11	47	35	7	1	16
PPP	0	31	69	0	0	-38
All others	2	37	50	10	1	-21

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 66: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -37%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 74: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	11	20	52	16	1	-37
Gender-wise						
Male	12	20	57	11	0	-36
Female	11	21	47	21	0	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	23	42	25	1	-35
Middle (30-50)	13	18	59	10	0	-38
Old (50+)	0	33	33	33	1	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	18	56	13	1	-39
Medium	11	21	50	18	0	-36
High	10	29	43	19	0	-23
Location-wise						
Rural	10	20	52	17	1	-39
Urban	19	23	49	10	0	-17
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	21	60	7	0	-33
PTI	16	23	52	9	1	-22
PPP	8	30	39	23	0	-24
All others	4	14	49	32	1	-63

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 67: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -43%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 75: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	18	49	22	1	-43
Gender-wise						
Male	8	16	52	22	2	-50
Female	12	19	46	21	2	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	24	40	21	3	-25
Middle (30-50)	9	15	54	21	1	-51
Old (50+)	13	0	60	27	0	-74
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	21	46	24	1	-41
Medium	10	15	55	19	1	-49
High	29	19	19	33	0	-4
Location-wise						
Rural	11	17	49	22	1	-43
Urban	7	23	50	20	0	-40
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	22	54	13	0	-33
PTI	12	22	47	18	1	-31
PPP	15	23	30	15	17	-7
All others	5	8	52	33	2	-72

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 68: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -37%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 76: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	8	23	32	36	1	-37
Gender-wise						
Male	7	22	33	36	2	-40
Female	10	23	31	35	1	-33
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	17	42	33	0	-50
Middle (30-50)	9	26	27	37	1	-29
Old (50+)	0	27	26	40	7	-39
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	21	32	34	1	-33
Medium	5	23	30	40	2	-42
High	9	29	53	10	0	-25
Location-wise						
Rural	8	22	31	38	1	-39
Urban	9	27	40	24	0	-28
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	37	18	30	3	1
PTI	11	25	32	33	0	-29
PPP	15	23	38	23	1	-23
All others	3	10	40	45	2	-72

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 69: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -38%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 77: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	11	19	51	17	2	-38
Gender-wise						
Male	11	18	53	17	1	-41
Female	11	21	49	17	2	-34
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	22	47	24	1	-43
Middle (30-50)	15	18	54	13	0	-34
Old (50+)	7	13	47	26	7	-53
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	20	54	14	2	-38
Medium	12	18	52	17	1	-39
High	14	24	24	38	0	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	9	19	53	18	1	-43
Urban	20	21	41	14	4	-14
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	19	25	46	8	2	-10
PTI	15	20	51	13	1	-29
PPP	8	15	31	39	7	-47
All others	2	15	55	27	1	-65

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 70: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 11%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 78: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	4	51	31	13	1	11
Gender-wise						
Male	3	54	29	13	1	15
Female	5	48	32	13	2	8
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	1	48	37	12	2	0
Middle (30-50)	6	54	26	13	1	21
Old (50+)	0	27	46	27	0	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	3	50	34	10	3	9
Medium	4	55	25	16	0	18
High	14	24	52	10	0	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	4	51	30	14	1	11
Urban	3	51	36	9	1	9
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	57	28	7	1	29
PTI	5	54	28	12	1	19
PPP	0	39	54	0	7	-15
All others	2	44	35	20	0	-9

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 71: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -8%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 79: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	7	39	43	11	0	-8
Gender-wise						
Male	6	38	46	10	0	-12
Female	9	40	38	12	1	-1
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	4	41	42	13	0	-10
Middle (30-50)	9	39	43	9	0	-4
Old (50+)	0	33	47	20	0	-34
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	47	40	9	0	3
Medium	9	34	45	11	1	-13
High	14	24	43	19	0	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	8	36	43	13	0	-12
Urban	4	54	37	4	1	17
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	42	33	7	0	20
PTI	7	46	40	8	0	5
PPP	0	46	46	8	0	-8
All others	3	26	50	20	1	-41

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 72: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -26%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 80: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	12	25	51	12	0	-26
Gender-wise						
Male	12	23	54	10	1	-29
Female	11	27	47	14	1	-23
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	14	28	44	13	1	-15
Middle (30-50)	12	24	55	10	0	-29
Old (50+)	0	20	46	33	1	-59
Household Income-wise						
Low	13	18	60	9	0	-38
Medium	11	31	46	12	0	-16
High	10	33	29	29	0	-15
Location-wise						
Rural	11	25	52	13	0	-29
Urban	19	26	47	9	0	-11
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	18	57	13	2	-42
PTI	17	28	49	5	1	-9
PPP	15	23	31	31	0	-24
All others	3	24	52	21	0	-46

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 73: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -42%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 81: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	18	50	20	2	-42
Gender-wise						
Male	8	17	54	21	0	-50
Female	13	20	47	19	1	-33
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	20	42	28	1	-41
Middle (30-50)	11	17	56	15	1	-43
Old (50+)	13	20	33	33	1	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	18	53	19	2	-46
Medium	12	18	49	21	0	-40
High	14	19	47	19	1	-33
Location-wise						
Rural	11	17	51	20	1	-43
Urban	9	23	47	21	0	-36
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	25	44	16	4	-24
PTI	14	18	51	17	0	-36
PPP	0	23	46	31	0	-54
All others	4	15	53	27	1	-61

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 74: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -41%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 82: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	8	21	33	37	1	-41
Gender-wise						
Male	7	21	32	39	1	-43
Female	10	20	35	34	1	-39
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	22	35	34	2	-40
Middle (30-50)	10	21	30	38	1	-37
Old (50+)	0	7	67	27	0	-87
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	21	31	39	1	-43
Medium	10	19	35	36	0	-42
High	14	33	24	24	5	-1
Location-wise						
Rural	9	18	34	38	1	-45
Urban	6	34	30	29	1	-19
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	28	25	26	1	-3
PTI	8	23	31	36	2	-36
PPP	15	31	31	23	0	-8
All others	3	11	41	44	1	-71

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 75: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -35%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 83: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	22	53	14	1	-35
Gender-wise						
Male	9	23	54	12	2	-34
Female	10	20	52	16	2	-38
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	21	49	18	2	-36
Middle (30-50)	10	23	55	10	2	-32
Old (50+)	7	13	60	20	0	-60
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	20	55	12	3	-37
Medium	9	23	53	13	2	-34
High	14	14	43	24	5	-39
Location-wise						
Rural	8	22	55	14	1	-39
Urban	19	23	41	13	4	-12
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	16	25	48	10	2	-17
PTI	12	23	52	10	3	-27
PPP	8	30	39	23	0	-24
All others	3	18	59	21	0	-59

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014.


Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 76: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 9%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 84: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	6	48	33	12	1	9
Gender-wise						
Male	9	45	34	11	1	9
Female	3	50	33	12	2	8
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	35	45	13	2	-18
Middle (30-50)	7	56	26	10	1	27
Old (50+)	0	27	47	26	0	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	51	32	11	1	13
Medium	6	49	33	11	1	11
High	14	19	48	19	0	-34
Location-wise						
Rural	6	47	34	11	2	8
Urban	4	50	30	13	3	11
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	57	28	8	0	28
PTI	8	52	28	11	1	21
PPP	8	23	46	15	8	-30
All others	2	38	45	15	0	-20

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 77: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 85: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	8	28	55	8	1	-27
Gender-wise						
Male	8	27	56	8	1	-29
Female	7	30	55	7	1	-25
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	31	55	7	0	-24
Middle (30-50)	9	28	55	8	0	-26
Old (50+)	7	20	67	6	0	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	31	59	4	0	-26
Medium	9	26	54	11	0	-30
High	10	29	43	19	0	-23
Location-wise						
Rural	8	28	57	7	0	-28
Urban	7	31	49	13	0	-24
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	31	43	6	0	2
PTI	9	33	51	7	0	-16
PPP	0	31	46	15	8	-30
All others	2	20	69	9	0	-56

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 78: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 86: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	12	18	50	20	0	-40
Gender-wise						
Male	10	17	54	18	1	-45
Female	13	19	46	22	0	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	22	44	22	2	-34
Middle (30-50)	13	16	53	18	0	-42
Old (50+)	0	13	53	33	1	-73
Household Income-wise						
Low	14	20	53	12	0	-31
Medium	10	16	49	25	1	-48
High	10	19	43	29	0	-43
Location-wise						
Rural	11	17	50	22	0	-44
Urban	13	26	50	11	0	-22
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	20	54	13	2	-36
PTI	15	21	48	15	1	-27
PPP	8	8	38	39	7	-61
All others	6	13	51	30	0	-62

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 79: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -45%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 87: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	9	18	39	33	1	-45
Gender-wise						
Male	9	16	39	35	1	-49
Female	8	20	38	32	2	-42
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	17	42	31	2	-48
Middle (30-50)	9	19	36	35	1	-43
Old (50+)	13	20	46	20	1	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	15	39	36	2	-52
Medium	9	21	38	32	0	-40
High	10	24	38	29	0	-33
Location-wise						
Rural	9	18	37	35	1	-45
Urban	9	19	47	26	0	-45
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	28	41	22	0	-25
PTI	11	20	38	30	1	-37
PPP	0	23	61	8	8	-46
All others	6	9	36	48	1	-69

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 80: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 8%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 88: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	7	46	34	11	2	8
Gender-wise						
Male	7	48	32	12	1	11
Female	7	45	36	11	1	5
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	39	42	12	1	-9
Middle (30-50)	8	52	28	11	1	21
Old (50+)	0	27	53	20	0	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	50	31	11	0	16
Medium	6	47	33	12	2	8
High	14	19	53	9	5	-29
Location-wise						
Rural	6	48	33	10	3	11
Urban	10	37	36	17	0	-6
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	51	23	13	3	25
PTI	8	53	27	10	2	24
PPP	8	23	61	0	8	-30
All others	3	35	48	14	0	-24

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 81: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 89: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	6	47	26	14	7	-30
Gender-wise						
Male	6	48	27	12	7	15
Female	6	47	25	17	5	11
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	39	29	18	9	-3
Middle (30-50)	6	53	23	12	6	25
Old (50+)	7	27	47	20	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	54	22	11	8	26
Medium	7	45	27	18	3	6
High	5	24	33	19	19	-23
Location-wise						
Rural	6	46	27	15	6	10
Urban	4	54	24	10	8	24
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	54	28	8	3	25
PTI	9	52	21	11	7	29
PPP	8	15	23	23	31	-23
All others	0	40	34	22	4	-16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 82: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 13%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 90: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	6	47	26	14	7	13
Gender-wise						
Male	6	48	27	12	7	15
Female	6	47	25	17	5	11
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	39	29	18	9	-3
Middle (30-50)	6	53	23	12	6	24
Old (50+)	7	27	47	20	0	-33
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	54	22	11	8	26
Medium	7	45	27	18	3	7
High	5	24	33	19	19	-23
Location-wise						
Rural	6	46	27	15	6	10
Urban	4	54	24	10	8	24
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7	54	28	8	3	25
PTI	9	52	21	11	7	29
PPP	8	15	23	23	31	-23
All others	0	40	34	22	4	-16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 83: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 3%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 91: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	11	40	44	4	1	3
Gender-wise						
Male	12	42	42	4	0	8
Female	10	38	46	4	2	-2
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	39	47	4	3	-5
Middle (30-50)	14	41	40	5	0	10
Old (50+)	0	27	67	0	6	-40
Household Income-wise						
Low	9	43	44	3	1	5
Medium	12	40	43	4	1	5
High	19	10	52	14	5	-37
Location-wise						
Rural	12	39	43	4	2	4
Urban	7	44	46	3	0	2
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	26	39	31	0	3	34
PTI	11	45	38	6	0	12
PPP	8	23	54	8	7	-31
All others	3	34	59	3	1	-25

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 84: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -5%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 92: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	16	31	38	14	1	-5
Gender-wise						
Male	13	32	42	11	2	-7
Female	18	29	33	17	3	-3
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	16	28	36	17	3	-9
Middle (30-50)	16	31	39	12	2	-4
Old (50+)	7	47	20	20	6	14
Household Income-wise						
Low	16	37	36	10	1	7
Medium	15	26	39	18	2	-16
High	14	33	38	10	5	-1
Location-wise						
Rural	13	30	39	15	3	-11
Urban	26	36	29	9	0	24
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	23	30	36	8	3	9
PTI	18	32	38	12	0	0
PPP	0	15	46	23	16	-54
All others	9	31	37	21	2	-18

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 85: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -37%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 93: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	10	21	47	21	1	-37
Gender-wise						
Male	10	20	46	23	1	-39
Female	9	23	48	20	0	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	22	39	26	1	-31
Middle (30-50)	8	21	52	18	1	-41
Old (50+)	7	13	46	27	7	-53
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	23	54	14	1	-37
Medium	11	19	43	26	1	-39
High	14	33	29	24	0	-6
Location-wise						
Rural	9	21	47	22	1	-39
Urban	11	23	50	16	0	-32
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	8	31	51	8	2	-20
PTI	10	23	48	18	1	-33
PPP	0	38	39	23	0	-24
All others	11	11	44	33	1	-55

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 86: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -37%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 94: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	9	22	40	28	1	-37
Gender-wise						
Male	11	20	39	30	0	-39
Female	8	25	41	25	1	-33
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	15	47	28	0	-50
Middle (30-50)	9	26	35	29	1	-29
Old (50+)	7	40	47	7	0	-7
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	25	33	32	2	-32
Medium	11	21	43	25	0	-36
High	10	14	57	19	0	-52
Location-wise						
Rural	9	21	40	29	1	-39
Urban	10	31	37	20	2	-16
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	23	23	28	26	0	-8
PTI	9	26	39	26	0	-30
PPP	15	39	30	15	1	9
All others	3	14	49	32	2	-64

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 87: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -29%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 95: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over KP	11	24	55	9	1	-29
Gender-wise						
Male	6	27	55	10	2	-31
Female	16	21	54	9	1	-27
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	23	59	13	0	-43
Middle (30-50)	14	25	51	8	2	-20
Old (50+)	7	20	67	7	0	-47
Household Income-wise						
Low	13	22	56	8	1	-29
Medium	10	26	55	9	0	-28
High	10	24	43	19	4	-28
Location-wise						
Rural	11	24	54	10	1	-29
Urban	10	24	59	6	1	-31
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	16	28	49	5	2	-10
PTI	14	27	50	9	0	-18
PPP	8	23	61	8	0	-38
All others	3	18	64	13	2	-56

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

**Quality of Governance – Punjab Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Punjab**

August 2014

Table 96: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance –Punjab

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating
1	Law and Order	+12%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-34%
3	Education	+27%
4	Health Care	+15%
5	Transparency	+1%
6	Energy Production and Management	-45%
7	Anti- Corruption	-31%
8	Investment Friendliness	+6%
9	Tax Collection	-2%
10	Utility Bills Collection	+24%
11	Management of Unemployment	-31%
12	Development Programmes	+12%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	-10%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	-28%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-1%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	+16%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-2%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-5%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-1%
20	Immunization of Children	+50%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers	-17%
22	Access to Efficient Justice System	+15%
23	Public Transport	+46%
24	Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply	+4%
25	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	-18%
26	Management of Relations with International Institutions	+8%
27	Irrigation Water Development and Management	+4%

August 2014

Public Opinion on Law & Order - 55% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 55% gave a positive rating while 43% gave a negative rating to the Government regarding the issue of law and order. The net performance rating is therefore at 12%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 32% provide positive rating

32% provided a positive rating for the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while 66% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -34%.

Public Opinion on Education - 62% provide positive rating

62% of those questioned rated the efforts towards education as positive and 35% as negative hence the NPR was +27%.

Public Opinion on Health Care - 56% provide positive rating

56% provided a positive rating for the quality of health care under the current Government while 41% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore +15%.

Public Opinion on Transparency- 46% provide positive rating

46% of those questioned rated the transparency under current Government as positive and 45% as negative hence the NPR was +1%.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management- 25% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the energy production and management is negative with a NPR of -45%. The public gave positive rating of 25% while 70% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 31% provide positive rating

31% people rated the anti- corruption policies implemented by the Government as positive while 62% rated it negative. The NPR was -31%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 49% provide positive rating

49% provided a positive rating for investment friendliness under the current Government while 43% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore +6%.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 45% provide positive rating

45% provided a positive rating for tax collection under the current Government while 47% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -2%.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 58% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the utility bills collection is negative with a NPR of +24%. The public gave positive rating of 58% while 34% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 34% provide positive rating

34% of those questioned rated the management of unemployment as positive and 65% as negative hence the NPR was -31%.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes- 54% provide positive rating

54% of those questioned rated the development programmes as positive and 42% as negative hence the NPR was +12%.

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 40% provide positive rating

40% of those questioned rated the quality & independence of civil service as positive and 50% as negative hence the NPR was -10%.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 33% provide positive rating

The public Opinion on the access to merit-based recruitments and promotions is negative with a NPR of -28%. The public gave positive rating of 33% while 61% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 45% provide positive rating

45% of those questioned rated positively clean, efficient and economic public procurements and 46% as negative hence the NPR was -1%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 53% provide positive rating

53% of those questioned rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive and 37% as negative hence the NPR was +16%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 44% provide positive rating

44% of those questioned rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs as positive and 46% as negative hence the NPR was -2%.

August 2014

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 44% provide positive rating

44% provided a positive rating for environment sustainability under the current Government while 49% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -5%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 45% provide positive rating

45% of those questioned rated the efforts of disaster preparedness & management as positive and 46% as negative hence the NPR was -1%.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 73% provide positive rating

73% of those questioned rated the immunization of children as positive and 23% as negative hence the NPR was +50%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - 37% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 37% gave a positive rating while 54% gave a negative rating to the devolution of powers to the lower tiers. The net performance rating is therefore negative at -17%.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 52% provide positive rating

52% of those questioned rated the efforts of access to efficient justice system as positive and 37% as negative hence the NPR was +15%.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 72% provide positive rating

72% provided a positive rating for public transport under the current Government while 26% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore +46%.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply - 51% provide positive rating

51% of those questioned rated the access to safe drinking water supply as positive and 47% as negative hence the NPR was +4%.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 40% provide positive rating

40% provided a positive rating for the sanitation (sewerage, solid waste collection and disposal) under the current Government while 58% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore -18%.

Public Opinion on Management of Relations with International Institutions - 50% provide positive rating

50% provided a positive rating for the management of relations with international institutions under the current Government while 42% provided a negative rating. The net performance rating is therefore +8%.


Public Opinion on Irrigation Water Development and Management - 48% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 48% gave a positive rating while 44% gave a negative rating to the Government regarding the issue of irrigation water development and management. The net performance rating is therefore negative at -4%.

August 2014

Figure 88: Law and Order (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +12%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 97: Law and Order (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	15	40	29	14	2	12
Gender-wise						
Male	20	43	28	9	0	26
Female	11	38	30	20	1	-1
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	12	41	33	12	2	8
Middle (30-50)	19	40	27	14	0	18
Old (50+)	11	40	25	22	2	4
Household Income-wise						
Low	16	35	30	17	2	4
Medium	16	44	29	10	1	21
High	13	39	26	22	0	4
Location-wise						
Rural	17	42	27	13	1	19
Urban	12	37	34	16	1	-1
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	26	54	16	4	0	60
PTI	9	37	40	14	0	-8
PPP	15	39	32	11	3	11
All others	10	31	35	22	2	-16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 89: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -34%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 98: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	8	24	35	31	2	-34
Gender-wise						
Male	9	28	35	26	2	-24
Female	7	19	35	37	2	-46
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	21	38	30	3	-39
Middle (30-50)	8	25	34	31	2	-32
Old (50+)	6	23	30	40	1	-41
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	21	33	37	2	-42
Medium	8	27	38	26	1	-29
High	12	18	30	40	0	-40
Location-wise						
Rural	8	25	34	30	3	-31
Urban	8	20	37	34	1	-43
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	37	35	15	1	-1
PTI	6	16	40	36	1	-54
PPP	6	16	48	26	4	-52
All others	6	16	33	43	2	-54

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 90: Education (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +27%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 99: Education (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	19	43	22	13	3	27
Gender-wise						
Male	19	41	22	15	3	23
Female	19	44	23	11	3	29
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	15	41	25	15	4	16
Middle (30-50)	22	43	20	13	2	32
Old (50+)	15	49	23	10	3	31
Household Income-wise						
Low	19	36	24	17	4	14
Medium	18	46	23	11	2	30
High	20	46	17	14	3	35
Location-wise						
Rural	19	43	22	13	3	27
Urban	18	42	23	13	4	24
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	26	52	13	7	2	58
PTI	15	31	29	21	3	-4
PPP	15	37	23	18	7	11
All others	14	40	27	15	4	12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 91: Health Care (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +15%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 100: Health Care (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	14	42	30	11	3	15
Gender-wise						
Male	13	41	29	14	3	11
Female	16	44	30	8	2	22
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	11	40	32	12	5	7
Middle (30-50)	16	44	28	10	2	22
Old (50+)	13	42	27	12	6	16
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	41	30	14	4	8
Medium	14	44	31	9	2	18
High	19	38	26	13	4	18
Location-wise						
Rural	13	43	29	11	4	16
Urban	16	41	30	10	3	17
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	51	26	4	1	39
PTI	8	34	37	18	2	-13
PPP	11	34	25	29	1	-9
All others	14	39	30	11	6	12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 92: Transparency (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +1%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 101: Transparency (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	9	37	31	14	9	1
Gender-wise						
Male	9	40	30	13	8	6
Female	9	35	32	15	9	-3
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	38	32	13	9	1
Middle (30-50)	10	38	30	15	7	3
Old (50+)	8	34	26	15	17	1
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	34	31	19	5	-5
Medium	8	42	29	12	9	9
High	10	28	37	12	13	-11
Location-wise						
Rural	10	37	30	15	8	2
Urban	8	38	31	13	10	2
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	49	19	10	9	33
PTI	4	28	46	19	3	-33
PPP	6	35	39	14	6	-12
All others	8	32	34	16	10	-10

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 93: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -45%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 102: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	7	18	35	35	5	-45
Gender-wise						
Male	7	20	38	28	7	-39
Female	7	17	31	42	3	-49
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	18	38	32	6	-45
Middle (30-50)	8	19	33	36	4	-42
Old (50+)	4	17	29	40	10	-48
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	15	35	39	5	-54
Medium	9	21	36	30	4	-36
High	5	20	26	42	7	-43
Location-wise						
Rural	8	18	36	33	5	-43
Urban	5	19	32	39	5	-47
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	28	32	23	6	-16
PTI	4	9	42	42	3	-71
PPP	5	13	38	41	3	-61
All others	5	15	33	41	6	-54

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 94: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -45%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 103: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	6	25	35	27	7	-31
Gender-wise						
Male	6	29	35	22	8	-22
Female	5	22	36	33	4	-42
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	22	37	27	9	-37
Middle (30-50)	7	27	35	28	3	-29
Old (50+)	2	27	35	28	8	-34
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	21	30	36	8	-40
Medium	6	29	38	21	6	-24
High	5	19	38	30	8	-44
Location-wise						
Rural	6	28	33	26	7	-25
Urban	5	20	40	30	5	-45
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	43	32	11	4	10
PTI	6	12	43	34	5	-59
PPP	0	21	39	38	2	-56
All others	3	17	35	37	8	-52

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 95: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +6%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 104: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	12	37	28	15	8	6
Gender-wise						
Male	15	40	23	13	9	19
Female	9	33	33	18	7	-9
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	36	29	17	8	0
Middle (30-50)	13	37	28	15	7	7
Old (50+)	14	38	24	13	11	15
Household Income-wise						
Low	13	28	27	22	10	-8
Medium	11	41	29	12	7	11
High	12	39	27	15	7	9
Location-wise						
Rural	13	37	27	15	8	8
Urban	9	37	31	16	7	-1
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	17	53	18	8	4	44
PTI	8	26	34	28	5	-28
PPP	15	28	29	21	7	-7
All others	9	29	34	16	12	-12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 96: Tax Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -2%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 105: Tax Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10	35	32	15	8	-2
Gender-wise						
Male	12	38	26	14	10	10
Female	8	32	39	17	4	-16
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	33	35	15	8	-8
Middle (30-50)	11	35	32	15	7	-1
Old (50+)	8	39	22	18	13	7
Household Income-wise						
Low	9	26	35	22	8	-22
Medium	11	40	32	12	5	7
High	10	38	31	15	6	2
Location-wise						
Rural	11	33	31	16	9	-3
Urban	8	39	35	14	4	-2
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	17	44	26	9	4	26
PTI	6	26	40	24	4	-32
PPP	9	32	32	20	7	-11
All others	7	31	34	16	12	-12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 97: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 24%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 106: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	15	43	22	12	8	24
Gender-wise						
Male	13	44	20	12	11	25
Female	16	42	25	12	5	21
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	42	25	14	9	13
Middle (30-50)	18	45	20	11	6	32
Old (50+)	14	39	20	10	17	23
Household Income-wise						
Low	16	38	21	17	8	16
Medium	14	47	23	9	7	29
High	14	39	24	15	8	14
Location-wise						
Rural	15	43	21	13	8	24
Urban	14	43	25	11	7	21
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	19	51	18	6	6	46
PTI	15	38	25	16	6	12
PPP	22	23	34	18	3	-7
All others	11	41	23	15	10	14

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 98: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -31%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 107: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	8	26	38	27	1	-31
Gender-wise						
Male	8	31	37	22	2	-20
Female	8	21	39	32	0	-42
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	26	44	23	0	-34
Middle (30-50)	9	26	36	28	1	-29
Old (50+)	8	22	30	38	2	-38
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	23	35	33	2	-38
Medium	9	28	41	21	1	-25
High	8	22	34	34	2	-38
Location-wise						
Rural	9	27	37	26	1	-27
Urban	6	22	42	29	1	-43
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	41	34	11	1	9
PTI	4	16	47	32	0	-59
PPP	3	29	40	26	2	-34
All others	5	17	38	38	2	-54

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 99: Development Programmes (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +12%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 108: Development Programmes (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. . Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	15	39	30	12	4	12
Gender-wise						
Male	17	40	29	12	2	16
Female	12	38	32	13	5	5
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	13	37	31	15	4	4
Middle (30-50)	17	39	30	11	3	15
Old (50+)	9	49	25	10	7	23
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	38	32	14	4	4
Medium	15	41	29	11	4	16
High	20	32	31	13	4	8
Location-wise						
Rural	15	39	30	12	4	12
Urban	15	38	30	14	3	9
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21	47	24	5	3	39
PTI	9	29	41	18	3	-21
PPP	14	34	26	21	5	1
All others	11	37	31	15	6	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 100: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -10%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 109: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	8	32	33	17	10	-10
Gender-wise						
Male	8	33	29	19	11	-7
Female	8	30	36	15	11	-13
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	28	35	19	11	-19
Middle (30-50)	9	35	32	16	8	-4
Old (50+)	10	32	27	16	15	-1
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	32	32	21	7	-13
Medium	9	33	34	14	10	-6
High	6	27	32	20	15	-19
Location-wise						
Rural	8	33	31	17	11	-7
Urban	8	28	36	19	9	-19
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	43	31	6	7	19
PTI	9	16	44	28	2	-47
PPP	5	23	35	23	14	-30
All others	4	30	30	21	15	-17

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 101: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -28%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 110: Merit-Based Recruitments and Promotions (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	7	26	31	30	6	-28
Gender-wise						
Male	7	27	36	23	7	-25
Female	7	25	26	37	5	-31
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	28	30	28	8	-24
Middle (30-50)	8	26	31	31	4	-28
Old (50+)	4	21	34	32	9	-41
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	19	32	35	6	-40
Medium	7	30	31	26	6	-20
High	8	28	29	30	5	-23
Location-wise						
Rural	8	26	32	29	5	-27
Urban	6	27	30	32	5	-29
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	42	26	16	4	12
PTI	3	16	36	44	1	-61
PPP	5	6	52	31	6	-72
All others	5	20	31	35	9	-41

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 102: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -1%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 111: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	9	36	31	15	9	-1
Gender-wise						
Male	10	34	30	16	10	-2
Female	8	38	32	14	8	0
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	35	33	15	10	-6
Middle (30-50)	11	37	30	15	7	3
Old (50+)	6	35	26	16	17	-1
Household Income-wise						
Low	9	33	34	18	6	-10
Medium	9	39	32	12	8	4
High	11	35	21	20	13	5
Location-wise						
Rural	10	36	29	14	11	3
Urban	7	35	34	16	8	-8
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	53	20	7	7	40
PTI	5	15	47	28	4	-55
PPP	8	36	29	23	4	-8
All others	7	31	33	16	13	-11

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 103: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +16%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 112: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	11	42	24	13	10	16
Gender-wise						
Male	12	40	24	14	10	14
Female	11	44	24	13	8	18
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	41	24	14	12	12
Middle (30-50)	13	42	25	13	7	17
Old (50+)	10	39	22	11	18	16
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	38	26	17	7	7
Medium	11	46	23	10	10	24
High	11	34	24	16	15	5
Location-wise						
Rural	11	40	25	14	10	12
Urban	11	46	23	12	8	22
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	18	52	16	7	7	47
PTI	5	32	39	21	4	-23
PPP	14	23	31	22	10	-16
All others	8	39	24	15	14	8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 104: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -2%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 113: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	12	32	31	15	10	-2
Gender-wise						
Male	13	33	33	13	8	0
Female	11	31	30	18	10	-6
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	11	31	36	14	8	-8
Middle (30-50)	13	32	29	16	10	0
Old (50+)	8	37	25	20	10	0
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	28	36	20	5	-17
Medium	13	34	30	11	12	6
High	11	32	24	21	12	-2
Location-wise						
Rural	13	32	31	16	8	-2
Urban	8	32	31	15	14	-6
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	40	22	5	13	33
PTI	5	28	47	16	4	-30
PPP	9	32	36	21	2	-16
All others	8	28	32	23	9	-19

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 105: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -5%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 114: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10	34	32	17	7	-5
Gender-wise						
Male	9	34	33	13	11	-3
Female	11	34	31	21	3	-7
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	31	37	17	6	-14
Middle (30-50)	10	36	30	17	7	-1
Old (50+)	9	30	28	16	17	-5
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	28	37	25	4	-28
Medium	10	39	32	12	7	5
High	17	31	25	18	9	5
Location-wise						
Rural	10	34	33	16	7	-5
Urban	9	35	30	19	7	-5
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11	48	27	7	7	25
PTI	5	24	43	25	3	-39
PPP	12	31	29	23	5	-9
All others	10	27	33	21	9	-17

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 106: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -1%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 115: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	14	31	27	19	9	-1
Gender-wise						
Male	16	32	27	17	8	4
Female	13	30	26	21	10	-4
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	14	29	26	21	10	-4
Middle (30-50)	15	31	27	19	8	0
Old (50+)	16	32	29	11	12	8
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	26	30	28	4	-20
Medium	16	31	26	14	13	7
High	14	41	18	18	9	19
Location-wise						
Rural	15	33	28	17	7	3
Urban	13	27	24	22	14	-6
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	36	22	9	13	25
PTI	11	26	31	27	4	-21
PPP	20	28	20	23	9	5
All others	11	28	29	23	9	-13

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 107: Immunization of Children (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +50%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 116: Immunization of Children (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	23	50	16	7	4	50
Gender-wise						
Male	24	45	18	9	4	42
Female	21	55	14	6	4	56
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	21	50	17	8	4	46
Middle (30-50)	24	50	16	7	3	51
Old (50+)	23	49	16	8	4	48
Household Income-wise						
Low	21	46	19	11	3	37
Medium	22	55	14	6	3	57
High	29	39	21	8	3	39
Location-wise						
Rural	22	51	16	8	3	49
Urban	24	48	17	7	4	48
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	28	56	10	3	3	71
PTI	15	46	24	12	3	25
PPP	28	29	22	17	4	18
All others	21	48	17	9	4	43

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 108: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Punjab)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -17%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 117: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Punjab)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	6	31	37	17	9	-17
Gender-wise						
Male	6	28	38	19	9	-23
Female	6	34	36	14	10	-10
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	30	36	20	9	-21
Middle (30-50)	7	32	38	15	8	-14
Old (50+)	3	32	32	18	15	-15
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	26	41	21	7	-31
Medium	6	34	35	15	10	-10
High	6	31	35	14	14	-12
Location-wise						
Rural	7	31	36	18	8	-16
Urban	4	30	40	14	12	-20
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	8	46	30	9	7	15
PTI	3	16	50	25	6	-56
PPP	8	22	37	23	10	-30
All others	5	25	37	20	13	-27

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 109: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = 15%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 118: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10	42	26	11	11	15
Gender-wise						
Male	9	39	28	11	13	9
Female	12	45	24	11	8	22
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	8	45	28	10	9	15
Middle (30-50)	11	41	26	12	10	14
Old (50+)	13	33	25	8	21	13
Household Income-wise						
Low	12	34	29	16	9	1
Medium	10	49	23	8	10	28
High	8	36	33	10	13	1
Location-wise						
Rural	10	42	25	12	11	15
Urban	11	42	29	8	10	16
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	15	54	17	6	8	46
PTI	2	30	40	20	8	-28
PPP	14	32	37	6	11	3
All others	10	38	27	12	13	9

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 110: Public Transport (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +46%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 119: Public Transport (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	26	46	20	6	2	46
Gender-wise						
Male	24	43	25	6	2	36
Female	28	49	14	7	2	56
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	26	47	17	7	3	49
Middle (30-50)	27	45	21	6	1	45
Old (50+)	19	50	21	10	0	38
Household Income-wise						
Low	22	44	23	9	2	34
Medium	28	47	18	5	2	52
High	30	47	19	4	0	54
Location-wise						
Rural	24	48	20	7	1	45
Urban	31	43	20	6	0	48
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	40	47	11	2	0	74
PTI	14	45	27	11	3	21
PPP	25	29	35	11	0	8
All others	20	48	23	7	2	38

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 111: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +4%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 120: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	15	36	34	13	2	4
Gender-wise						
Male	15	38	36	8	3	9
Female	16	33	32	19	0	-2
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	15	34	37	12	2	0
Middle (30-50)	16	37	33	13	1	7
Old (50+)	12	35	27	22	4	-2
Household Income-wise						
Low	18	32	33	15	2	2
Medium	14	39	34	11	2	8
High	14	33	33	19	1	-5
Location-wise						
Rural	16	34	35	12	3	3
Urban	13	38	31	16	2	4
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21	45	29	5	0	32
PTI	13	29	43	13	2	-14
PPP	15	46	31	6	2	24
All others	12	30	35	21	2	-14

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 112: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -18%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 121: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10	30	39	19	2	-18
Gender-wise						
Male	10	31	39	16	4	-14
Female	10	30	38	21	1	-19
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10	28	39	21	2	-22
Middle (30-50)	10	31	40	17	2	-16
Old (50+)	9	37	33	18	3	-5
Household Income-wise						
Low	9	31	41	15	4	-16
Medium	11	30	40	17	2	-16
High	9	28	28	33	2	-24
Location-wise						
Rural	10	30	42	16	2	-18
Urban	11	31	33	24	1	-15
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	14	39	38	7	2	8
PTI	8	26	41	24	1	-31
PPP	13	9	52	25	1	-55
All others	8	27	37	26	2	-28

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 113: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +8%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 122: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	12	38	30	12	8	8
Gender-wise						
Male	13	39	28	10	10	14
Female	11	37	33	13	6	2
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	11	37	28	14	10	6
Middle (30-50)	13	38	32	11	6	8
Old (50+)	8	41	32	8	11	9
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	30	36	17	6	-12
Medium	14	41	27	10	8	18
High	9	46	32	6	7	17
Location-wise						
Rural	12	40	29	11	8	12
Urban	13	34	34	13	6	0
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	50	19	5	6	46
PTI	5	27	43	19	6	-30
PPP	12	42	29	10	7	15
All others	8	32	34	14	12	-8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 114: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = +4%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 123: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10	38	34	10	8	4
Gender-wise						
Male	8	38	36	12	6	-2
Female	12	37	33	9	9	7
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	36	35	10	10	0
Middle (30-50)	10	39	34	10	7	5
Old (50+)	10	36	35	9	10	2
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	33	38	14	4	-8
Medium	9	41	34	7	9	9
High	12	34	30	12	12	4
Location-wise						
Rural	12	38	34	10	6	6
Urban	6	37	35	10	12	-2
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	17	49	19	4	11	43
PTI	5	26	52	13	4	-34
PPP	9	34	41	15	1	-13
All others	6	33	39	14	8	-14

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1625; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

**Quality of Governance – Sindh Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Sindh**

August 2014

Table 124: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Sindh

No.	Indicator	Net Performance Rating
1	Law & Order	-34%
2	Poverty Alleviation	-58%
3	Education	-36%
4	Health Care	-30%
5	Transparency	-39%
6	Energy Production and Management	-61%
7	Anti- Corruption	-65%
8	Investment Friendliness	-38%
9	Tax Collection	-36%
10	Utility Bills Collection	-23%
11	Management of Unemployment	-51%
12	Development Programmes	-32%
13	Quality & Independence of Civil Service	-42%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	-62%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	-47%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	-41%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	-22%
18	Environmental Sustainability	-48%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	-39%
20	Immunization of Children	-6%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers	-46%
22	Access to Efficient Justice System	-42%
23	Public Transport	-14%
24	Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply	-43%
25	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	-51%
26	Management of Relations with International Institutions	-22%
27	Irrigation Water Development and Management	-39%

August 2014

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 32% provide positive rating

Regarding the maintenance of law and order in the province, 32% respondents from Sindh gave positive ratings to the provincial Government. On the other hand, 66% gave negative ratings. Therefore, the NPR is -34%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 20% provide positive ratings

20% respondents from Sindh gave positive ratings to their Government for the alleviation of poverty, while 78% gave negative ratings. The NPR is thus -58%.

Public Opinion on Education - 31% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the provincial Government's attempts to improve education with only 31% giving positive ratings and 67% giving negative ratings, leading to an NPR of -36%.

Public Opinion on Healthcare - 34% provide positive ratings

Regarding the performance on healthcare, 34% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government while 64% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -30%.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 30% provide positive ratings.

30% of the public believe that the provincial Government has been transparent about its actions and plans. On the other hand, 69% do not believe that the Government has been transparent enough. The NPR is -39%.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 19% provide positive ratings

The public's rating of the Government's performance on energy production and management is negative with 80% giving a negative rating and only 19% giving a positive rating. The NPR is -61%.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 17% provide positive rating

Only 17% of the public gave positive rating to the Government of Sindh for its performance on control of corruption. 82% of the public gave negative ratings, resulting in an NPR of -65%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 29% provide positive ratings

Regarding increase in investment, 29% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial

Government and 67% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -38%.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 31% provide positive ratings

Regarding performance on tax collection, 31% of the respondents provided positive ratings. 67% gave negative ratings and the NPR amounts to -36%.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 38% provide positive ratings

38% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the collection of utility bills and 61% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -23%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 24% provide positive ratings

Regarding the management of unemployment, 24% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government and 75% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -51%.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 33% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 33% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its performance regarding development Programmes while 65% gave negative ratings. The NPR is -32%.

Public Opinion on Quality and Independence of Civil Service - 26% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 68% of the respondents gave negative ratings to the Government for the quality and independence of the civil service while only 26% gave positive ratings. The NPR is therefore -42%.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions- 18% provide positive ratings

80% of the public gave negative ratings on the merit-based recruitments and promotions and only 18% gave positive ratings, leading to an NPR of -62%.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 24% provide positive ratings

24% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government regarding its handling of clean, efficient and economic public procurements, while 71% gave negative ratings. This resulted in an NPR of -47%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies - 27% provide positive ratings

Regarding the use of technologies for better governance, 27% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government while 68% gave negative

August 2014

ratings. The NPR is therefore -41%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 36% provide positive ratings

Regarding the Government's performance on the management of supply of agricultural inputs, 36% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 58% gave negative ratings. The NPR is therefore -22%.

Public Opinion on Environmental Sustainability - 25% provide positive ratings

The public seemed dissatisfied with the Government's performance on environmental sustainability with a majority of 73% providing negative ratings, while 25% gave positive ratings. The NPR is -48%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management- 28% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 28% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 67% gave negative ratings to the provincial Government regarding disaster preparedness and management. This results in an NPR of -39%.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 46% provide positive ratings

46% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government, while 52% gave negative ratings regarding the immunization of children. The NPR is therefore -6%.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - 24% provide positive rating

The public seemed dissatisfied with the Government's performance on devolution of powers to the lower tiers with 24% of the respondents giving a positive rating. 70% gave a negative rating, so the NPR is -46%.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 25% provide positive rating

According to the survey, 25% of the respondents gave a positive rating to the Government's performance regarding the access to efficient justice system. On the other hand, 67% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore -42%.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 43% provide positive rating

The Government received positive ratings from 43% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 57% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The NPR is -14%.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water - 28% provide positive rating

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of access to safe drinking water, 28% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 71% gave a negative rating. The NPR is therefore -43%.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 24% provide positive rating

24% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 75% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation (sewerage, solid waste collection & disposal). The NPR is therefore -51%.

Public Opinion on Management of Relations with International Institutions - 38% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 38% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Government for its management of relations with international institutions. On the other hand, 60% gave negative ratings. Thus, the NPR is -22%.


Public Opinion on Irrigation Water Development and Management - 29% provide positive ratings

According to the survey, 29% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 68% gave negative ratings. So the NPR is -39%.

August 2014

Figure 115: Law & Order (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -34%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 125: Law & Order (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	8	24	37	29	2	-34
Gender-wise						
Male	11	32	32	24	1	-13
Female	4	17	42	35	2	-56
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	24	38	30	1	-37
Middle (30-50)	8	26	35	29	2	-30
Old (50+)	11	17	42	30	0	-44
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	33	31	25	0	-12
Medium	7	21	36	35	1	-43
High	5	15	43	34	3	-57
Location-wise						
Rural	9	31	37	22	1	-19
Urban	6	17	37	37	3	-51
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	15	43	27	15	0	16
PTI	0	9	47	44	0	-82
PPP	13	30	37	20	0	-14
All others	2	18	39	39	2	-58

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Figure 116: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -58%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 126: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	8	12	33	45	2	-58
Gender-wise						
Male	8	15	31	43	3	-51
Female	8	9	35	46	2	-64
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	11	42	37	3	-61
Middle (30-50)	9	12	27	50	2	-56
Old (50+)	3	12	30	53	2	-68
Household Income-wise						
Low	14	16	34	34	2	-38
Medium	4	10	25	58	3	-69
High	2	6	42	47	3	-81
Location-wise						
Rural	11	14	37	38	0	-50
Urban	5	9	30	52	4	-68
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	31	32	24	1	-13
PTI	0	6	37	50	7	-81
PPP	14	13	31	40	2	-44
All others	2	7	35	54	2	-80

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 117: Education (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -36%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 127: Education (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	6	25	34	33	2	-36
Gender-wise						
Male	5	20	38	34	3	-47
Female	7	29	30	31	3	-25
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	29	33	30	2	-28
Middle (30-50)	6	23	35	33	3	-39
Old (50+)	6	19	36	37	2	-48
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	25	34	32	2	-34
Medium	7	24	32	34	3	-35
High	3	21	36	37	3	-49
Location-wise						
Rural	6	22	37	34	1	-43
Urban	7	28	31	31	3	-27
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	46	29	13	3	13
PTI	0	9	50	41	0	-82
PPP	8	23	34	34	1	-37
All others	5	23	34	35	3	-41

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 118: Health Care (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -30%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 128: Health Care (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	27	34	30	2	-30
Gender-wise						
Male	6	29	33	31	1	-29
Female	7	26	35	29	3	-31
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	31	36	25	2	-24
Middle (30-50)	7	26	31	33	3	-31
Old (50+)	8	19	39	34	0	-46
Household Income-wise						
Low	7	30	36	26	1	-25
Medium	8	29	26	34	3	-23
High	2	17	41	35	5	-57
Location-wise						
Rural	5	23	39	31	2	-42
Urban	8	32	28	28	4	-16
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	40	27	19	1	7
PTI	0	9	44	47	0	-82
PPP	9	24	40	25	2	-32
All others	4	29	29	34	4	-30

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 119: Transparency (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -39%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 129: Transparency (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	23	40	29	1	-39
Gender-wise						
Male	8	21	41	30	1	-42
Female	5	25	39	29	2	-38
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	26	36	30	2	-34
Middle (30-50)	8	20	44	27	1	-43
Old (50+)	3	20	36	39	2	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	27	33	29	1	-25
Medium	7	24	41	27	1	-37
High	2	11	46	40	1	-73
Location-wise						
Rural	7	25	39	28	1	-35
Urban	7	20	40	31	2	-44
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	35	29	21	3	-3
PTI	3	9	47	41	0	-76
PPP	12	21	37	30	0	-34
All others	1	23	44	30	2	-50

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 120: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -61%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 130: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	12	35	45	1	-61
Gender-wise						
Male	6	13	39	41	1	-61
Female	7	11	32	49	1	-63
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	11	39	42	1	-63
Middle (30-50)	7	14	33	45	1	-57
Old (50+)	2	9	34	55	0	-78
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	20	39	29	1	-37
Medium	5	10	29	55	1	-69
High	2	2	36	61	0	-93
Location-wise						
Rural	9	17	39	34	1	-47
Urban	4	7	32	56	1	-77
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	31	34	27	0	-21
PTI	0	3	53	44	0	-94
PPP	12	15	37	35	1	-45
All others	2	6	33	58	1	-83

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 121: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -65%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 660; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 131: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	3	14	39	43	1	-65
Gender-wise						
Male	3	17	42	36	2	-58
Female	3	10	35	50	2	-72
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	14	36	46	1	-65
Middle (30-50)	3	14	41	39	3	-63
Old (50+)	5	8	34	52	1	-73
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	21	37	35	1	-45
Medium	1	12	40	44	3	-71
High	2	1	36	61	0	-94
Location-wise						
Rural	4	19	41	35	1	-53
Urban	3	7	36	51	3	-77
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	26	35	27	0	-24
PTI	0	9	56	34	1	-81
PPP	5	17	44	33	1	-55
All others	0	8	33	56	3	-81

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 122: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 132: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4	25	39	28	4	-38
Gender-wise						
Male	4	22	43	26	5	-43
Female	3	27	35	31	4	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	26	38	28	5	-37
Middle (30-50)	5	25	39	28	3	-37
Old (50+)	2	20	44	30	4	-52
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	25	44	26	1	-41
Medium	4	28	31	30	7	-29
High	2	19	42	33	4	-54
Location-wise						
Rural	5	20	41	32	2	-48
Urban	2	30	37	24	7	-29
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	35	31	18	4	-2
PTI	0	13	53	25	9	-65
PPP	4	25	39	31	1	-41
All others	2	23	40	29	6	-44

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 123: Tax Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -36%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 133: Tax Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2	29	39	28	2	-36
Gender-wise						
Male	2	27	40	28	3	-39
Female	2	31	38	27	2	-32
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	2	31	35	30	2	-32
Middle (30-50)	2	30	40	27	1	-35
Old (50+)	2	17	52	26	3	-59
Household Income-wise						
Low	2	33	35	29	1	-29
Medium	3	28	36	28	5	-33
High	0	27	44	28	1	-45
Location-wise						
Rural	2	25	38	33	2	-44
Urban	1	33	39	23	4	-28
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	1	41	38	18	2	-14
PTI	0	9	56	25	10	-72
PPP	3	29	37	30	1	-35
All others	1	28	39	29	3	-39

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 124: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -23%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 134: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	6	32	30	31	1	-23
Gender-wise						
Male	8	35	29	28	0	-14
Female	4	30	31	33	2	-30
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	34	29	31	1	-21
Middle (30-50)	6	31	30	32	1	-25
Old (50+)	11	33	33	23	0	-12
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	37	27	28	0	-10
Medium	5	36	23	34	2	-16
High	5	19	42	33	1	-51
Location-wise						
Rural	5	27	31	36	0	-35
Urban	7	38	28	25	2	-8
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	46	27	19	0	9
PTI	0	19	44	37	0	-62
PPP	7	33	28	31	1	-19
All others	5	30	31	32	2	-28

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 125: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -51%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 135: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	13	11	37	38	1	-51
Gender-wise						
Male	20	10	27	41	2	-38
Female	5	12	47	34	2	-64
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	13	13	37	35	2	-46
Middle (30-50)	14	11	37	37	1	-49
Old (50+)	8	6	34	52	0	-72
Household Income-wise						
Low	23	16	27	32	0	-20
Medium	7	10	38	43	0	-64
High	4	5	46	44	1	-81
Location-wise						
Rural	18	15	35	31	1	-33
Urban	7	7	38	45	3	-69
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	31	31	24	4	-14
PTI	0	3	28	69	0	-94
PPP	19	14	36	30	1	-33
All others	10	5	40	44	1	-69

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 126: Development Programmes (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. . Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -32%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 136: Development Programmes (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	10	23	39	26	2	-32
Gender-wise						
Male	8	17	47	25	3	-47
Female	11	29	30	27	3	-17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	26	37	25	3	-27
Middle (30-50)	10	21	39	28	2	-36
Old (50+)	9	17	44	27	3	-45
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	23	42	22	2	-30
Medium	12	24	30	31	3	-25
High	6	19	42	30	3	-47
Location-wise						
Rural	9	20	44	26	1	-41
Urban	11	25	32	27	5	-23
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	16	34	31	16	3	3
PTI	0	3	72	22	3	-91
PPP	14	19	40	24	3	-31
All others	5	26	35	31	3	-35

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 127: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -42%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 137: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	19	33	35	6	-42
Gender-wise						
Male	6	18	35	37	4	-48
Female	7	20	31	33	9	-37
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	17	35	33	8	-44
Middle (30-50)	7	20	32	36	5	-41
Old (50+)	3	20	30	41	6	-48
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	26	29	36	1	-31
Medium	8	20	30	34	8	-36
High	2	8	41	38	11	-69
Location-wise						
Rural	9	21	32	37	1	-39
Urban	5	17	34	34	10	-46
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	29	31	19	9	-9
PTI	0	9	44	44	3	-79
PPP	10	19	35	34	2	-40
All others	3	18	31	40	8	-50

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 128: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -62%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 138: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	3	15	37	43	2	-62
Gender-wise						
Male	4	15	36	43	2	-60
Female	2	14	38	43	3	-65
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	5	14	38	40	3	-59
Middle (30-50)	2	16	36	43	3	-61
Old (50+)	2	8	36	55	0	-81
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	23	36	36	1	-45
Medium	4	10	37	47	2	-70
High	1	8	39	49	3	-79
Location-wise						
Rural	4	18	38	37	3	-53
Urban	2	11	36	50	1	-73
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	34	34	22	1	-13
PTI	0	3	44	50	3	-91
PPP	5	19	36	37	3	-49
All others	1	7	37	53	2	-82

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 129: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -47%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 139: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4	20	38	33	5	-47
Gender-wise						
Male	4	19	38	35	4	-50
Female	5	22	38	31	4	-41
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	23	37	31	6	-42
Middle (30-50)	5	19	38	35	3	-49
Old (50+)	6	16	42	25	11	-45
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	25	34	33	2	-36
Medium	5	18	35	34	8	-46
High	1	17	47	30	5	-59
Location-wise						
Rural	6	20	41	31	2	-46
Urban	2	21	34	34	9	-45
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	16	23	34	18	9	-13
PTI	0	16	50	31	3	-65
PPP	5	23	43	27	2	-42
All others	2	18	33	41	6	-54

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 130: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -41%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 660; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 140: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4	23	36	32	5	-41
Gender-wise						
Male	5	21	38	31	5	-43
Female	3	25	35	33	4	-40
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	31	32	29	5	-27
Middle (30-50)	5	18	39	35	3	-51
Old (50+)	3	17	42	27	11	-49
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	25	38	29	3	-37
Medium	5	25	26	37	7	-33
High	2	18	40	36	4	-56
Location-wise						
Rural	5	21	40	33	1	-47
Urban	3	25	32	31	9	-35
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	10	40	22	22	6	6
PTI	6	6	34	47	7	-69
PPP	4	22	41	31	2	-46
All others	2	22	36	33	7	-45

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 131: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -22%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 141: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	9	27	30	28	6	-22
Gender-wise						
Male	10	24	34	26	6	-26
Female	8	30	27	30	5	-19
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	30	26	28	7	-15
Middle (30-50)	9	26	34	26	5	-25
Old (50+)	6	19	31	34	10	-40
Household Income-wise						
Low	13	23	30	30	4	-24
Medium	7	27	27	30	9	-23
High	5	31	36	25	3	-25
Location-wise						
Rural	9	22	36	32	1	-37
Urban	8	32	24	23	13	-7
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	6	59	12	18	6	35
PTI	0	9	41	38	12	-70
PPP	16	19	34	29	1	-28
All others	4	29	30	28	9	-25

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 132: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -48%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 142: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	18	36	37	2	-48
Gender-wise						
Male	8	17	36	38	1	-49
Female	6	18	37	37	2	-50
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	17	36	39	2	-52
Middle (30-50)	9	18	34	37	2	-44
Old (50+)	5	17	47	30	1	-55
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	20	32	35	2	-36
Medium	6	20	34	37	3	-45
High	2	9	48	41	0	-78
Location-wise						
Rural	9	18	35	38	0	-46
Urban	5	18	38	37	2	-52
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	15	34	25	26	0	-2
PTI	3	6	41	50	0	-82
PPP	12	17	36	33	2	-40
All others	2	16	39	42	1	-63

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 133: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -39%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 143: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	6	22	30	37	5	-39
Gender-wise						
Male	7	20	39	32	2	-44
Female	5	24	22	42	7	-35
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	7	22	33	33	5	-37
Middle (30-50)	6	23	28	39	4	-38
Old (50+)	2	20	33	42	3	-53
Household Income-wise						
Low	8	24	29	37	2	-34
Medium	5	24	27	39	5	-37
High	3	15	36	39	7	-57
Location-wise						
Rural	7	23	32	36	2	-38
Urban	5	22	29	38	6	-40
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	48	25	13	5	19
PTI	0	6	37	56	1	-87
PPP	9	22	27	40	2	-36
All others	3	18	34	38	7	-51

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 134: Immunization of Children (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -6%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 144: Immunization of Children (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	14	32	22	30	2	-6
Gender-wise						
Male	13	38	19	29	1	3
Female	14	26	25	32	3	-17
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	16	34	21	27	2	2
Middle (30-50)	11	31	23	33	2	-14
Old (50+)	19	30	19	31	1	-1
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	32	25	31	2	-14
Medium	20	28	20	31	1	-3
High	11	31	20	33	5	-11
Location-wise						
Rural	10	26	29	33	2	-26
Urban	18	37	14	27	4	14
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12	53	19	15	1	31
PTI	0	28	28	44	0	-44
PPP	11	29	29	29	2	-18
All others	18	29	16	34	3	-3

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 135: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Sindh)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -46%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 145: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers (Sindh)

Question: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	3	21	37	33	6	-46
Gender-wise						
Male	3	18	39	35	5	-53
Female	2	24	36	32	6	-42
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	3	21	35	35	6	-46
Middle (30-50)	3	22	36	34	5	-45
Old (50+)	2	12	50	25	11	-61
Household Income-wise						
Low	4	23	38	32	3	-43
Medium	2	26	27	38	7	-37
High	2	11	46	35	6	-68
Location-wise						
Rural	3	21	37	34	5	-47
Urban	2	20	37	32	9	-47
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	38	28	25	0	-6
PTI	0	9	34	47	10	-72
PPP	3	26	41	27	3	-39
All others	1	14	36	40	9	-61

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 136: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -42%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 146: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)

Question: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4	21	32	35	8	-42
Gender-wise						
Male	5	18	38	32	7	-47
Female	3	24	26	38	9	-37
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	4	22	27	39	8	-40
Middle (30-50)	4	23	35	32	6	-40
Old (50+)	3	14	36	34	13	-53
Household Income-wise						
Low	5	23	29	38	5	-39
Medium	5	23	30	29	13	-31
High	2	14	40	35	9	-59
Location-wise						
Rural	6	21	34	35	4	-42
Urban	2	21	29	34	14	-40
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	9	43	21	21	6	10
PTI	0	0	44	44	12	-88
PPP	5	24	36	30	5	-37
All others	2	16	29	41	12	-52

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 137: Public Transport (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -14%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 147: Public Transport (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4		(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	16	27	38	19	0	-14
Gender-wise						
Male	20	33	32	15	0	6
Female	11	21	43	24	1	-35
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	18	32	37	13	0	0
Middle (30-50)	15	23	37	25	0	-24
Old (50+)	11	23	44	22	0	-32
Household Income-wise						
Low	26	28	33	13	0	8
Medium	11	22	41	27	0	-35
High	7	27	41	25	0	-32
Location-wise						
Rural	21	28	39	12	0	-2
Urban	11	26	37	27	0	-27
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	28	40	24	9	0	35
PTI	0	19	34	47	0	-62
PPP	23	28	37	12	0	2
All others	9	24	42	25	0	-34

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 138: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -43%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Table 148: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7	21	30	41	1	-43
Gender-wise						
Male	5	19	32	43	1	-51
Female	8	23	28	39	2	-36
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9	23	31	38	0	-37
Middle (30-50)	6	20	31	42	1	-47
Old (50+)	3	20	27	50	0	-54
Household Income-wise						
Low	11	25	29	34	1	-27
Medium	6	19	28	47	0	-50
High	2	17	30	50	1	-61
Location-wise						
Rural	10	21	29	40	0	-38
Urban	3	22	32	42	1	-49
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	20	32	24	22	2	6
PTI	3	0	31	66	0	-94
PPP	10	25	27	37	1	-29
All others	1	18	34	46	1	-61

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 139: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -51%

Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

August 2014

Table 149: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	6	18	46	29	1	-51
Gender-wise						
Male	4	19	54	22	1	-53
Female	8	18	39	35	0	-48
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)						0
Middle (30-50)	5	18	42	35	0	-54
Old (50+)	3	28	50	19	0	-38
Household Income-wise						
Low	10	22	44	23	1	-35
Medium	4	21	43	32	0	-50
High	2	10	48	39	1	-75
Location-wise						
Rural	9	20	46	25	0	-42
Urban	2	17	47	33	1	-61
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13	34	41	10	2	-4
PTI	0	9	59	31	1	-81
PPP	8	22	45	24	1	-39
All others	2	13	47	36	2	-68

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 140: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -22%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 150: Management of Relations with International Institutions (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	5	33	33	27	2	-22
Gender-wise						
Male	5	33	34	26	2	-22
Female	5	32	31	28	4	-22
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	6	35	31	26	2	-16
Middle (30-50)	5	29	35	28	3	-29
Old (50+)	2	39	28	26	5	-13
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	33	30	29	2	-20
Medium	6	35	30	27	2	-16
High	2	27	39	29	3	-39
Location-wise						
Rural	7	27	32	33	1	-31
Urban	3	39	33	22	3	-13
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	15	41	26	12	6	18
PTI	0	22	44	34	0	-56
PPP	6	31	34	27	2	-24
All others	2	33	32	30	3	-27

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 141: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Net Performance Rating = -39%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 151: Irrigation Water Development and Management (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Net Performance Index
	1	2	3	4	5	(6) = (1+2)-(3+4)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	5	24	39	29	3	-39
Gender-wise						
Male	5	16	44	32	3	-55
Female	5	31	34	26	4	-24
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	4	28	40	25	3	-33
Middle (30-50)	6	21	37	34	2	-44
Old (50+)	3	19	45	25	8	-48
Household Income-wise						
Low	6	26	41	25	2	-34
Medium	6	23	35	31	5	-37
High	3	21	36	37	3	-49
Location-wise						
Rural	6	21	41	31	1	-45
Urban	4	27	37	27	5	-33
Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	19	37	25	15	4	16
PTI	0	6	53	38	3	-85
PPP	5	23	42	29	1	-43
All others	3	23	38	32	5	-44

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3568; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 - August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Detailed Indicators on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

August 2014

Comparative Position with respect to Zardari - 46% provide positive ratings

When respondents were asked to compare Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's Government with that of the PPP's tenure under President Zardari, the majority – 46% - claimed that the Nawaz Sharif Government has performed better.

Comparative Position with respect to Musharaf - 44% provide positive ratings

While 44% Pakistanis reported that the current Government is performing better than the Musharrarf regime, a strong 40% assess the performance as worse.

Future Possibility: Improving Economy - 55% provide positive ratings

55% Pakistanis believe that this Government has “a lot of chance” to “somewhat of a chance” in strengthening Pakistan's economy during its tenure. Contrarily, 45% Pakistanis are more pessimistic on this assessment, and reported that the current Government has “very little chance” to “no chance at all” to improve Pakistan's economy.

Future Possibility: Improving Security Situation - 53% provide positive ratings

A slight majority of the country expects this Government to improve the security situation (53%), while a significant proportion (47%) believes that the Government has very little chance to no chance of achieving this objective.

Future Possibility: Controlling Terrorism - 43% provide positive ratings

55% Pakistanis are pessimistic when it comes to gauging the PML-N Government's ability to rid the country of terrorism, while 43% are more hopeful, and see the Government as having the potential of successfully eliminating terrorism in the country.

Future Possibility: Decreasing Unemployment - 29% provide positive ratings

69% Pakistanis doubt that the Government can erase widespread unemployment, while only 29% remain hopeful about the Government's ability to generate economic growth required for expanding employment opportunities.

Future Possibility: Poverty Alleviation- 27% provide positive ratings

A substantial 71% of the country's population thinks that the current Government has very little to no chance at all in alleviating poverty in Pakistan. Only 27% remain hopeful and believe that the Government will be able to ameliorate widespread poverty in the country.

Future Possibility: Improving relations with America - 50% provide positive ratings

Half the country (50%) believes that the PML-N Government has somewhat to a lot of chance for improving relations with the United States. However, an equally large proportion of Pakistanis (46%) sees this Government's as having very little to no chances of improving bilateral relations with the US.

Future Possibility: Improving relations with India - 47% provide positive ratings

While 47% Pakistanis believe that the Government has a good chance at attaining this objective, a marginally higher 49% of all Pakistanis doubt that the efforts at harmonizing relations with India would bear fruit.

Trust in Institutions: NAB - 42% provide positive rating

At present, Pakistanis appear uncertain about NAB. 52% Pakistanis assert that they have little to no trust at all in NAB, while 42% report that they have somewhat to a lot of trust in the Bureau.

Trust in Institutions: Government Officers - 29% provide positive ratings

68% Pakistanis state that they have little to no trust at all in Government officers. Only 29% Pakistanis state they have somewhat to a lot of trust in the country's bureaucrats.

Trust in Institutions: Army - 73% provide positive ratings

At present, 73% Pakistanis claim to have somewhat to a lot of trust in the country's army. However, 25% Pakistanis also state that they have little to no trust at all in the Pakistan Army.

Trust in Institutions: Police - 30% provide positive ratings

A substantial 69% of all Pakistanis stated that they had little to no trust at all in Pakistan's police. Only 30% of the country's population has somewhat to a lot of trust in the police force.

Performance of Prime Minister - 46% provide positive ratings

While 46% of Pakistanis state that they rate his performance as Good to Very Good, 52% rated his performance in the last year as Bad to Very Bad.

Performance of Chief Minister Balochistan - 33% provide positive ratings

Chief Minister of Balochistan does not enjoy a high level of positive assessment by respondents from

August 2014

across Pakistan. While 33% respondents rated his performance as Good or Very Good, the majority, 52%, rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad.

Performance of Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa - 37% provide positive ratings

Chief Minister of KP's performance ratings are also in the negative at this point in time, albeit the difference in positive and negative assessments is narrower. The majority of the respondents from across the country, 51%, rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad. 37% of the respondents evaluated his performance as Good or Very Good.

Performance of Chief Minister Punjab- 65% provide positive ratings

65% of the respondents rate his performance as Good to Very Good. 32% respondents rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad.

Performance of Chief Minister Sindh - 30% provide positive ratings

In contrast with CM Punjab, CM Sindh occupies the opposite end of the performance meter. A significant 59% of the respondents rated his performance as Bad or Very Bad. 30% of the respondents rated CM Sindh's performance as Good or Very Good. This negative performance evaluation is the highest amongst the Chief Ministers of the four provinces.

Performance of Balochistan Provincial Government - 32% provide positive ratings

The Balochistan Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 55% of all respondents rated the provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 32% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government.

Performance of KP Provincial Government - 36% provide positive ratings

The KP Government is also evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 53% of all respondents rated the provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 36% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government.

Performance of Punjab Provincial Government - 65% provide positive ratings

65% of the respondents rated the Punjab Government's performance as Good to Very Good. 33% respondents rated the performance of the Government as Bad or Very Bad. At this point in time, the Punjab Government enjoys the healthiest positive performance rating of the

four provinces.


Performance of Sindh Provincial Government - 31% provide positive ratings

The Sindh Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 59% of all respondents rated the provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 31% reached positive assessment of the provincial Government.

August 2014

Figure 142: Comparative position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Asif Ali Zardari's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 152. Comparative position with respect to Zardari

Question: Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Asif Ali Zardari's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Figures are row percentages

	Better	Worse	No difference
	1	2	3
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	46	31	23
Gender-wise			
Male	49	32	19
Female	43	30	27
Respondent Age-wise			
Young (Under 30)	45	31	24
Middle (30-50)	47	31	22
Old (50+)	41	30	29
Household Income-wise			
Low	39	35	26
Medium	54	28	18
High	41	31	28
Province-wise			
Punjab	55	20	25
Sindh	19	52	29
KP	46	43	11
Balochistan	69	19	12
Location-wise			
Rural	48	31	21
Urban	42	30	28

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise			
PML-N	84	6	10
PTI	37	44	19
PPP	13	64	23
All others	37	30	33

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 143: Comparative position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Musharraf's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 153: Comparative position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Musharraf's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Figures are row percentages

	Better	Worse	No difference
	1	2	3
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	44	40	16
Gender-wise			
Male	48	37	15
Female	39	43	18
Respondent Age-wise			
Young (Under 30)	41	39	20
Middle (30-50)	46	39	15
Old (50+)	38	47	15
Household Income-wise			
Low	38	45	17
Medium	50	35	15
High	40	42	18
Province-wise			
Punjab	49	35	16
Sindh	23	58	19
KP	51	34	15
Balochistan	61	31	8
Location-wise			
Rural	46	38	16
Urban	39	45	16

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise			
PML-N	80	13	7
PTI	35	46	19
PPP	19	58	23
All others	33	48	19

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 144: Future Possibility- Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 154: Future Possibility- Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Improving the Economy					
	A Lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	19	36	32	13	0
Gender-wise					
Male	21	33	32	13	1
Female	16	38	32	13	1
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	19	37	29	14	1
Middle (30-50)	19	36	33	12	0
Old (50+)	17	31	36	15	1
Household Income-wise					
Low	19	32	33	14	2
Medium	19	39	30	10	2
High	15	35	31	18	1
Province-wise					
Punjab	21	39	29	9	2
Sindh	17	23	34	25	1
KP	9	39	40	12	0
Balochistan	26	50	20	4	0
Location-wise					
Rural	19	37	30	12	2
Urban	17	34	35	14	0

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	34	52	10	3	1
PTI	5	35	45	14	1
PPP	13	22	35	29	1
All others	17	31	37	14	1

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 145: Future Possibility- Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 155: Future Possibility- Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Improving the Security Situation					
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	18	35	28	19	0
Gender-wise					
Male	18	39	27	16	0
Female	17	30	30	22	1
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	19	33	27	20	1
Middle (30-50)	17	36	29	18	0
Old (50+)	17	32	28	22	1
Household Income-wise					
Low	18	33	28	20	1
Medium	18	38	28	16	0
High	16	30	29	26	0
Province-wise					
Punjab	21	39	23	16	1
Sindh	12	25	31	31	1
KP	10	31	43	16	0
Balochistan	22	36	34	8	0
Location-wise					
Rural	18	37	27	17	1
Urban	17	29	30	24	0

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	38	41	16	4	1
PTI	11	28	40	21	0
PPP	12	27	29	31	1
All others	10	35	30	23	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 146: Future Possibility- Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 156: Future Possibility- Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Controlling Terrorism					
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	17	26	30	25	2
Gender-wise					
Male	21	31	27	20	1
Female	13	21	34	32	0
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	15	27	28	28	2
Middle (30-50)	18	25	33	24	0
Old (50+)	16	34	25	26	0
Household Income-wise					
Low	15	25	32	26	2
Medium	19	27	30	24	0
High	14	29	28	29	0
Province-wise					
Punjab	22	27	27	22	2
Sindh	9	24	32	36	0
KP	12	25	37	25	1
Balochistan	14	29	37	20	0
Location-wise					
Rural	18	27	30	24	1
Urban	16	25	30	29	0

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	36	34	22	8	0
PTI	11	24	34	30	1
PPP	9	23	32	35	1
All others	11	24	33	31	1

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 147: Future Possibility- Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3\text{-}5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 157: Future Possibility- Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Decreasing Unemployment					
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8	21	37	32	2
Gender-wise					
Male	8	23	37	31	1
Female	8	20	37	34	1
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	7	19	38	34	2
Middle (30-50)	9	23	37	30	1
Old (50+)	11	21	28	39	1
Household Income-wise					
Low	7	20	37	35	1
Medium	10	22	37	29	2
High	6	20	39	35	0
Province-wise					
Punjab	9	24	35	30	2
Sindh	4	17	29	49	1
KP	10	19	53	18	0
Balochistan	6	18	49	27	0
Location-wise					
Rural	9	23	37	30	1
Urban	5	19	37	37	2

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	21	38	31	9	1
PTI	6	14	46	33	1
PPP	3	12	32	51	2
All others	3	17	38	40	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 148: Future Possibility- Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 158: Future Possibility-Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Alleviating Poverty					
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7	20	37	34	2
Gender-wise					
Male	8	22	36	33	1
Female	5	18	39	35	3
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	5	18	41	33	3
Middle (30-50)	7	22	35	33	3
Old (50+)	7	18	35	38	2
Household Income-wise					
Low	6	20	34	38	2
Medium	8	22	38	31	1
High	5	18	42	33	2
Province-wise					
Punjab	8	21	37	32	2
Sindh	5	13	34	47	1
KP	6	31	40	21	2
Balochistan	3	18	45	34	0
Location-wise					
Rural	8	21	37	31	3
Urban	3	18	37	39	3

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	17	33	39	11	0
PTI	3	22	38	35	2
PPP	4	11	35	49	1
All others	3	15	37	42	3

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

August 2014

Figure 149: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with America (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 159: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with America (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

Improving Relations with America					
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	11	39	30	16	4
Gender-wise					
Male	12	39	30	14	5
Female	9	39	30	17	5
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	10	38	30	17	5
Middle (30-50)	11	40	30	15	4
Old (50+)	12	38	28	14	8
Household Income-wise					
Low	11	37	30	17	5
Medium	11	41	30	13	5
High	9	34	32	20	5
Province-wise					
Punjab	12	42	27	12	7
Sindh	10	37	28	22	3
KP	5	31	45	17	2
Balochistan	18	33	35	15	0
Location -wise					
Rural	11	38	30	16	5
Urban	10	40	29	15	6

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	18	52	22	6	2
PTI	9	31	42	16	2
PPP	7	31	33	27	2
All others	8	37	29	18	8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 150: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 160: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Figures are row percentages

	Improving Relations with India				
	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8	39	32	17	4
Gender-wise					
Male	9	43	29	15	4
Female	7	34	35	19	5
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	9	37	31	17	6
Middle (30-50)	7	39	33	17	4
Old (50+)	7	41	30	15	7
Household Income-wise					
Low	8	38	32	18	4
Medium	7	42	32	14	5
High	9	30	33	23	5
Province-wise					
Punjab	7	41	32	14	6
Sindh	11	33	30	24	2
KP	3	43	36	16	2
Balochistan	21	29	29	21	0
Location-wise					
Rural	8	37	32	18	5
Urban	7	41	33	14	5

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	11	48	30	8	3
PTI	4	41	37	15	3
PPP	8	25	34	31	2
All others	7	36	31	18	8

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: \pm 3-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 151: Trust in Institutions – National Accountability Bureau (NAB) (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 161: Trust in Institutions – National Accountability Bureau (NAB) (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.

Figures are row percentages

	NAB				
	A lot	Some what	Little	Not at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7	35	33	19	6
Gender-wise					
Male	7	34	34	18	7
Female	6	36	31	19	8
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	7	32	34	18	9
Middle (30-50)	6	37	32	18	7
Old (50+)	6	27	31	22	14
Household Income-wise					
Low	7	34	32	22	5
Medium	6	36	33	16	9
High	7	33	34	18	8
Province-wise					
Punjab	8	37	29	16	10
Sindh	2	26	39	27	6
KP	8	41	36	10	5
Balochistan	11	31	33	24	1

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Location-wise					
Rural	7	35	32	18	8
Urban	6	35	33	19	7
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	12	43	28	10	7
PTI	5	39	34	17	5
PPP	5	27	34	29	5
All others	4	30	34	21	11

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 152: Trust in Institutions - Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 162: Trust in Institutions - Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.

Figures are row percentages

	Government Officers				
	A lot	Some what	Little	Not at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6	23	39	29	3
Gender-wise					
Male	5	24	40	28	3
Female	7	23	37	30	3
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	6	22	39	30	3
Middle (30-50)	7	25	38	27	3
Old (50+)	5	18	36	38	3
Household Income-wise					
Low	6	19	38	33	4
Medium	6	25	39	26	4
High	7	28	37	27	1
Province-wise					
Punjab	6	27	36	28	3
Sindh	1	9	43	44	3
KP	13	25	42	16	4
Balochistan	11	42	37	10	0
Location-wise					
Rural	7	23	39	27	4
Urban	4	24	37	33	2

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	6	35	36	21	2
PTI	8	21	39	29	3
PPP	3	13	46	36	2
All others	6	21	38	32	3

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 153: Trust in Institutions - Army (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 163: Trust in Institutions - Army (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.

Figures are row percentages

	Army				
	A lot	Some what	Little	Not at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	38	35	17	8	2
Gender-wise					
Male	46	32	15	6	1
Female	28	39	20	9	4
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	36	34	19	9	2
Middle (30-50)	38	35	18	7	2
Old (50+)	45	38	8	7	2
Household Income-wise					
Low	34	34	19	10	3
Medium	37	35	19	7	2
High	46	37	10	5	2
Province-wise					
Punjab	40	37	14	7	2
Sindh	43	31	11	11	4
KP	12	34	44	7	3
Balochistan	51	37	11	1	0
Location-wise					
Rural	36	34	19	8	3
Urban	40	37	14	6	3

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	45	35	15	3	2
PTI	26	37	25	9	3
PPP	40	30	14	14	2
All others	38	36	16	8	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 154: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3\text{-}5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 164: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.

Figures are row percentages

	Police				
	A lot	Some what	Little	Not at all	Don't know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	4	26	36	33	1
Gender-wise					
Male	3	23	33	39	2
Female	5	29	38	26	2
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	4	22	35	37	2
Middle (30-50)	4	29	37	28	2
Old (50+)	3	18	33	45	1
Household Income-wise					
Low	5	25	36	31	3
Medium	3	28	36	30	3
High	2	24	35	37	2
Province-wise					
Punjab	4	28	34	31	3
Sindh	2	9	32	55	2
KP	9	39	45	5	2
Balochistan	3	40	39	18	0
Location-wise					
Rural	5	27	37	29	2
Urban	2	23	32	40	3

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	5	38	31	25	1
PTI	5	30	37	25	3
PPP	4	16	33	45	2
All others	3	20	38	37	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: +3-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 155: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, how was Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance during the last year?



a.Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 165: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, how was Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance during the last year?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	10	36	32	20	2
Gender-wise					
Male	10	39	32	17	2
Female	10	33	31	24	2
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	8	36	31	22	3
Middle (30-50)	12	37	31	18	2
Old (50+)	7	34	33	25	1
Household Income-wise					
Low	9	32	35	22	2
Medium	12	41	29	17	1
High	9	33	33	24	1
Province-wise					
Punjab	11	42	31	14	2
Sindh	6	17	37	38	2
KP	12	38	30	20	0
Balochistan	20	53	21	6	0
Location-wise					
Rural	11	38	30	19	2
Urban	8	32	35	23	2

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	26	58	12	3	1
PTI	5	31	42	22	0
PPP	2	16	42	39	1
All others	5	32	36	24	3

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 156: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 166: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Chief Minister Balochistan				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5	28	33	19	15
Gender-wise					
Male	6	28	32	17	17
Female	4	29	35	21	11
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	5	27	34	21	13
Middle (30-50)	6	30	33	19	12
Old (50+)	5	24	32	14	25
Household Income-wise					
Low	5	32	35	18	10
Medium	4	29	33	19	15
High	8	20	32	23	17
Province-wise					
Punjab	5	30	27	17	21
Sindh	1	21	44	25	9
KP	2	33	46	18	1
Balochistan	27	30	26	17	0
Location-wise					
Rural	6	29	33	19	13
Urban	3	27	34	20	16

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	10	34	29	14	13
PTI	3	32	40	16	9
PPP	2	26	41	25	6
All others	4	25	31	22	18

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 157: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 167: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Chief Minister KP				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9	28	33	18	12
Gender-wise					
Male	9	27	31	20	13
Female	9	30	34	17	10
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	8	28	33	19	12
Middle (30-50)	11	29	32	18	10
Old (50+)	3	24	33	20	20
Household Income-wise					
Low	11	29	34	17	9
Medium	8	30	32	18	12
High	8	25	30	23	14
Province-wise					
Punjab	9	33	25	16	17
Sindh	5	19	47	22	7
KP	17	21	42	20	0
Balochistan	9	38	31	23	0
Location-wise					
Rural	10	29	32	18	11
Urban	7	28	33	19	13

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	5	34	33	16	12
PTI	24	24	31	15	6
PPP	7	27	42	19	5
All others	6	28	30	20	16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 158: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 168: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Chief Minister Punjab				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	24	41	23	9	3
Gender-wise					
Male	27	41	22	9	1
Female	21	41	24	10	4
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	23	42	24	9	2
Middle (30-50)	25	41	22	10	2
Old (50+)	25	36	27	9	3
Household Income-wise					
Low	24	40	24	10	2
Medium	25	43	22	8	2
High	22	40	23	12	3
Province-wise					
Punjab	31	39	18	9	3
Sindh	15	41	30	12	2
KP	9	46	34	10	1
Balochistan	36	50	13	2	0
Location-wise					
Rural	26	39	23	10	2
Urban	21	45	22	9	3
Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	49	43	5	1	2
PTI	9	46	31	13	1
PPP	13	33	38	14	2
All others	19	40	26	11	4

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 159: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 169: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

Chief Minister Sindh					
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7	23	35	24	11
Gender-wise					
Male	8	24	34	23	11
Female	6	23	37	26	8
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	8	24	34	25	9
Middle (30-50)	7	23	35	25	10
Old (50+)	6	17	42	16	19
Household Income-wise					
Low	9	26	33	25	7
Medium	7	22	36	24	11
High	5	21	36	26	12
Province-wise					
Punjab	5	21	33	25	16
Sindh	10	28	39	22	1
KP	8	19	42	30	1
Balochistan	17	34	31	18	0
Location-wise					
Rural	8	24	35	23	10
Urban	7	21	36	27	9

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	6	27	38	19	10
PTI	5	22	41	24	8
PPP	19	29	31	18	3
All others	6	20	32	30	12

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 160: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3\text{-}5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 170: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Balochistan Government				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6	26	36	19	13
Gender-wise					
Male	7	26	35	18	14
Female	5	27	37	20	11
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	6	25	37	20	12
Middle (30-50)	6	28	35	20	11
Old (50+)	3	23	35	14	25
Household Income-wise					
Low	5	30	39	18	8
Medium	5	27	34	19	15
High	9	19	33	22	17
Province-wise					
Punjab	6	30	29	16	19
Sindh	3	21	47	22	7
KP	4	21	47	27	1
Balochistan	29	29	27	15	0
Location-wise					
Rural	7	27	36	19	11
Urban	4	26	36	20	14

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	9	35	28	15	13
PTI	5	27	44	18	6
PPP	3	27	45	21	4
All others	6	21	34	22	17

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 161: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 171: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	KP Government				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9	27	35	18	11
Gender-wise					
Male	9	25	33	18	15
Female	8	29	37	17	9
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	7	26	36	20	11
Middle (30-50)	10	28	35	17	10
Old (50+)	6	24	28	19	23
Household Income-wise					
Low	10	26	38	19	7
Medium	8	28	34	16	14
High	8	26	30	23	13
Province-wise					
Punjab	8	33	25	16	18
Sindh	2	16	49	25	8
KP	20	19	50	11	0
Balochistan	9	32	31	28	0
Location-wise					
Rural	9	28	34	18	11
Urban	7	26	36	19	12

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	7	33	35	13	12
PTI	25	24	31	14	6
PPP	3	24	46	24	3
All others	5	25	33	21	16

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: **Low:** Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 162: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3\text{-}5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 172: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Punjab Government				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	22	43	23	10	2
Gender-wise					
Male	24	46	23	6	1
Female	21	39	23	15	2
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	20	42	25	10	3
Middle (30-50)	24	44	21	10	1
Old (50+)	23	38	26	13	0
Household Income-wise					
Low	21	42	26	9	2
Medium	22	45	21	9	3
High	26	37	22	14	1
Province-wise					
Punjab	27	41	19	11	2
Sindh	17	41	32	9	1
KP	7	50	30	11	2
Balochistan	34	52	12	2	0
Location-wise					
Rural	23	43	23	9	2
Urban	21	42	24	12	1

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	46	45	8	1	0
PTI	9	47	33	9	2
PPP	12	36	41	11	0
All others	17	41	23	16	3

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 163: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

August 2014

Table 173: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?

Figures are row percentages

	Sindh Government				
	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6	25	37	22	10
Gender-wise					
Male	7	26	36	19	12
Female	5	24	39	25	7
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	6	26	35	25	8
Middle (30-50)	6	26	38	21	9
Old (50+)	5	19	39	19	18
Household Income-wise					
Low	9	27	34	23	7
Medium	5	24	41	20	11
High	5	25	34	26	10
Province-wise					
Punjab	4	23	36	21	16
Sindh	10	28	38	24	0
KP	4	23	47	24	2
Balochistan	16	43	26	15	0
Location-wise					
Rural	6	26	37	21	10
Urban	6	24	37	25	8

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
First Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2013 – May 2014

August 2014

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	7	29	42	12	10
PTI	2	25	41	26	10
PPP	17	29	31	20	6
4All Others	4	22	35	26	13

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: **Low:** Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

August 2014

Figure 164: Biggest Current Issues in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what is the biggest problem of Pakistan at the moment?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

August 2014

Table 174: Biggest Current Issues in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what is the biggest problem of Pakistan at the moment?

Figures are row percentages

Indicator Net Performance Rating	Energy Crises: Electricity & CNG's load shedding	Inflation	Unemployment	Security issues: Peace, law & order and Terrorism	Corruption/Corrupt leaders	Poverty	Drinking water/Lack of filtration plants	Educational Crisis	Injustice	Others problems	No response
	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %	Row %
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	27	25	15	12	6	6	2	2	1	3	2
Gender											
Male	29	22	15	12	6	5	3	1	1	3	2
Female	25	28	15	11	6	7	2	2	1	2	1
Respondent Age											
Young (Under 30)	27	23	16	13	6	8	2	1	1	2	1
Middle (30-50)	28	25	15	11	6	5	3	2	1	3	2
Old (50+)	27	34	13	8	5	3	1	0	1	3	3
Household Income											
Low	27	27	17	11	5	5	3	2	1	1	2
Medium	29	24	15	10	6	6	2	2	1	3	1
High	24	25	12	17	7	7	0	1	1	3	2

August 2014

Province											
Punjab	37	26	15	6	5	4	1	1	1	2	1
Sindh	10	23	16	27	8	5	2	2	1	3	3
KP	22	24	11	8	8	15	7	4	0	2	0
Balochistan	9	28	21	20	5	8	0	2	0	5	2
Location											
Rural	28	26	16	11	6	6	2	2	1	2	1
Urban	27	23	15	14	6	6	2	2	1	3	2
Voting Intention											
PML-N	31	28	14	9	5	4	2	1	0	3	1
PTI	32	22	14	8	7	6	5	3	1	2	1
PPP	17	27	20	16	5	5	1	2	1	2	1
All others	26	24	15	13	7	7	1	1	1	3	2

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

APPENDICES

August 2014

Appendix A Research Methodology

A- Sample Size And Distribution

Table 1

	Un-weighted Sample Size
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	3065
Provincial Distribution	UN-WEIGHTED COUNTS SHOW SIZE OF SAMPLE FOR EACH PROVINCIAL REPORT
Punjab	1625
Sindh	660
KP	390
Balochistan	390

Table 2

	Weighted Sample (% share in total)
Provincial Distribution	WEIGHTED SHARES ARE APPLICABLE FOR COMPUTING RESPONDENTS FROM ALL OVER PAKISTAN PERCENTAGES
Punjab	58%
Sindh	24%
KP	14%
Balochistan	5%

Percent share of
VARIOUS DEMOGRAPHIC GROUPS
In the weighted sample

August 2014

Table 3

	Weighted Sample (% share in total)
Age Distribution	
Young	37%
Middle	54%
Old	9%
HH-income Distribution	
Low	34%
Middle	47%
High	19%
Education Distribution	
Low	49%
Middle	35%
High	16%
Location Distribution	
Rural	67%
Urban	33%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs.14,000 (in 2014 this represented the lowest two quintiles). **Medium:** Rs. 14,000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan constituting 33% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, 67% of the population.

Note: In some cases the totals may not add up to 100 or mismatch with earlier tables to the extent of 1%. Please ignore this, as it is due to round off error.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6 2014. Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

August 2014

B- Sample Selection Procedure

This survey uses stratified random sampling. Following steps have been used to achieve this:

Stage 1: Stratification:

Stratification is principally at two levels:

- a. The **First** stratification is by PROVINCE, of which there are 4 in Pakistan.
- b. The **Second** stratification is by size of location. We stratify by 4 types of locations: Large/Metropolitan Cities (*there are 3*); Medium size (*those whom population is over 100,000 persons*); Towns (*those whom population is less than 100,000*) and Villages (*those declared as such in the Census*).

Stage 2: Selection of Communities:

Within each stratum communities are selected through a random process. In some cases we make replacements by communities of similar profile with a view to logistical and other considerations.

C- Fieldwork Procedure

Briefing/Training of interviewers:

The Questionnaire Designed for the survey is briefed to the interviewers at Regional Centres across the Centre. The interviewers are instructed on measures such as:

1. Following Sampling/Quota Instructions Properly
2. Ethical Code of Conduct towards interviewers
3. Following skipping Instructions and other interview instructions on the Questionnaire
4. Maintaining Neutrality in asking Question

Mock Calls:

After the briefing is completed all the field workers do the mock calls under the direct supervision of an executive or a fieldwork supervisor. This ensures that the field workers properly understand the instructions and they can handle various interviewing problems during the interview (*in the field*). After completion of mock calls, a debriefing session is arranged in which the problems faced by the interviewers during the mock interviews are discussed and solutions are suggested.

Initial Checking:

After the training, the interviewers go into the field for actual interviewing. The first ten filled in questionnaires of every interviewer are thoroughly checked by their supervisor to ensure that the interviewer is performing well. In case the work of an interviewer is not acceptable he/she is disengaged from the survey.

Field Editor or Intake Edit:

In normal routine at least 30-40 interviews of each interviewer are visually checked by the supervisor before passing them to Data Coding/Entry department. The problems or missing information identified during field edit are explained to the relevant interviewer and he/she is instructed to rectify them either through telephone and/or

August 2014

revisit the respondent for clarification and re-asking the missing questions.

Supervision & Back Checking:

It is essential that the interviewers are properly supervised on the job and the work done by them is back checked. At least 20% back checking is done on work completed by each interviewer to ensure authenticity of data.

Data Coding/Editing:

About 20% work of each coder is rechecked and verified by the concerned DC Supervisor/In-charge.

D- Data Entry and Verification

GP's Quality Manual contains a detailed check-list on the handling control, entry and other steps involved in the management of data.

Once the questionnaires are received from the field, they are checked and the responses of open-ended questions are coded with the help of a code list. A coding scheme/book/guide is prepared on the basis of these questionnaires.

After the coding of open-ended responses, data is entered into computers. GP uses the SPSS data entry program that has flexibility to implement validity checks and skipping rules etc. The SPSS data entry software also has flexibility to verify the entered data by using the double punch options. Gallup normally double punches for 20% of its entered data.

After completion of data entries and double punch, all data is cleaned by using a data-cleaning program written in SPSS which is customized for every project according to the survey/questionnaire needs. Data is thoroughly checked for an omission, displacements and other error resulting in inconsistencies with the coding scheme.

August 2014

Appendix B: Questionnaire

Assessment of the Quality of Governance in Pakistan

Combined Questions for Federal and Provincial Governments

Law and Order

Question 12a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 12b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force), please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q12a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ امن و امان قائم رکھنے اور اس کے لیے موثر اقدامات کرنے کے حوالے سے (جیسے کہ پولیس فورس میں اضافہ اور اسے موثر بنانے کے لیے اقدامات کرنا) وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q12b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ امن و امان قائم رکھنے اور اس کے لیے موثر اقدامات کرنے کے حوالے سے (جیسے کہ پولیس فورس میں اضافہ اور اسے موثر بنانے کے لیے اقدامات کرنا) صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Poverty Alleviation

Question 13a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 13b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q13a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ غربت کے خاتمے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q13b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ غربت کے خاتمے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Education

Question 14a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 14b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of education scenario in the country (such as literacy, children's enrolment, and overall improvement in quality of education, etc.) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q14a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تعلیم کی صورتحال (مثلاً شرح خواندگی، بچوں کا اسکولوں میں اندراج اور مجموعی طور پر تعلیم کا معیار وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q14b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تعلیم کی صورتحال (مثلاً شرح خواندگی، بچوں کا اسکولوں میں اندراج اور مجموعی طور پر تعلیم کا معیار وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Health Care

Question 15a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 15b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the health care system (such as the control of epidemics and provision of quality, inexpensive medicines), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q15a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صحت کی سہولیات (مثلاً مختلف امراض پر قابو پانا اور ان کا علاج، سستی دوائیوں کی فراہمی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q15b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صحت کی سہولیات (مثلاً مختلف امراض پر قابو پانا اور ان کا علاج، سستی دوائیوں کی فراہمی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Transparency

Question 16a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

August 2014

Question 16b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry to inform the public through placing of its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q16a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تمام وزارتوں اور حکومتی اداروں کو شفاف بنانے (مثلاً تمام اداروں/ وزارتوں پر لازم ہونا کہ وہ اپنے سالانہ منصوبوں، بجٹ اور کارکردگی رپورٹ کے حوالے سے عوام کو اپنی ویب سائٹ کے ذریعے آگاہ کریں) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q16b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تمام وزارتوں اور حکومتی اداروں کو شفاف بنانے (مثلاً تمام اداروں/ وزارتوں پر لازم ہونا کہ وہ اپنے سالانہ منصوبوں، بجٹ اور کارکردگی رپورٹ کے حوالے سے عوام کو اپنی ویب سائٹ کے ذریعے آگاہ کریں) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Energy Production and Management

Question 17a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the country (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 17b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in electricity situation in the Province (such as adequate supply of electricity, low-cost electricity, effective distribution and collection of bills, etc), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q17a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملک بھر میں بجلی کی صورتحال (یعنی بجلی مناسب فراہمی، سستی بجلی، مؤثر تقسیم اور بلوں کی وصولی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q17b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صوبہ بھر میں بجلی کی صورتحال (یعنی بجلی مناسب فراہمی، سستی بجلی، مؤثر تقسیم اور بلوں کی وصولی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Anti-Corruption

Question 18a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

August 2014

Question 18b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding eradication or minimization of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as Provincial Ombudsman etc., please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q18a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ کرپشن کے خاتمے یا اس میں کمی اور اس سے متعلق ادارے مثلاً نیب، وفاقی محتسب وغیرہ کی کارکردگی کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q18b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ کرپشن کے خاتمے یا اس میں کمی اور اس سے متعلق ادارے مثلاً، صوبائی محتسب وغیرہ کی کارکردگی کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Investment Friendliness

Question 19a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 19b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q19a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملکی اور غیر ملکی سرمایہ کاری میں اضافے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q19b. آپ کے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملکی اور غیر ملکی سرمایہ کاری میں اضافے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Tax Collection

Question 20a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 20b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

August 2014

Q20a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ٹیکس کی وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے میں اضافے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q20b آپ صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ٹیکس کی وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے میں اضافے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Utility Bills Collection

Question 21a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 21b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q21a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ یوٹیلٹی بلز کی وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q21b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ یوٹیلٹی بلز کی وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Management of Unemployment

Question 22a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 22b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing unemployment, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q22a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیروزگاری میں کمی لانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q22b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیروزگاری میں کمی لانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Development Programmes

Question 23a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality, timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 23b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. . Regarding ensuring effectiveness of development projects (such as cost-effectivity, good quality timely completion of projects), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q23a . موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ترقیاتی پراجیکٹس موثر بنانے (مثلاً کم لاگت، اعلیٰ معیار، بروقت مکمل ہونے) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q23b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ترقیاتی پراجیکٹس موثر بنانے (مثلاً کم لاگت، اعلیٰ معیار، بروقت مکمل ہونے) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Quality and Independence of Civil Service

Question 24a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influence and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 24b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments so that it is able to work in a transparent manner, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q24a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ حکومتی بیوروکریسی اور اس کے اقدامات کو سیاسی عمل دخل اور اثر و رسوخ سے پاک رکھنے میں، تاکہ وہ صاف اور شفاف طریقے سے اپنا کام کرسکے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q24b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ حکومتی بیوروکریسی اور اس کے اقدامات کو سیاسی عمل دخل اور اثر و رسوخ سے پاک رکھنے میں، تاکہ وہ صاف اور شفاف طریقے سے اپنا کام کرسکے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions

Question 25a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 25b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions in public service, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q25a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ سرکاری بھرتیاں اور ترقیاں میرٹ پر کیے جانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q25b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ سرکاری بھرتیاں اور ترقیاں میرٹ پر کیے جانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements

Question 26a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 26b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding public procurement (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q26a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ پبلک پروکیورمنٹ (جیسے کہ حکومت کی طرف سے اشیاء اور خدمات یا کسی بھی قسم کے کاموں کی تعمیر جس کے لیے سرکاری اخراجات کیے جارہے ہوں) کو صاف اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q26b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ پبلک پروکیورمنٹ (جیسے کہ حکومت کی طرف سے اشیاء اور خدمات یا کسی بھی قسم کے کاموں کی تعمیر جس کے لیے سرکاری اخراجات کیے جارہے ہوں) کو صاف اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Use of Technologies for Better Governance

Question 27a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

August 2014

Question 27b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q27a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بہتر حکومت چلانے کے لیے ٹیکنالوجی کے مثبت استعمال اور سرکاری ملازمین کو نئی ٹیکنالوجی سے متعلق تربیت دینے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی وفاقی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی، اچھی، خراب، بہت خراب رہی ہے؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q27b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بہتر حکومت چلانے کے لیے ٹیکنالوجی کے مثبت استعمال اور سرکاری ملازمین کو نئی ٹیکنالوجی سے متعلق تربیت دینے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی صوبائی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی، اچھی، خراب، بہت خراب رہی ہے؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs

Question 28a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 28b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding effective and transparent provision and availability of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q28a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ زراعت سے متعلقہ اشیاء (مثلاً کھاد، کیڑے مار ادویات، بیج ٹریکٹر، اور دیگر زرعی مشینری وغیرہ) کی دستیابی اور فراہمی زیادہ موثر اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q28b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ زراعت سے متعلقہ اشیاء (مثلاً کھاد، کیڑے مار ادویات، بیج ٹریکٹر، اور دیگر زرعی مشینری وغیرہ) کی دستیابی اور فراہمی زیادہ موثر اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Environmental Sustainability

Question 29a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 29b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding reducing environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

August 2014

Q29a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ماحولیاتی آلودگی میں کمی (مثلاً ماحول اور پانی کو آلودگی سے پاک رکھنا) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q29b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ماحولیاتی آلودگی میں کمی (مثلاً ماحول اور پانی کو آلودگی سے پاک رکھنا) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Disaster Preparedness and Management

Question 30a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 30b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding managing natural disasters or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q30a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ قدرتی آفات سے نمٹنے یا قدرتی آفات سے رونما ہونے والے واقعات پر قابو پانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Q30b آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ قدرتی آفات سے نمٹنے یا قدرتی آفات سے رونما ہونے والے واقعات پر قابو پانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Immunization of Children

Question 31a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 31b: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q31a موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے قریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیماریوں کی روک تھام کے لیے ویکسینیشن خصوصاً انسداد پولیو مہم کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

August 2014

Q31b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آنے سے تقریباً ایک سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیماریوں کی روک تھام کے لیے ویکسینیشن خصوصاً انسداد پولیو مہم کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers

Question 32a-a: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to holding local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 32a-b: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to the effective and transparent distribution of financial resources amongst Provincial Governments, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 32 a-c: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, after the 18th Amendment, with regard to giving the Provincials Governments the power to make independent decisions, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 32a-d: In your view of the performance of the Provincial Government of your Province over the past one year, with regard to holding of local government elections and establishment of local governments, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q32a-a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ملک میں بلدیاتی الیکشن اور مقامی حکومتوں کے قیام کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q32 a-b برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ اٹھارہویں ترمیم کے بعد صوبائی حکومتوں کے درمیان مالی وسائل کی تقسیم شفاف اور مؤثر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q32 a-c برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ اٹھارہویں ترمیم کے بعد صوبائی حکومتوں کو اہم فیصلے کرنے کی خودمختاری دینے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q32a-d برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ملک میں بلدیاتی الیکشن اور مقامی حکومتوں کے قیام کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Access to Efficient Justice System

Question 33a: In your view of the performance of the current Federal Government over the past one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Question 33b: In your view of the performance of the current Provincial Government of your Province over the last one year, with regard to making the judicial system effective (for instance, by inducting and adequately training sufficient number of state prosecutors, employing new technologies for investigation, prompt appointment of judges against available vacancies), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q33a برائے مہربانی موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ عدالتی نظام (مثلاً سرکاری وکلاء کی بڑی تعداد کا تربیت یافتہ ہونا، تحقیقات کے لیے نئی ٹیکنالوجی کا استعمال، جن عدالتوں میں ججز کی دستیابی نہ ہوں وہاں ان کی تقرری کا فوری انتظام وغیرہ) کوموثر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Q33b برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ عدالتی نظام (مثلاً سرکاری وکلاء کی بڑی تعداد کا تربیت یافتہ ہونا، تحقیقات کے لیے نئی ٹیکنالوجی کا استعمال، جن عدالتوں میں ججز کی دستیابی نہ ہوں وہاں ان کی تقرری کا فوری انتظام وغیرہ) کوموثر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Questions for Federal Government Only

National Security

Question 34: In your view the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the effective execution of national defence and security policies, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q34 برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ قومی دفاع اور سلامتی کی پالیسیوں کو موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

t

Foreign Policy Management

Question 35: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the foreign policy, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q35 برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ خارجہ پالیسی کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Independence and Efficiency

Question 36a: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year regarding the independence and effectiveness of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc), please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q36a برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ریگولیٹری اداروں (مثلاً پیمر، اوگرا، نیپرا، سٹیٹ بینک وغیرہ کو آزاد اور موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

Performance of Regulatory Bodies – Merit Based Appointments

Question 36b: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the last one year regarding timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank etc) on the basis of merit please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q36b برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ملک کے ریگولیٹری اداروں (مثلاً پیمر، اوگرا، نیپرا، سٹیٹ بینک وغیرہ) میں سربراہان اور دیگر ملازمین کی تقرریاں میرٹ پر یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

August 2014

Management of Inflation

Question 37: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year with regard to controlling inflation, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q37. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ آپ کے خیال میں گذشتہ ایک سال میں حکومت مہنگائی کو کنٹرول کرنے میں کس حد تک کامیاب ہوئی ہے؟

کافی حد تک 1 تھوڑی بہت 2 بہت کم 3 بالکل نہیں 4 معلوم 8 نہیں

Water Resource Development and Management

Question 38: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past one year, with regard to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q38. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پانی کے وسائل کی ترقی اور انتظام کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

بہت اچھی 1 اچھی 2 خراب 3 بہت خراب 4 معلوم نہیں 8

August 2014

Questions for Provincial Government Only

Public Transport

Question 39: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement of public transport systems, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q39. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پبلک ٹرانسپورٹ کے نظام کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply

Question 40: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q40. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پینے کے صاف پانی کی فراہمی کو یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)

Question 41: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q41. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ نکاسی کے نظام (مثلاً نالیوں / گندے پانی کی نکاسی اور کوڑا کرکٹ وغیرہ) کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Management of Relations with International Institutions

Question 42: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to keeping friendly and strong relations with international agencies and foreign development organizations, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q42. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ بین الاقوامی اداروں اور غیر ملکی ترقیاتی اداروں کے ساتھ تعلقات زیادہ دوستانہ اور مضبوط بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

Irrigation Water Development and Management

Question 43: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance of your Province during the past one year, with regard to improving the irrigation system, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Q43. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گذشتہ ایک سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ آبیاشی کے نظام کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی ، اچھی رہی ، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی ؟

بہت اچھی [1] اچھی [2] خراب [3] بہت خراب [4] معلوم نہیں [8]

August 2014

Questions on Detailed Indicators on Quality of Governance

Comparative Position with respect to Zardari and Musharraf

Q44. Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Asif Ali Zardari's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Q44. اگر آپ نواز شریف کی حکومت کا صدر زرداری اور ان کی حکومت سے مقابلہ کریں تو آپ کی رائے میں نواز شریف کی کارکردگی مجموعی طور پر بہتر ہے، خراب ہے یا کوئی فرق نہیں؟

بہتر 1 خراب 2 کوئی فرق نہیں 3

Q45. Comparing Nawaz Sharif's government with Pervez Musharraf's government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Q45. اگر آپ نواز شریف کی حکومت کا پرویز مشرف اور ان کی حکومت سے مقابلہ کریں تو آپ کی رائے میں نواز شریف کی کارکردگی مجموعی طور پر بہتر ہے، خراب ہے یا کوئی فرق نہیں؟

بہتر 1 خراب 2 کوئی فرق نہیں 3

Future Possibility

Q46. In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif will perform well in solving the following problems during his government's current tenure? Is there a good chance, somewhat chance, very little chance or no chance at all that this will happen?

Q46. آپ کے خیال میں اس بات کا کتنا چانس ہے کہ نواز شریف اپنے موجودہ دور حکومت میں درج ذیل مسائل کو حل کرنے میں اچھی کارکردگی دکھا سکیں گے۔

معلوم نہیں	بالکل نہیں	بہت کم	تھوڑا بہت	بہت زیادہ چانس	
8	4	3	2	1	معیشت کو بہتر بنانے میں
8	4	3	2	1	امن و امان بہتر کرنے میں
8	4	3	2	1	دہشت گردی پر قابو پانے میں
8	4	3	2	1	بے روزگاری کم کرنے میں
8	4	3	2	1	غربت کم کرنے میں
8	4	3	2	1	امریکہ سے تعلقات بہتر بنانے میں
8	4	3	2	1	ہندوستان سے تعلقات بہتر بنانے میں

Trust in Institutions

Q47. I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust these institutions? Answer separately for each institution.

	A lot	Somewhat chance	Very chance	little	No chance at all	Don't know
NAB	1	2	3	4	9	
Government officers	1	2	3	4	9	
Army	1	2	3	4	9	
Police	1	2	3	4	9	

August 2014

Performance of Prime Minister / Chief Minister

Q48. In your opinion, how was Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance during the last year?

Q48. وزیر اعظم نواز شریف کی گزشتہ ایک سال کی مجموعی کارکردگی کے بارے میں آپ کی کیا رائے ہے؟

8	2 4	1 3	بہت اچھی بہت خراب
معلوم نہیں			

Q49. What is your opinion about the overall performances of Chief Minister Balochistan, Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Chief Minister Punjab and Chief Minister Sindh, of the four provinces during the last one year?

Q49. گزشتہ ایک سال، اور وزیر اعلیٰ بلوچستان، وزیر اعلیٰ خیبر پختونخواہ، وزیر اعلیٰ پنجاب میں وزیر اعلیٰ سندھ، کی مجموعی کارکردگی کے بارے میں آپ کی کیا رائے ہے؟

(ترتیب بدلیں)					Rotate
معلوم نہیں	بہت اچھی	بہت خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی	
8	4	3	2	1	a. وزیر اعلیٰ بلوچستان
8	4	3	2	1	b. وزیر اعلیٰ خیبر پختونخواہ
8	4	3	2	1	c. وزیر اعلیٰ پنجاب
8	4	3	2	1	d. وزیر اعلیٰ سندھ

Performance of Provincial Governments

Q50. What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Provincial Governments of the four provinces during the last one year?

Q50. مئی کے انتخابات کے بعد منتخب کردہ صوبائی حکومتوں کی کارکردگی اب تک کیسی رہی ہے؟

(ترتیب بدلیں)					Rotate
معلوم نہیں	بہت اچھی	بہت خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی	
8	5	4	2	1	a. صوبہ بلوچستان کی حکومت
8	5	4	2	1	b. صوبہ خیبر پختونخواہ کی حکومت
8	5	4	2	1	c. صوبہ پنجاب کی حکومت
8	5	4	2	1	d. حکومت صوبہ سندھ کی حکومت

Biggest Current Issue

Q51. In your opinion, what is the biggest problem of Pakistan at the moment?

Q51 آپ کے خیال میں موجودہ وقت میں پاکستان کا سب سے بڑا مسئلہ کیا ہے۔؟



Islamabad Office: P. O. Box 278, F-8, Postal Code: 44220, Islamabad, Pakistan
Lahore Office: P. O. Box 11098, L.C.C.H.S, Postal Code: 54792, Lahore, Pakistan
E-mail: info@pildat.org | Website: www.pildat.org